

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARGENTUM PHARMACEUTICALS LLC
Petitioner

v.

ALCON RESEARCH, LTD
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,791,154
Issue Date: July 29, 2014
Title: HIGH CONCENTRATION OLOPATADINE
OPHTHALMIC COMPOSITION

Inter Partes Review No. 2016-00544

**MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R § 42.10(c)**

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) and the Board's Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response, which authorizes the parties to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission, Paper 4 at 2, Patent Owner Alcon Research, Ltd., submits the following motion for admission *pro hac vice* of Adam L. Perlman of Williams & Connolly LLP, 725 Twelfth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20005 in the above-captioned matter.

II. GOVERNING LAW, RULES, AND PRECEDENT

The Board is authorized to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), which provides that:

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

The Unified Patents Order requires that a *pro hac vice* motion “[c]ontain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding.” Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac*

Vice Admission – 37 C.F.R. § 42.10, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 at 3. A motion for *pro hac vice* admission should also be accompanied by an affidavit of the individual seeking to appear attesting to the following:

- i. Membership in good standing of the Bar of at least one State or the District of Columbia;
- ii. No suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body;
- iii. No application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body ever denied;
- iv. No sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body;
- v. The individual seeking to appear has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.;
- vi. The individual will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et. seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);
- vii. All other proceedings before the Office for which the individual has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the last three (3) years; and
- viii. Familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission – 37 C.F.R. § 42.10, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 at 3.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Based on the following facts, and supported by the Affidavit of Mr. Perlman (Ex. 2001) submitted herewith, Patent Owner requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Adam L. Perlman in this proceeding:

1. Patent Owner's lead counsel, David M. Krinsky (Reg. No. 72,339), and back-up counsel, Thomas S. Fletcher (Reg. No. 72,383), are registered practitioners before the Board.
2. Mr. Perlman is an experienced litigation attorney. Mr. Perlman has more than sixteen (16) years of patent litigation experience. Ex. 2001 ¶ 1.
3. Mr. Perlman has established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. As detailed below, Mr. Perlman is lead trial counsel to Patent Owner's related proceeding in which the '154 patent is at issue. Ex. 2001 ¶ 10.
4. Mr. Perlman is a member in good standing of the bars of the State of Maryland and the District of Columbia. Ex. 2001 ¶ 3.
5. Mr. Perlman has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. Ex. 2001 ¶ 4.
6. No court or administrative body has ever denied Mr. Perlman's application for admission to practice before it. Ex. 2001 ¶ 5.

7. No court or administrative body has ever imposed sanctions or contempt citations on Mr. Perlman. Ex. 2001 ¶ 6.
8. Mr. Perlman has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R. Ex. 2001 ¶ 7.
9. Mr. Perlman understands that he will be subject to the USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq. and will be subject to disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). Ex. 2001 ¶ 8.
10. Mr. Perlman has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in eight other proceedings before the Office in the last three (3) years: (1) *Apotex Corp. v. Alcon Research Ltd.*, IPR2013-00428, challenging U.S. Patent No. 8,268,299; (2) *Apotex Corp. v. Alcon Research Ltd.*, IPR2013-00429, challenging U.S. Patent No. 8,323,630; (3) *Apotex Corp. v. Alcon Research Ltd.*, IPR2013-00430, challenging U.S. Patent No. 8,388,941; (4) *Accord Healthcare Inc. et al. v. Daiichi Sankyo Co. et al.*, IPR2015-00864, challenging U.S. Patent No. 8,404,703; (5) *Accord Healthcare Inc. et al. v. Daiichi Sankyo Co. et al.*, IPR2015-00865, challenging U.S. Patent No. 8,569,325; (6) *Neptune Generics, LLC v. Eli Lilly & Company*, IPR2016-00237,

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.