Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	13/024,027	SMITH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JASON MCCORMACK	2881	z :
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) JASON MCCORMACK.	(3)		
(2) <u>GERALD WORTH</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 April 2013.			
Type: Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: applicant [applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes [If Yes, brief description:	□ No.		
Issues Discussed			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,58,67 and 68</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)			
Discussed that claims 58, 67, and 68 would be allowable if amended to exclude the term "high brightness" as this term was previously deemed indefinite when it was presented in claim 1. Additionally discussed minor changes the the phrasing of claims 58, 67, and 68. Applicant's representative authorized an Examiner's Amendment to overcome these issues.			
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.			
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.			
Attachment			
		ASML 1	224

Application No.

Applicant(s)

