

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 about separating tolterodine from 5-HMT and trying to
3 administer a single active moiety. How would you feel
4 comfortable describing that so I don't --

5 A Okay.

6 Q That's what I meant by --

7 A How about let's just say isolation of 5-HMT.

8 Q Okay.

9 A In the development of tolterodine, you have
10 this known metabolite. Your synthetic chemist will
11 make that in order to perform the analytical chemistry
12 properly so that an authentic standard exists.

13 Q Okay.

14 A That's all the motivation necessary to
15 prepare it and isolate it.

16 Q Uh-huh.

17 A Having that in hand, then you have the
18 synthetic method, that facilitates further
19 investigation of the molecule.

20 Q Okay. So maybe let me ask it this way: Why
21 would a person of ordinary skill in the art in 1998 not
22 have tried to formulate 5-HMT in a formulation for
23 delivery as distinguished from designing a prodrug?

24 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

25 THE WITNESS: A medicinal chemist would

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 prepare a prodrug. That would be a
3 formulation exercise, right, so that's not
4 what we do.

5 A medicinal chemist looking at the
6 hydroxyls would recognize that esterification
7 is not a particularly difficult task for most
8 of us. It's relatively easy, and that's a
9 method that's known to increase
10 lipophilicity. So that's almost a knee-jerk
11 response, prepare a set of esters, evaluate
12 them.

13 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

14 Q (By Mr. Trainor) And was that a knee-jerk
15 response in 1998?

16 A Such chemistry has been known for decades
17 previous to 1998.

18 Q Okay. Let me be a little more articulate, I
19 hope. If the goal is to deliver 5-HMT -- well, let's
20 step back.

21 As I understand your declaration -- and maybe
22 just to get us all at a right point, I think the
23 discussion of prodrug design begins around paragraph
24 105 --

25 (Interruption in the proceedings.)

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 THE WITNESS: Pardon me. Let me turn
3 this thing off so it doesn't bug us. Okay.
4 Thanks for putting up with that.

5 MR. TRAINOR: No, no.

6 THE WITNESS: I am at paragraph 105.

7 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Well, I guess I'm trying
8 to -- what is the reason, in your view, that a skilled
9 drug developer would have had to make 5-HMT more
10 lipophilic?

11 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

12 THE WITNESS: The log D value that was
13 known of tolterodine, and so I would -- you
14 know, that's relatively low. The log D value
15 at around pH 7 was -- of that molecule was
16 between 1 and 2.

17 So we have now a more polar substrate of
18 something with a relatively log D value
19 [sic]. I might be -- I would be concerned
20 that we would see a decrease in the
21 hydroxymethyl's ability to decrease the gut.

22 I wouldn't necessarily predict that such
23 permeability would be zero, but I would
24 predict that the permeability would be
25 decreased; therefore, I would be ready to

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 attempt to increase that by increasing its
3 lipophilicity, and the more lipophilic
4 molecules to a point are known to be better
5 candidates for oral absorption.

6 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. So do I understand
7 that the hypothetical developer at that time would
8 recognize from the lipophilicity that 5-HMT, if you try
9 to deliver it per se, if you will, would not be
10 sufficiently well absorbed? Is that --

11 A It would recognize that's a possibility.

12 Q Okay. So coming back to my first question:
13 Wasn't one available solution for that to put it in a
14 dosage form that would permit delivery of the 5-HMT
15 notwithstanding concerns about its absorption?

16 A That might be -- that might be an approach.
17 A medicinal chemist would look to modify it chemically
18 in order to solve that problem.

19 Q Okay. Are there other members of the makeup
20 of the skilled drug developer besides medicinal chemist
21 who might look more toward the new dosage form?

22 A A form --

23 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

24 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yes, I think so.

25 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q (By Mr. Trainor) And a formulation chemist,
3 is that what you were going to say?

4 A (Witness nods head affirmatively.)

5 Q Okay.

6 A Sorry, I'm nodding. Yes.

7 Q That's okay.

8 So one alternative option would be to
9 formulate 5-HMT per se in, for example, a
10 controlled-release formulation; is that right?

11 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

12 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure a controlled
13 release would be the way to go.

14 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

15 THE WITNESS: I'm -- not being a
16 formulation chemist, it's difficult for me to
17 say what formulation would work.

18 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

19 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Well, without being a
20 formulation chemist, do you believe that some type of
21 formulation would work to achieve delivering 5-HMT per
22 se --

23 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

24 Q -- even if it's not a controlled-release
25 formulation?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 MS. WOOTEN: Sorry. Same objection.

3 Objection, form.

4 THE WITNESS: Difficult for me to say
5 not being a formulation chemist.

6 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

7 THE WITNESS: And I don't advocate
8 sole -- you know, chemical modification as a
9 sole response to solve a problem. A project
10 manager might want to look at -- right, so
11 this is just simply the first thing just
12 simply because the relative ease of
13 esterification of the molecule that you would
14 already have because you made it knowing it's
15 a metabolite.

16 Q (By Mr. Trainor) But if you do that, you're
17 creating a new chemical entity, right?

18 A That's probably going to be the case. There
19 probably would not be known esters of that molecule.

20 Q Okay. Now, what about administering 5-HMT
21 per se, wouldn't you attempt to do that before going to
22 the trouble of a prodrug?

23 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

24 THE WITNESS: I would perform a KCO2
25 assay for oral availability or have someone

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 do it for me.

3 Q (By Mr. Trainor) And depending on the
4 results, the simplest method would be just administer
5 it per se, correct, 5-HMT?

6 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

7 THE WITNESS: If the results predicted
8 low oral availability, I would commence
9 preparation of prodrug.

10 MR. TRAINOR: Right.

11 Q (By Mr. Trainor) If the results were
12 sufficient for oral availability, then you would agree
13 it would be much simpler just to administer the 5-HMT
14 per se, correct?

15 A I would -- right.

16 Q Yes?

17 A Yes, yes.

18 Q Okay. And a drug developer at the relevant
19 time -- strike that.

20 Would the drug developer at the relevant time
21 attempt to do that before jumping to an analog or a
22 prodrug approach just in case it worked?

23 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

24 THE WITNESS: Are you asking me if the
25 synthetic lab would make such a prodrug

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 before performing a KCO2 predictor or before
3 doing an oral availability?

4 MR. TRAINOR: Yes.

5 THE WITNESS: Okay. Maybe.

6 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

7 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. Why would that be
8 reasonable in terms of resources?

9 A It would depend on how certain you were that
10 you were going to need to do it.

11 Q Okay.

12 A That's why I say maybe.

13 Q Okay. Now, the absorption of 5-HMT was not
14 known prior to 1998, correct?

15 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

16 THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to
17 that. It was not -- yeah, I don't know the
18 answer to that. I don't think it was
19 reported in the literature.

20 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

21 Q (By Mr. Trainor) And you would agree that
22 lipophilicity can be an indicator of oral absorption,
23 but that's not always the case, correct?

24 A It can -- it can, yes, it can be an indicator
25 of whether or not a compound is likely to get in,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 right.

3 Q Okay, but it's not always the case?

4 A There are exceptions where molecules are
5 transported in. There are cases, though may
6 understanding is they're quite rare, where it passes
7 between the cells to get in.

8 Q Okay. Now, based on the calculated
9 lipophilicity -- did you do that? I'm looking at the
10 section -- in any event, your view of the calculated or
11 theoretic lipophilicity of the 5-HMT structure itself
12 was that it would not be sufficiently absorbed; is that
13 right?

14 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

15 THE WITNESS: Are you referring to
16 Professor Rouse's calculation?

17 MR. TRAINOR: Did you do a calculation?

18 THE WITNESS: I did not.

19 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

20 Q (By Mr. Trainor) So without doing the
21 calculation, how would you arrive at the conclusion
22 that 5-HMT needed to be more lipophilic?

23 A Because the parent, tolterodine, isn't
24 particularly lipophilic; its log D is less than 2. And
25 so you make the thing more polar by putting hydroxy

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 group on there, the lipophilicity is going to go down.
3 My experience with polyols is that many of them don't
4 get in passively very well.

5 Q Okay. Was that routine, in 1998, to
6 extrapolate the propensity to be absorbed from one
7 analog to another based on lipophilicity?

8 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

9 THE WITNESS: So based on structure, it
10 was to predict a relative. So you put a
11 polar moiety on the thing, you would predict
12 it would have a lesser lipophilicity than its
13 parent molecule.

14 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

15 Q (By Mr. Trainor) I think earlier you agreed
16 that tolterodine seemed to be well absorbed.

17 A Yes.

18 Q So even if the -- even if 5-HMT structure
19 suggested a lower lipophilicity, how could you conclude
20 that 5-HMT would not itself be sufficiently orally
21 absorbed?

22 A I wouldn't conclude with certainty as you
23 expressed it. I would say it might not be adequately
24 absorbed.

25 Q Okay, but wouldn't you want to go on more

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 than the possibility before going to the process of
3 designing a prodrug?

4 A Well, design of an ester is really quite
5 easy, and that's routinely done with polyols. I would
6 do the KCO2 assay before initiating a synthetic effort.

7 Q Okay. And what about the option of making
8 analogs of 5-HMT that aren't necessarily prodrugs, but
9 just chemical analogs to --

10 A Right.

11 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

12 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. The reason I
13 wouldn't consider that as a first-pass
14 approach or first approach -- let's not say
15 first pass, so I apologize for that, but as a
16 first approach, is that it's known that 5-HMT
17 is quite potent, it's equipotent to a
18 molecule that was taken to the clack
19 [phonetic]. So the structural modifications,
20 what if that, you know, abolishes the
21 activity.

22 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

23 THE WITNESS: Right? Now, that might be
24 something I would be interested in doing had
25 I enough people in my lab to prepare

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 synthetic analogs, but that wouldn't be my
3 first approach.

4 Q (By Mr. Trainor) But it's equally possible
5 that you could make an analog that would actually
6 increase 5-HMT's potency, correct?

7 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

8 THE WITNESS: That might be -- yeah,
9 that might be the case. If you're making
10 analogs, ideally that's what you want to
11 do.

12 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

13 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Now, just sticking again
14 with the absorption part of it, would you agree that
15 the theoretical log P of -- I'm sorry, let me reask the
16 question. Is it log P or log D that is the preferred
17 indicator of lipophilicity?

18 A Both are used. I think both are very
19 similar.

20 Q Okay.

21 A I think both can be used, you know, in, you
22 know, ranking, right.

23 Q Okay. So how about I ask it this way:
24 However appropriately measured, would you agree that
25 the calculated lipophilicity for 5-HMT, based on its

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 structure, fell within the range of other compounds
3 that had been deemed to be sufficiently absorbed?

4 A Can you --

5 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

6 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Can you
7 show me an example where someone calculated
8 the --

9 MR. TRAINOR: I can. I sort of didn't
10 have that in my order here, so maybe we'll
11 come back to it.

12 THE WITNESS: I'll be happy to rest a
13 minute or --

14 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

15 THE WITNESS: -- whatever is most
16 convenient for you.

17 MR. TRAINOR: Okay, okay.

18 Q (By Mr. Trainor) All right. So about the
19 prodrugs, you said it's relatively easy for a medicinal
20 chemist to make an ester, correct, and that was the
21 case in 1998, 1978, probably?

22 A Yes, sir.

23 Q Okay, but just making an ester of a compound
24 does not mean that it will be a prodrug, correct?

25 A I think -- well, the enzymes that hydrolyze

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 esters are widely distributed. They're in pretty
3 much -- they're in serum, they're in liver, they're in
4 most cells. So it's reasonable to expect that if you
5 make an ester, the esterases will cleave that ester.

6 Q Okay. But, again, even if you make an ester
7 and esterases cleave that ester, that doesn't
8 necessarily mean that you've made a prodrug, correct?

9 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

10 THE WITNESS: Well, now, if the drug is
11 cleaved in vivo, I would say that you have a
12 prodrug.

13 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

14 THE WITNESS: It may not be a perfect
15 prodrug.

16 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

17 THE WITNESS: But it is, in fact, if it
18 releases the active.

19 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Well, according to
20 Bundgaard, which is another one of the papers that you
21 rely on -- let me get that for you. Exhibit 1012.
22 Let me keep talking and I'll hand it -- the accepted
23 definition by those skilled in the art in 1998 of a
24 prodrug was one where the delivering molecule is
25 inactive, correct?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

3 THE WITNESS: If you wish to argue that,
4 you know, that doesn't affect what you're
5 trying to accomplish, in my opinion. You can
6 call it something else if you like. If your
7 modified derivative has some affinity for
8 your target receptor, it still, you know,
9 crosses, the drug is liberated, the desired
10 drug is liberated by the esterases, and
11 you've accomplished your task.

12 So the -- you may say that, well, by the
13 strictest definition, this isn't a prodrug,
14 but what we've done is made our target
15 molecule appropriately bioavailable.

16 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

17 THE WITNESS: And that's our goal.

18 Q (By Mr. Trainor) I understand that that's
19 the goal, but I want to figure out what the definition
20 was, to your understanding, in 1998 to skilled
21 artisans.

22 MR. TRAINOR: So just for the record,
23 I've handed Dr. Patterson what is
24 Exhibit 1012 to both of his declarations.
25 This is a series of chapters, maybe the whole

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 book, but first author is Bundgaard.

3 Q (By Mr. Trainor) And I believe -- do you
4 have reason to believe that Bundgaard, in this treatise
5 that you rely upon, does not define a prodrug as
6 inactive?

7 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

8 THE WITNESS: You know, I don't recall
9 if Bundgaard defines prodrugs as active or
10 inactive. What I will say is that people are
11 very often sloppy because we talk about
12 prodrugs as being active -- or inactive, I'm
13 sorry, until, you know, some activation event
14 happens, either metabolic or spontaneous.

15 Nucleosides are molecules that require
16 metabolic activation in order for them to
17 inhibit the polymerases for which they're
18 designed. People don't usually refer to
19 nucleosides as prodrugs, but if we accept
20 that strict definition, they are, in fact,
21 prodrugs. I won't argue, you know, such
22 small points.

23 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. Well, I don't think
24 it's a small point because the majority of your opinion
25 as to the obviousness of making a prodrug of 5-HMT

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 stems from this Bundgaard reference, who's published a
3 whole book on prodrugs. I have it here. If you turn
4 to the very first page --

5 A Okay.

6 Q -- page 8, Chapter 1, "Design of Prodrugs,"
7 in the very first paragraph.

8 A Page 8 of the exhibit or page 8 of the
9 reference?

10 Q I'm sorry, it's page 8 of the Exhibit 1012.
11 Sorry.

12 A Okay. There I am, okay.

13 Q In the middle of that introductory paragraph,
14 it says, "The prodrug per se is an inactive species,
15 and therefore, once its job is completed, intact
16 prodrug represents unavailable drug." Do you see that?

17 A Okay.

18 Q So is it fair to say that at least according
19 to this prior art reference, the definition of a
20 prodrug is one that remains inactive even if it's
21 not -- if it doesn't convert?

22 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

23 THE WITNESS: Okay. According to
24 Bundgaard, the way he defines it there.

25 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q (By Mr. Trainor) So is it your view that
3 that is not the appropriate definition that's used in
4 this treatise?

5 A I'm okay with that even if my attempt to --
6 or my attempt isn't to make an inactive; it's to get
7 the target molecule into the blood and have the target
8 molecule released by the esterases.

9 Q Right. That is a --

10 A You're right.

11 Q That is a goal?

12 A So if you wish to say that's not a prodrug,
13 I'll accept your correction.

14 Q Okay. Well, let's say that you esterify a
15 compound and it cleaves, but a certain percentage of
16 that prodrug doesn't convert. It's quite important
17 that that prodrug remain biologically inactive,
18 correct; otherwise, we're just back at the problem that
19 we had with tolterodine that you wanted to eliminate,
20 no?

21 A That might present some concern.

22 Q Okay.

23 A But the main problem to eliminate by
24 prodrugging is the variable metabolism by CYP2A.

25 Q Understood.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A Uh-huh.

3 Q And prodrugs are designed for all sorts of
4 reasons, correct?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Including improving absorption?

7 A Yes.

8 Q But so my point was simply, you would agree
9 with me that just esterifying a compound and confirming
10 that it cleaves does not necessarily mean that you have
11 made a successful prodrug to the extent that the
12 prodrug is active, correct?

13 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

14 THE WITNESS: What I would say is, you
15 know, just because of an ester, what -- my
16 response to that is if that becomes a
17 concern, I think that among a -- you know, a
18 selection of esters, I would find one with
19 the desired properties.

20 MR. TRAINOR: Right.

21 THE WITNESS: So...

22 Q (By Mr. Trainor) And until you find one that
23 meets that definition of a prodrug, correct?

24 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

25 THE WITNESS: If I care about that,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 so...

3 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Well, if you don't care,
4 then you might end up with what you termed a
5 prodrug-like compound like tolterodine, correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And then we now have three entities: the
8 promoiety, the potentially active prodrug, and the
9 metabolite. In that event, doesn't that further
10 exasperate the concerns you had with the complex
11 metabolism of tolterodine?

12 A Well, the esterases are typically efficient,
13 so I would be less concerned that the ester were
14 metabolically stable.

15 Q I understand that preliminarily, at least,
16 that's got to be your first concern; if it doesn't
17 cleave, it doesn't convert, then you're on to the next
18 one. But my question is: If your prodrug is not
19 inactive, then you haven't solved the very problem that
20 you've identified as tolterodine having, correct?

21 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

22 Q (By Mr. Trainor) You haven't eliminated a
23 pathway, you haven't eliminated an active, correct?

24 A Well, I wouldn't say that I haven't
25 eliminated a pathway because I've eliminated the CYP2D6

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 pathway of concern.

3 Q Okay.

4 A Okay?

5 Q But you -- in that example that I just
6 provided, you would still have two active ingredients,
7 correct, or two active moieties, correct?

8 A You know, if it were active and it would -- I
9 think it would also depend on the degree of activity.
10 You know, some actives we define, you know, have
11 activity that's so low that it's not clinically
12 relevant.

13 Q Okay, but you have to confirm that, correct?

14 A It would be a matter of routine testing.

15 Q Is that right? How routine would that be?

16 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

17 THE WITNESS: Well, since the assays are
18 established in this case, you use the
19 existing assays.

20 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Well, but in order to
21 confirm that you have an inactive prodrug, you've got
22 to test that in humans, no?

23 A You would know that what -- by the cell
24 culture methods discussed with the CHO cells, whether
25 it had an affinity for the M3 -- or the muscarinic

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 receptors.

3 Q Why don't you turn to page 4 of Bundgaard,
4 please.

5 A Four of the --

6 Q I'm sorry, page 4 of Bundgaard, page 10 of
7 the exhibit.

8 A Okay. I thought you said page 4 of the
9 actual text. I just want to make sure we're on the
10 same page.

11 Q Okay. Now, in this first full paragraph that
12 begins "Sometimes," this paragraph is reporting about
13 these penicillin esters that I want to get to. You
14 mentioned them in your declaration.

15 For the moment, I just want to focus your
16 attention on -- if you look at the second sentence --
17 third sentence, rather, it says, "Although various
18 simple alkyl and aryl esters of the thiazolidine
19 carboxyl group hydrolyzed rapidly to the free
20 penicillin acid in animals, such as rodents, they
21 proved to be far too stable in man to have any
22 therapeutic potential. This illustrates also, as do
23 many other examples, the occurrence of marked species
24 differences in the in vivo hydrolysis of ester
25 prodrugs." Do you see that?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A Yes.

3 Q And that would suggest to you that you would
4 have to take your prodrug all the way into humans to
5 determine whether or not it has therapeutic potential
6 or therapeutic drawbacks, correct?

7 A Certainly not.

8 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

9 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.

10 Q (By Mr. Trainor) No?

11 A Certainly not, no.

12 Q How do you reconcile that with this --

13 A The comment --

14 MS. WOOTEN: Hold on.

15 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.

16 MS. WOOTEN: Whatever the question is,
17 just be clear about who's talking.

18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.

19 MR. TRAINOR: Sorry.

20 THE WITNESS: I didn't mean to step on
21 you.

22 MR. TRAINOR: That's okay.

23 THE WITNESS: I'm eager to answer
24 because this is -- routinely is. You use
25 human serum. Esterases are abundant in human

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 serum. In addition, they're abundant in
3 human liver, so you could use, for example,
4 the S9 fraction or the cytosolic fraction of
5 liver homogenates without going in vivo.

6 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Right, but that's not going
7 to tell you anything about whether that unmetabolized
8 prodrug binds to certain off-targets, correct?

9 A Which you also and big pharma also have
10 established assays in order to measure those.

11 Q Which are? What were those in 1998?

12 A I don't have a laundry list of them, but, you
13 know, you would use the same established assays that --
14 used as a routine to translate for clinical translation
15 of the parent drug.

16 Q Okay, but can you just explain that to me?
17 How do you assay for activity at nontarget receptors
18 without administering the prodrug to subjects?

19 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

20 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Can you just explain the
21 assay to me?

22 A There is a -- since I'm not an entomologist,
23 I'm not going to attempt to go into the technical
24 details.

25 Q Okay.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A These things exist. There is a Chinese
3 hamster ovary cell model that exists for the muscarinic
4 ones.

5 Q Okay. In any case, can we just talk about
6 the -- let's just talk about the properties that are
7 required of a prodrug in your view or in your opinion
8 as of the view of the skilled artisan in 1998. So it
9 needs to convert, correct?

10 A (No verbal response.)

11 Q You may be looking for a certain rate of
12 conversion, correct? Yes?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Sometimes you want a very rapid rate of
15 conversion, correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Other times not so rapid?

18 A Depending on your reason for it.

19 Q Okay. And if your reason is to improve
20 absorption, what rate of conversion are you looking
21 for?

22 A I would look for a relatively rapid rate of
23 conversion.

24 Q Okay. Now, let's just continue on. So then
25 the prodrug needs to be stable pre-administration,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And would you describe lability as the same
5 thing as conversion rate?

6 A That would depend on the context. We could
7 discuss enzymatic lability, chemical lability, right?

8 Q Uh-huh. Okay. You need to ensure that the
9 prodrug is inactive; we discussed that. You would also
10 need to ensure that the pro moiety is not itself toxic
11 or active, correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And are there any other properties of a
14 prodrug that you're looking for in designing one?

15 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

16 THE WITNESS: You covered the major
17 ones, I believe.

18 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

19 Q (By Mr. Trainor) So -- and in the prior art
20 by 1998, it was known that simple alkyl esters often
21 had poor stability, correct?

22 A Well, poor enzymatic stability can isolate
23 most simple alkyl esters. Put them in a bottle.

24 Q Okay. So you do not agree that the prior art
25 reported simple alkyl esters were not always stable?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A Well, were not always stable, that I think is
3 true.

4 Q Okay.

5 A Most of them, the simple alkyl ones, you can
6 isolate and put in a bottle, keep in a lab. They're
7 reasonably stable.

8 Q Okay. And would you agree that in the prior
9 art prior to 1998, there were a number of examples
10 where simple alkyl esters did not sufficiently convert?

11 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

12 THE WITNESS: Bundgaard mentions some
13 specific examples.

14 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Are these the Ampicillin --
15 the penicillin prodrugs?

16 A That's one.

17 Q Okay. So now let's look back at the
18 reference. So if you look at the table of --

19 A Is it page 4?

20 Q Yeah, well, I'll just go back to the first
21 page because I got caught up looking at the rat issue
22 with you.

23 So the introduction, he's discussing, you
24 know, use of prodrugs and active drug species
25 containing hydroxyl groups can be converted. And as he

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 gets down to page 2 with this brief intro, it says --
3 at the end of the text on page 2, before the table, it
4 says, "Various reviews have dealt with esters as
5 prodrug types, and therefore this important class will
6 only be briefly treated herein." Do you see that?

7 A Right, past esters have been considered as
8 prodrug types.

9 Q Right.

10 A Yes, I see that.

11 Q Did you -- did you ever review those
12 references that he cites as previously treating, you
13 know, basic ester prodrugs, 6 and 7 there? I think the
14 author is Bodor or something like that, B-O-D-O-R.

15 A I don't recall looking at those specific --

16 Q Okay. So then he transitions to say -- I
17 could paraphrase -- here's what's new or what's going
18 on with the ester prodrugs, and he gets into that in
19 Section 2. And then it carries over onto page 4, and
20 as you just suggested, there's a discussion of these
21 penicillin esters. Do you see that?

22 A Okay.

23 Q And there are three examples, I believe, of
24 penicillin esters that have been made and are being
25 reported on. I think you referenced them in your

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 declaration. But in any case, what Bundgaard teaches
3 here is that the simple alkyl esters for use as
4 penicillin prodrugs didn't quite work, correct?

5 A Uh-huh.

6 Q And so the solution was to make these special
7 double esters; is that right?

8 A Is that Scheme 1?

9 Q I'm reading the middle of the paragraph
10 there. It says, "A solution to the problem was found
11 in 1965...who showed that a double ester type" --
12 "a special double ester type of benzylpenicillin was
13 hydrolyzed rapidly."

14 A Okay.

15 Q So what do you understand him to be reporting
16 there about the simple alkyl esters and the need for
17 double esters?

18 A In this specific case, the simple alkyl ester
19 didn't work, so he used a different type of ester in
20 order to solve the problem.

21 Q Okay. So this would be at least one example
22 where the simple alkyl ester just won't work with a
23 particular compound as a prodrug, correct?

24 A For the these beta lactam -- or the
25 beta-lactam penicillin, that appears to be the case.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q Okay. Now, if you have to make a double
3 ester, is it -- is the resulting prodrug any less
4 beneficial than a simple alkyl ester?

5 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

6 THE WITNESS: You've accomplished the
7 prodrugging task. I might be, in this case,
8 a little concerned about the release of
9 formaldehyde, depending on the dose, but
10 you've still accomplished the task.

11 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. Now, the double
12 ester that he's describing here -- I'm trying to see --
13 I think it's Scheme 1, like you said.

14 A Uh-huh.

15 Q So that is a two-step metabolic process,
16 correct?

17 A No.

18 Q No?

19 A The first step is enzymatic, and the second
20 is spontaneous.

21 Q I see. But in any event, that's more
22 complicated than your simple one-step --

23 A Right, this is a case where -- and keep in
24 mind, organic chemists like me sometimes say it's
25 steric. In this case, I think it is, in fact, steric.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 That position is rather sterically -- is very
3 sterically congested, and Bundgaard says, well, what
4 you do is you get the promoiety a little bit further
5 away and then let the second step happen spontaneously.
6 And that's a special case, you know, given as an
7 exception, and I don't think that's meant to be
8 interpreted as a general example.

9 Q Right, but it nonetheless is an example of
10 how you design a prodrug in instances where a simple
11 alkyl ester or simple aryl ester may not work?

12 A That's right.

13 Q Okay. Now, does the double ester penicillin
14 prodrugs -- strike that.

15 Do the double ester penicillin prodrugs have
16 more carbons than the simple alkyl esters?

17 A I haven't sat down to count that. I think it
18 would depend on the -- in this case, the type of
19 alcohol used to make the simple ester and then the type
20 of acid used to make, you know, what you refer to as a
21 double ester.

22 Q Okay.

23 A So some of them might; some of them might
24 not.

25 Q Okay. In some cases, would they exceed six

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 carbons?

3 A Bundgaard doesn't give an example of an alkyl
4 ester here that does.

5 Q No, no, no, no. I'm just asking about the
6 special double ester penicillin prodrugs.

7 A Right, the -- these carboxymethyl esters that
8 Bundgaard lists here, none of them contain -- the alkyl
9 ones don't contain more than six carbons, the alkyl
10 moiety of that, so there's a four-carbon one and a
11 two-carbon one listed here.

12 Q When you say "listed," can you direct me --

13 A Okay, I'm sorry. It is the top of page 5.

14 Q You mean the illustration?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay.

17 MS. WOOTEN: Page 5 of the book.

18 THE WITNESS: Thank you, page 5 of the
19 book.

20 MR. TRAINOR: Page 10 of Exhibit 1012?

21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, page 10.

22 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. Now, just to --
23 turning back for a minute to the -- well, one of the
24 things that you say, for the predictability of the
25 alkyl esters that one would experiment with, is the

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Lipinski rule of five. Do you remember that?

3 A Yes, I do.

4 Q Okay. Let me hand you that.

5 MR. TRAINOR: And this is -- what I'm
6 handing the witness is Exhibit 1019 in all
7 of his -- in both of his depositions.

8 THE WITNESS: After we complete this
9 question, is it okay if we have a bathroom
10 break?

11 MR. TRAINOR: Of course.

12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

13 MR. TRAINOR: Actually, I don't have a
14 question. I was just giving you the
15 document, so we can go off the record.
16 That's fine.

17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

18 MR. TRAINOR: Of course.

19 (Recess taken.)

20 Q (By Mr. Trainor) So before we broke, I
21 handed you Exhibit 1019, but I actually -- for now I
22 want to ask you a few more questions about Bundgaard,
23 which is 1012, so sorry for the confusion.

24 A That's okay.

25 Q So in Bundgaard, there is a table, Table 2,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 which is at page 9 of the exhibit and page 3 of the
3 document that I believe you reproduced in your
4 declaration. I'm certain of it. And there are -- this
5 is a -- why don't you explain what this table reflects,
6 Table 2.

7 A These are specific examples of ester-type
8 prodrugs of hydroxy compounds, so phenols and alcohols.

9 Q Okay. So all of the drugs in the left-hand
10 column of Table 2, those are successful prodrugs; is
11 that right?

12 A They have been on the market at one time.
13 I'm not sure if all of them are -- well, you know, here
14 in "Drug" it says phenols, so that's a class of
15 molecules; that's not a specific drug.

16 Q Okay.

17 A Right? So we don't want to issue such a
18 broad, blanket statement.

19 Q Okay. And the middle column describes the
20 type of ester that was used to esterify the
21 corresponding drug in the left-hand column; is that
22 right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Okay. Now, there are a number of different
25 types of esters in this table, correct?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A Yes.

3 Q Okay. And they're not all simple alkyl
4 esters, correct?

5 A That's right.

6 Q Okay. There are carboxylate and carbonate,
7 phosphatase esters, monoesters, diesters, and so on and
8 so forth.

9 Now, are all of the esters that are listed as
10 examples of esters in these known prodrugs esters
11 having less than six carbons?

12 A Many of them are, but not -- and some of
13 them, you know, carbonate esters, for that we don't
14 know. Your phosphate ester, that's not a -- that's an
15 inorganic phosphate ester. Many of them are, but
16 not -- let's see. I'm looking through. Aromatic, the
17 aromatic ones would have at least seven, so not all of
18 them.

19 Q Okay, but it's fair to say that this
20 Bundgaard reference teaches a number of successful
21 ester prodrugs where the ester group comprised more
22 than six carbons?

23 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

24 MR. TRAINOR: I don't know what's wrong
25 with the form, but I can ask the question

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 again.

3 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Is it fair to say that this
4 Bundgaard reference teaches a number of examples of
5 known prodrugs where the ester group comprised more
6 than six carbons?

7 A Some do.

8 Q Some do, so --

9 A Some are -- some contain more than six
10 carbons.

11 Q Okay. So the answer is yes?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Okay. Now, a person of skill in 1998 could
14 have tried to esterify 5-HMT, assuming they wanted to
15 make a prodrug, with a number of these esters in
16 Table 2, correct?

17 A The person could, yes.

18 Q Are there any of these ester groups listed in
19 Table 2 that, for reasons beyond my skillset, would
20 not -- would not be available as potential ester
21 groups?

22 A I don't think so.

23 Q Okay. Now, if you page through the rest of
24 this chapter in Bundgaard, and we're only going to
25 focus, I believe, on this first chapter, after the

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 discussion of esters in Section 2, we get to, on page
3 10 of the reference, page 13 of Exhibit 1012, a new
4 section on "Prodrugs for amides, imides and other
5 NH-acidic compounds."

6 A I'm sorry, I lost the page here.

7 Q So page -- if you're looking at the bottom
8 numbers, it's page 13.

9 A Okay, I'm there.

10 Q Okay. Now -- and then there are a number of
11 examples in this next section. The first is N-Mannich
12 bases.

13 A Okay.

14 Q Could a person of skill have attempted to
15 make a N-Mannich base prodrug of 5-HMT in 1998?

16 A I think so, yes. The -- in this case,
17 though, I don't think they would be -- you know,
18 according to the description here, I don't think they
19 would really be -- because they're talking about
20 NH-acidic compounds, so amides, imides, carbamates,
21 hydantoins for aliphatic and aromatic amines, right?
22 That's not the class of molecule that we're dealing
23 with.

24 Q Okay, so this teaching of -- well, that's a
25 fair point. So this section is discussing the

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 application of N-Mannich bases to specific classes of
3 compounds of which 5-HMT would not fall --

4 A Right.

5 Q -- nonetheless, in your experience, would it
6 be possible to make a N-Mannich base prodrug of 5-HMT?

7 A Since it's a tertiary amine, I think that
8 would be a bad choice.

9 Q Okay. Now, how about if you turn to page 16
10 of both the reference and the exhibit.

11 A Okay, I'm there.

12 Q There's a discussion of N-hydroxymethyl
13 derivatives.

14 A I see that.

15 Q Could N-hydroxymethyl derivatives be
16 conjugated with 5-HMT to make a potentially viable
17 prodrug?

18 A Okay, sorry, ask the question again. I'm
19 sorry.

20 Q Could you make a prodrug of 5-HMT by making
21 it an N-hydroxymethyl derivative?

22 A N-hydroxymethyl? Since it's a tertiary
23 amine, I think that would be a bad choice.

24 Q Okay. Impossible or bad choice?

25 A It would be very difficult to -- in this

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 case, you would be quaternizing that tertiary amine.

3 Q Right.

4 A I think that would likely give you an
5 unstable --

6 Q Got it. Okay.

7 Now, if you go to the next N-Mannich base
8 example, this is at page 18 of Exhibit 2012 [sic] and
9 page 21 of the reference.

10 A Okay.

11 Q These are N-acyloxyalkyl derivatives.

12 A Okay.

13 Q Now, you would agree that these would be
14 possible prodrug constituents for 5-HMT, correct?

15 A In the context of this, no, I do not, because
16 once again, the example here is for prodrugging a
17 primary or secondary amine.

18 Q Well, I'm looking at 3.3. Unless I'm reading
19 this incorrectly, it says, "In recent years,
20 N-acyloxyalkylation has become a commonly used approach
21 to obtain prodrugs of various amides, imides,
22 hydantoins, uracils, tertiary or N-heterocyclic
23 amines." See that?

24 A Okay, yes.

25 Q So would you agree that in 1998, one option

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 for a prodrug of 5-HMT would have been N-acyloxylalkyl
3 derivatives?

4 A That would be one option.

5 Q Okay. Certainly according to --

6 A According to --

7 Q -- Bundgaard?

8 A -- Bundgaard.

9 Q Okay. Now, if you go to page 21 of Exhibit
10 1012, and this is page 27 of the chapter --

11 A Okay.

12 Q -- there's a section that begins at No. 4.
13 The heading is "4. Prodrugs for amines." Do you see
14 that?

15 A Okay.

16 Q So would you agree that 5-HMT, being an
17 amine, would be capable of being made into a prodrug
18 by, for example, N-acyl derivatives?

19 A No.

20 Q No. Okay.

21 A It's a tertiary amine.

22 Q Okay. And let's see. If you go to page 25
23 of Exhibit 1012, and it's page 35 of the chapter,
24 "Quaternary Derivatives of Tertiary Amines."

25 A Uh-huh.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q Now, we've been across this a few times
3 today. Could you explain to me why making a quaternary
4 derivative of a tertiary amine would not be useful as a
5 prodrug?

6 A In this case, the idea is to make it more
7 lipophilic. You're putting a charge on that nitrogen
8 that's not going to accomplish that.

9 Q I see. And that's because this method at
10 4.3, the quaternary derivatives of tertiary amines,
11 would be useful to make a prodrug to solve a solubility
12 problem as opposed to an absorption problem; is that
13 right?

14 A I would use it --

15 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

16 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. I would
17 use it for such a reason.

18 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

19 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. Now, just flipping
20 over here, page 36 and -- yeah, 36, there is a separate
21 section on Mannich bases, I guess Mannich bases under
22 the greater heading of "Prodrugs for amines."

23 A Uh-huh.

24 Q So I guess consistent with what you had
25 mentioned earlier, you'd agree that according to

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Bundgaard, N-Mannich bases are -- would have been
3 available as alternatives to esters as prodrug
4 substituents of 5-HMT?

5 A I suppose you could attempt to do that at the
6 ammonium moiety.

7 Q Well, there's no ammonium moiety in 5-HMT.

8 A In water, it's going to be proteinated, so
9 that's what I was referring to, so the tertiary -- the
10 amino moiety, then.

11 Q I see. So if you were to design a prodrug
12 using an N-Mannich base, according to Bundgaard, of
13 5-HMT, you would be making the substitution on the
14 amino group?

15 A Yes, sir. In fact, in Scheme 16, he gives
16 the example as an amide.

17 Q Scheme 16?

18 A I'm sorry, Scheme 15, about three-quarters of
19 the way down, he gives that as an example of, you know,
20 using an amide, and the examples given in Table 12, you
21 know, Mannich base formation of amines.

22 Q Okay. And so in your declaration, you
23 provide your view that the person designing a prodrug
24 of 5-HMT would have had to select between the two and
25 five or both positions of the phenyl ring.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Does this disclosure in the Bundgaard
3 reference at page 36 and 37 change your view about the
4 possible locations for the location of the pro
5 substituent?

6 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

7 THE WITNESS: It doesn't change my view
8 about the possible locations, and it doesn't
9 change -- it doesn't change my view about the
10 ones to begin with first, the ones for which
11 I would select.

12 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. So is it your
13 testimony that you would begin with the hydroxyl groups
14 on the phenyl ring as a matter of first course in
15 designing a 5-HMT prodrug, but it would also be
16 possible to substitute a Mannich base on the amino
17 group even if you didn't try that first; is that right?

18 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

19 THE WITNESS: I think it would be a bad
20 idea to -- I certainly wouldn't try to
21 derivatize that tertiary amine as a first
22 course of action.

23 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Why not?

24 A Because the ester formation is simpler, and
25 we derivatize that tertiary amine, then we're typically

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 going to end up with a clot, and then that's not going
3 to help me get it across the gut, I don't believe.

4 Q Okay. Okay. And how about these other
5 examples on page 38 and 39 of Bundgaard, the Schiff
6 bases at 4.5 and the enamines at 4.6?

7 A Right, those wouldn't be appropriate because
8 a Schiff base is the result of reaction between a
9 primary amine and a ketone.

10 Q Okay. I got you.

11 All right. Let's see if there's anything
12 else here. Now, at page 51, Bundgaard is disclosing a
13 new type of prodrug. This is No. 6 in the sequence of
14 the chapter. It says "Ring-opened derivatives as
15 prodrugs for cyclic drugs."

16 A Uh-huh.

17 Q Would 5-HMT be characterized as a cyclic
18 drug?

19 A Well, there are phenyl rings on it.

20 Q That's why I ask.

21 A So you might -- I wouldn't consider that
22 cyclic in the same way as the example given here, as
23 the barbiturate.

24 Q Okay.

25 A Right.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q And I suppose if we get to page 62 of the
3 chapter, which is page 39 of the Exhibit 1012, that
4 your answer would be the same as to cyclic prodrug
5 derivatives?

6 A Under 7.1, lactones?

7 Q Uh-huh.

8 A Right.

9 Q That's for compounds with open chains?

10 A Right.

11 Q I see. Okay.

12 Okay. And just one more. When you get to
13 page 76 of the chapter, page 45 of Exhibit 1012,
14 there's a short discussion here about "Enzyme-specified
15 Prodrugs for Acyclovir."

16 A Uh-huh, I see that.

17 Q I wanted to ask you about that. You see
18 acyclovir come up in a lot of these prodrug references.
19 Can you just explain what Bundgaard is teaching there?
20 Is this an instance where -- I'll let you explain.
21 Sorry.

22 A Okay. Let me take a few minutes to see what
23 Bundgaard says about the molecule.

24 So in this case, Bundgaard describes poor
25 oral availability because of poor water solubility,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 right, and also low lipophilicity, and then -- so there
3 are some prodrug approaches. So the Molecule No. 151
4 here is one such example, so it's -- you know,
5 Bundgaard tells us it's more water soluble, and then
6 there's an adenosine deaminase that converts it to the
7 drug that way, so it dissolves better in the gut. And
8 so the examples here are given as examples to improve
9 water solubility so that it gets in better.

10 Now, acyclovir is one of those that those of
11 us who have experience in nucleosides look to a lot,
12 and there's a valacyclovir prodrug, which is a valerate
13 ester, and there's a different approach to that --

14 Q Right.

15 A -- right, so in that case, you solve that
16 molecule -- solve that issue -- so in that case, you're
17 working on the poor lipophilicity with that one.

18 Q Okay. Is what's being described here the
19 design of a prodrug of acyclovir to target a specific
20 enzyme like CYP2D6 as opposed to just targeting
21 esterases?

22 A It depends on which approach you're taking.
23 So valacyclovirs get -- once it gets in, right, so this
24 is one of these nucleoside-type molecules where we
25 discussed earlier where one might say valacyclovir is

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 itself a prodrug, though that's not -- often not the
3 case.

4 So that molecule is phosphorylated by a
5 kinase that's in the virus. And then that gets
6 converted to the triphosphate, and then that becomes a
7 substrate for the viral polymerase, and that gets
8 incorporated in the copy of the viral genome. And then
9 when it's there, there's -- it can't elongate the
10 chain, so it inhibits viral replication that way.

11 Q Okay.

12 A I'm not sure that --

13 Q No, no, no, no, I get it.

14 A Yeah.

15 Q So just to -- I want to sum up on Bundgaard
16 here. Let me just go back to that table that is
17 reproduced in your declaration at paragraph 61.

18 A I'm working on it.

19 Q Can you tell whether any of the ester groups
20 in Table 2 are or could be mixed diesters?

21 A Mixed diesters? He doesn't specify mixed
22 diesters. With epinephrine, there's a dipivaloate,
23 which is -- in this case, they're using the same
24 pivaloate for two hydroxyls.

25 Q Uh-huh, okay, but in any event, this --

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Bundgaard discloses a number of esters that can and had
3 been previously used as ester substituents on known
4 prodrugs, correct?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And there's nothing -- I think we've just
7 gone through the whole chapter in Bundgaard, but
8 there's nothing in Bundgaard that teaches the
9 isobutyryl ester specifically, correct?

10 A I think so, yes. I don't recall seeing a
11 mention.

12 Q Okay. So the answer is that's correct?

13 A That's correct. I'm sorry.

14 Q And the opinion that you have that the person
15 of skill in designing a 5-HMT prodrug would start with
16 simple alkyl esters is based on the ease of synthesis;
17 is that right?

18 A That, and it would appear that -- to me, that
19 they would give us the desired increase in
20 lipophilicity, right, so -- and they're commercially
21 available, they're similar examples to the pivaloate
22 described in Bundgaard, the valerate, you know, esters
23 that have been used for nucleosides.

24 Q Uh-huh. The same would be true for
25 carbonates, though, correct?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A A carbonate is an alternative approach.

3 Q All right. What I meant was ease of
4 synthesis, commercially available would give you more
5 lipophilicity?

6 A That could be done, yes.

7 Q Carbonates could accomplish that as well?

8 A They could.

9 Q Carbamates could accomplish that as well?

10 A They could.

11 Q How about ethers?

12 A I would select away from ethers.

13 Q Why is that?

14 A Because I would -- I might be worried that
15 they wouldn't be as labile as an acyl derivative. And
16 the ethers I'm aware of are frequently oxidized to the
17 corresponding -- or to an ester and then are cleaved by
18 the esterase.

19 Q Okay. How about carbonyls, would they be
20 available as alternatives?

21 A Can you describe what you mean by a carbonyl?

22 Q Let's come back to that when we get to the
23 patent.

24 A Okay.

25 Q But in any event, carbonates, carbamates, and

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 alkyl esters would be available as alternatives, easy
3 to synthesize, commercially available, and could
4 increase lipophilicity; is that right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q What about phosphate esters?

7 A That -- a monoester, I would -- right, or a
8 diester, I would steer away from. A triester could be
9 done. I would steer away from that because such things
10 are a little more difficult to do.

11 Q In what way, the synthesis?

12 A The synthesis and isolation.

13 Q Okay, okay. Okay. And for the esters that
14 are disclosed in Table 2 of Bundgaard here, and I know
15 that they're stated very generally -- diacetyl ester,
16 mono and diesters, carbonates, and so on and so
17 forth -- my question is: While Bundgaard discloses
18 these as having been used successfully in the past,
19 there's no teaching in here that correlates a
20 particular ester with, for example, stability, correct?

21 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

22 THE WITNESS: He doesn't say much about
23 stability except in a few specific cases
24 where the stability wasn't desired.

25 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. So he doesn't teach
3 any sort of general correlation between a particular
4 type of ester and a particular level of stability,
5 correct?

6 A Right.

7 Q And it doesn't teach anything about a
8 particular ester type and a level rate of conversion,
9 correct?

10 A Uh-huh.

11 Q Yes?

12 A Right.

13 Q Same would be true if I said it doesn't --
14 Bundgaard doesn't teach any correlation between a
15 specific ester type and a penetration rate, correct?

16 A Right.

17 Q And Bundgaard doesn't teach any correlation
18 between any specific ester type and the activity or
19 inactivity of any unconverted prodrug, correct?

20 A Right. What he does teach is that it's a
21 mature formation of these esters. These are mature --

22 Q Understood.

23 A Right, right.

24 Q Understood. So it's well known how to make
25 all of these esters, is what you're saying?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A Right, that it's -- yeah, that type of
3 prodrug is relatively mature.

4 Q Okay. But the skilled artisan at the time,
5 would you agree, would have to make a number and screen
6 them and see which of the ester prodrugs has the most
7 ideal properties as a prodrug, correct?

8 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

9 THE WITNESS: I would do such a thing
10 with the expectation that one of them or
11 maybe multiple ones would have properties
12 good enough.

13 MR. TRAINOR: Understood, understood.

14 Q (By Mr. Trainor) But in advance of making
15 them and understanding that you would have that
16 expectation, in advance of doing so, there's no
17 teaching in Bundgaard as to which specific ester
18 conjugated to a particular compound would end up being
19 one or more of the successful ones that you made,
20 correct?

21 A Correct.

22 Q Okay. Now -- you good or you want to take a
23 minute?

24 A I'm comfortable.

25 MR. TRAINOR: Are you okay?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 MS. WOOTEN: Yeah.

3 MR. TRAINOR: Okay. We can put the
4 Bundgaard aside.

5 (Discussion off the record.)

6 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Now, I had previously
7 handed you Exhibit 1019, this Lipinski paper.

8 A Okay.

9 Q And to give you some context, so if you go to
10 paragraph 121 of your declaration, Exhibit 1003, it's
11 paragraph 121, page 54.

12 A Okay.

13 Q There is a discussion of the Pfizer rule of
14 five disclosed in this Lipinski publication; is that
15 right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And just so the record is clear, if you now
18 look at that Lipinski -- Exhibit 1019, page 7 of the
19 exhibit, page 9 of the publication.

20 A Okay, Lipinski, page 9.

21 Q Okay. And in the left-hand column, in the
22 middle, there's sort of a bunch of text offset that
23 begins, "There are more than five hydrogen-bond
24 donors." Do you see that?

25 A Yes.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q Is it fair to say that that's the Lipinski
3 rule of five right there?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Okay. So can you explain to me how, if at
6 all, the person of skill would utilize this Lipinski
7 rule of five in conjunction with Bundgaard to design
8 successful prodrugs of 5-HMT?

9 A Okay. I would use that to limit my choice of
10 acyl groups to those that would not exceed the
11 lipophilicity. And the reason is that, you know, not
12 just simply because Lipinski writes against it, but
13 when you do that, you end up with poor dissolution,
14 poor solubility, and so it doesn't get in. So
15 Bundgaard teaches, you know, that the esters are a
16 viable approach.

17 Q Right.

18 A And I would select for simple acyl groups
19 first because they tend to be more stable than
20 carbamates and carbonates. Many of those are acid
21 labile, and so I would be concerned, you know, upon
22 dissolution in the stomach, they may not be as stable
23 as, you know, a simple ester.

24 Q Okay. Now, would it be fair to say that
25 looking at the catalog of esters that can be used for a

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 prodrug, as we just did in the Bundgaard Exhibit 1012,
3 that ester groups other than simple alkyl esters could
4 be used to make a 5-HMT prodrug and still fall within
5 the Lipinski rule of five?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. Are there any types of esters or other
8 prodrug substituents that we looked at, like the
9 N-Mannich bases and things like that, that would be
10 more likely not to meet this rule of five?

11 A I don't think so.

12 Q Okay. And would you agree or have you
13 considered -- strike that.

14 Have you considered whether 5-HMT per se, not
15 in prodrug form, itself meets the Lipinski rule of
16 five?

17 A It doesn't violate the rule of five, but
18 since it's so polar, it violates -- it's a -- it raises
19 a flag saying -- you know, in the same way that
20 nucleosides raise a flag, right; they're so polar,
21 right, they just don't get across the membranes very
22 well. And that would be my concern, that it's so polar
23 that it doesn't get across membranes very well. That's
24 not discussed in Lipinski, however.

25 Q Uh-huh. Because isn't it the case that

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Lipinski teaches these five rules because they are
3 indicative or inform permeation and solubility but
4 permeation?

5 A Right.

6 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

7 THE WITNESS: I think that's true, and I
8 would use it in modifying the 5-HMT.

9 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

10 Q (By Mr. Trainor) So is it your view that the
11 Lipinski rule of five should not apply when you're
12 dealing with a polar molecule or not be used or relied
13 upon?

14 A I think those sometimes are exceptions.

15 Q Okay. Now, so let me ask you -- are you sure
16 you're good? I could actually use just a quick run to
17 the restroom.

18 (Recess taken.)

19 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Dr. Patterson, I don't know
20 the answer to this. Do you know whether or do you have
21 a view as to whether if 5-HMT was delivered per se or
22 otherwise, whether it undergoes first-pass metabolism?

23 A Since it's metabolized in the liver, right,
24 and this depends on the mode of administration, so
25 since that -- you know, it's administered orally, I

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 presume, right --

3 Q Right.

4 A Right. So since it's metabolized in the
5 liver, I would expect there is -- that's the first-pass
6 organ, I would expect some first-pass metabolism
7 occurs.

8 Q Okay. So tolterodine, we know, undergoes
9 first-pass metabolism?

10 A Uh-huh.

11 Q With tolterodine, in extensive metabolizers,
12 if it metabolizes into 5-HMT in the liver and then
13 5-HMT is metabolized into whatever it gets metabolized
14 into by the 3A, that's still considered first pass
15 metabolism even though it's the second to metabolize in
16 the sequence of things?

17 A I think -- and keep in mind I'm not a
18 pharmacokinetics guy.

19 Q I understand.

20 A I look at first pass as what happens to
21 the -- with oral, right, is -- so it gets in through --
22 and then it goes from the vein to the liver. So what
23 happens when it hits the liver first and then becomes
24 distributed, right, and then, of course, it gets
25 distributed to the tissues and sees the liver again,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 and then additional metabolism can happen. So I look
3 at what happens when it goes right through that vein
4 into the liver before it gets...

5 Q All right. So the rule of thumb is if it's
6 metabolized in the liver, it's first-pass metabolism?

7 A It can --

8 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

9 THE WITNESS: It can be.

10 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

11 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. Anyway, so I want to
12 bring you to paragraph, say, 123 of your declaration,
13 Exhibit 1003. And the second sentence, it says,
14 "First, it was known that conversion of tolterodine to
15 a diester would likely create an overly lipophilic
16 compound leading to a greasy or oily compound depending
17 on the nature of the acyl group." Do you see that?

18 A Yes, I see that.

19 Q Did you mean to say tolterodine, or was that
20 5-HMT?

21 A That was -- that is an error. I meant to say
22 5-HMT because tolterodine only has one hydroxyl.

23 Q Okay. Okay, so when you say "conversion"
24 here, you mean --

25 A Synthetic.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q -- it was known that making a prodrug of
3 5-HMT using a diester would create an overly lipophilic
4 compound?

5 A Depending on the nature of the acyl groups.

6 Q Okay. I see. So there would be certain --
7 there will be certain diesters that would be adequately
8 lipophilic; is that right? Is that how to read that?

9 A There are, yeah, certain diesters that -- I
10 think -- well, what I meant to say in this case is
11 there are certain diesters that would exceed the
12 lipophilicity expected to give good oral, you know,
13 penetration, oral availability.

14 Q Right. And what is the consequence if you
15 make a compound overly lipophilic?

16 A It's poorly absorbed. It can also be, you
17 know, difficult to handle in the lab in that you get
18 this waxy, you know, oily molecule, and the synthesis
19 people don't like to -- and the formulation process
20 people don't like to handle such molecules.

21 Q Okay. So is there some sort of ceiling on
22 lipophilicity where a compound becomes too lipophilic
23 to cross the blood-brain barrier?

24 A The rule that we like to look at is similar
25 to the rule of five, but usually, you know,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 lipophilicity of around between 2 and 4.

3 Q Uh-huh. Okay.

4 A That's outside of Lipinski, but I don't guess
5 we're talking about Lipinski any longer.

6 Q Okay. In any event, back to that sentence
7 that it was -- now that it's corrected, it should read,
8 "First, it was known that conversion of 5-HMT to a
9 diester would likely create an overly lipophilic
10 compound depending on the nature of the acyl group,"
11 correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Okay. When you make a reference to it being
14 known, how was that known?

15 A It would be guided by Lipinski is what I
16 meant.

17 Q I see. So it was not -- no prodrug of 5-HMT
18 had been made before 1998, so that's not what you
19 meant, correct?

20 A Exactly.

21 Q Okay. So based on Lipinski, the supposition
22 is that diesters with certain acyl groups would be too
23 lipophilic?

24 A Right.

25 Q Correct?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A That's correct.

3 Q Now, it continues on, "In the art, it was
4 known that these compounds did not have the best oral
5 availability and were not the easiest to work with in
6 terms of processing and storage."

7 And I take it that was the explanation you
8 just provided, poor absorption and greasy and difficult
9 to handle?

10 A (Witness nods head affirmatively.)

11 Q Okay, I understand now.

12 A Uh-huh.

13 Q Okay.

14 A Thank you for the correction.

15 Q No, that's okay.

16 A Pointing out my typo. No, thank you.

17 Q I have my moments.

18 A Yeah.

19 Q Okay. So then we get to paragraph 124, and
20 you suggest that a monoester would be attempted by one
21 setting out to make a 5-HMT prodrug because it would
22 provide for a one-step process; is that right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Okay. And so, again, it's not that a
25 diester -- strike that.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 It's not that a 5-HMT prodrug that is a
3 diester would not work; it's just that, in your view, a
4 monoester is simpler and easier to make. Is that
5 right?

6 A That would be one reason for doing it. It
7 would be where I would start.

8 Q Uh-huh. Okay. That doesn't mean that a
9 diester would not work as a prodrug, correct? It's
10 just not where you would start?

11 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

12 THE WITNESS: It's not necessarily where
13 I would start, and I might say that would be
14 more likely, you know, apriori to have some
15 problems, as I -- as I mentioned in there,
16 you know, two steps to release the target
17 5-HMT as opposed to a single step.

18 Q (By Mr. Trainor) But, again, there were a
19 number of examples, for example, in Bundgaard where
20 diesters had been perfectly fine, correct?

21 A That is correct.

22 Q Okay. Now, then you move in the next
23 paragraph to, assuming I desire a monoester, you faced
24 the choice of substituting at either the two or five
25 position where the hydroxyls are located on 5-HMT,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q All right. And the concern that you have
5 that informs your opinion that the person of skill
6 would select the two position is transesterification;
7 is that right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Okay. Now, in this discussion here about
10 selecting the two position over the five and the
11 transesterification possibility, I don't see any cites
12 to any particular prior art. So can I ask what is
13 the -- what is it in the art that suggested selecting
14 the two position over the five position, if anything?

15 A It's known that a sterically bulky ester is
16 more difficult to hydrolyze than one of less
17 sterical -- from organic synthesis. You know,
18 Bundgaard cites an extreme example where we have -- in
19 the penicillin, it's so stable that it was undesirable.

20 Q Uh-huh.

21 A Right, right. And that, I think, is due to
22 the steric bulk adjacent to the position that's
23 modified, and similar here. So if I choose that
24 position and put some substitution on the alpha carbon
25 of that alkyl acid, I'm likely to find an ester that's

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 chemically stable, but still enzymatically labile.

3 Q Uh-huh. But I thought that teaching from
4 Bundgaard was that the simple aryl ester failed to
5 convert?

6 A That was an aryl, and I'm talking about an
7 alkyl. I don't think the steric bulk at this is as
8 great as that in penicillin.

9 Q Uh-huh. Okay. Just on -- you don't think
10 that the steric bulk is as great with penicillin, just
11 looking at the structure?

12 A Yes. In penicillin, there are two groups
13 adjacent to the one being modified, and that's not a
14 planar molecule. It's this lactam that's kind of a cup
15 shape, right, so there's, you know, steric bulk, you
16 know, around a good portion of that acid.

17 Here I have a carbon group, ortho to the
18 phenol, that's substituted with a phenyl group and an
19 amino alkyl group, so there's some steric bulk, and
20 then -- that's a flat molecule, so we can approach from
21 above or below. And then there's a hydrogen that's
22 unsubstituted at the other ortho position to the
23 hydroxyl. So that leaves it -- you know, there --
24 leaves it a little less sterically congested, I think,
25 than the penicillin example we discussed.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q Okay. But is there anything other than the
3 penicillin example in Bundgaard that, in your view,
4 suggested this selection of two versus five?

5 A One example that is mentioned in Bundgaard is
6 acetylsalicylic acid, and that is acetylated at the
7 phenolic position.

8 Q Uh-huh. Okay. Weren't there examples in the
9 prior art of esters at the phenol position not being
10 sufficiently labile?

11 A I don't recall phenolic ones.

12 Q Okay. Okay. Well, is there any specific
13 teaching in the prior art as to the preferred position
14 of the substitution in the case of
15 diphenylpropylamines?

16 A I don't recall seeing that.

17 Q Okay. And would you agree that if you were
18 to -- I'm getting sloppy. Would you agree that if you
19 were to make a 5-HMT prodrug by way of homologous
20 diester, then transesterification would not be a
21 concern?

22 A Yes, I agree.

23 Q You would agree?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Okay. And you said something about -- I

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 think about 5-HMT being a planar molecule; is that
3 right?

4 A The phenol moieties are planar.

5 Q I see.

6 A I didn't mean to say the entire molecule is
7 planar.

8 Q Okay.

9 A Or planar or -- you know, the phenol is flat
10 or very nearly flat, so...

11 Q Okay. Now, if the -- if it was understood
12 how the 5-HMT or analogs of it, for example,
13 tolterodine, bound to the muscarinic receptor, and from
14 that understanding, it was understood that, you know, a
15 particular position on the ring or a particular
16 hydroxyl was important to that binding, would that
17 impact your choice as to where to substitute the
18 promoiety?

19 A It might, but I would look for, you know,
20 relatively rapid release of the target drug. So I
21 don't think it would have much impact on that.

22 Q Okay. And is there a correlation between the
23 size of the ester group or the size of any promoiety
24 and the ability to be -- strike that.

25 Let's stick with esters for a minute.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A Okay.

3 Q Is there any correlation between the size of
4 the ester group and the amenability to being cleaved by
5 the enzyme?

6 A I think it depends on what you mean by
7 "size." If we're talking about steric bulk around the
8 acyl group, like, greater steric bulk would be expected
9 to increase difficulty in cleavage.

10 Q Why is that?

11 A Okay, so I may need to -- when you're talking
12 to an organic chemist, it's only a matter of time
13 before paper and pencil comes out. Let's see if we can
14 form a mental picture.

15 In order to cleave the enzyme, there's a
16 group on the esterase that has to come in and attack
17 the carbonyl moiety, right, and so if you put a bunch
18 of mess around it, right, a bunch of -- whatever word,
19 then it's difficult to do. And, in fact, t-butyl acid
20 esters are quite base stable such that they're
21 cleavable by acid, readily cleavable by acid, but for
22 this type of, you know, nucleophilic attack mediated
23 cleavage, they're quite stable to that.

24 Q Okay. So basically, the more you crowd the
25 base of the tree, the harder it is to cut the tree

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 down?

3 A That's --

4 Q Is that right?

5 A That's a good lay example.

6 Q All right. Okay. So in the -- just to make
7 sure I get your testimony, I asked you whether there
8 was any actual disclosure or teaching in the prior art
9 with regard to transesterification in forming the
10 position. Did you say that the Ampicillin or the
11 penicillin prodrugs were an example, or did I have
12 that --

13 A No, that's just an example of steric bulk --

14 Q Okay, okay.

15 A -- leading to increased stability.

16 Q Okay. Can you think of any examples from the
17 prior art that describe the vulnerability to
18 transesterification as driving the location of the
19 promoiety substitution?

20 A Not from prior art with respect to HMT.

21 Q Okay. All right. Now, moving on to the
22 isobutyryl ester specifically, the -- I think we -- I
23 think you testified that there was no specific
24 disclosure of isobutyryl in the Bundgaard textbook that
25 we looked at, correct?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A I think that's correct.

3 Q And then -- but there is this citation to a
4 Bundgaard patent, which is Exhibit 1020. I'm looking
5 at paragraph 129 of your report now.

6 A Okay. Okay.

7 Q Now, I believe -- let me give that to you.
8 I'm sorry. You know what, let's hold that for a minute
9 while I find that. Let me ask you about something
10 else.

11 A Okay.

12 Q Let me ask you to look at...

13 MR. TRAINOR: You know what, we will
14 stick to this. Bundgaard patent, Exhibit
15 1020, I'm handing to the witness now. It was
16 Exhibit 1020 to both his declarations.

17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Uh-huh.

18 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Now, in paragraph 129 of
19 your declaration, it says, "Focusing on the phenolic
20 moiety, a skilled drug designer or developer would have
21 started with simple acids of two to six carbons, as
22 suggested by Bundgaard and other prior art publications
23 such as Lipinski, and Exhibit 1020, which disclosed a
24 group of about eight esters to try."

25 So that's what this is, Exhibit 1020, which,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 for the record, is a PCT application or publication
3 where the lead inventor is Bundgaard, and it's dated
4 May 1992.

5 Now, I just want to get your understanding of
6 how this Bundgaard patent teaches making prodrugs with
7 simple carbons of two to six esters.

8 Are you looking at me?

9 A I thought you were going to -- I didn't hear
10 a question.

11 Q Oh, I'm sorry.

12 A No, that's okay.

13 Q Are you familiar with this patent or this
14 patent application?

15 A I'm familiar with -- I remember certain
16 aspects of it. I certainly haven't memorized all of
17 it.

18 Q Okay. Well, if you look at, let's see,
19 page 7 of the Exhibit 1020, which is page 5 of the
20 application --

21 A Okay.

22 Q -- it looks -- let's back up.

23 A Okay.

24 Q This is a patent directed to morphine
25 prodrugs --

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A Okay.

3 Q -- correct?

4 A I believe that's the case.

5 Q If you look at the cover page, right above
6 the figure there, it says, "Title: Topical
7 Compositions for Transdermal Delivery of Prodrug
8 Derivatives of Morphine."

9 A Right.

10 Q Okay. So if you go back to page 5, and
11 correct me if I'm wrong, what's being described at the
12 bottom are a series of esters that -- and it continues
13 on to page 6 -- that Bundgaard, in this patent, is
14 disclosing as preferred promoiety groups at either or
15 both of the free hydroxyls. Would that be right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. On the molecule. And this is a
18 good -- if you look at the last set on -- first of all,
19 are these all esters, these preferred groups from --

20 A They're preferred -- if I remember correctly,
21 they're preferred -- methoxycarbonyl, ethoxy, yeah --
22 so they're, yeah, acyl groups used to put on the
23 hydroxyl, and then, of course, the resulting derivative
24 would then be an ester.

25 Q Okay. So the set that is on page 6, you

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 asked me before what I meant by carbonyl --

3 A I see. Okay, I understand you now. Yes, so
4 that's the acyl group used to form the ester. Okay.
5 Thank you.

6 Q Would you consider those ester groups, or no?

7 A Well, I would say they're the acyl part of
8 the ester group, so --

9 Q Okay.

10 A -- for simplicity, we can refer to them as
11 esters.

12 Q Is it incorrect to call them carbonyl esters?

13 A I would say call them acyl groups, might be
14 the more correct way to do that. I'll try not to pick
15 on you too much if you're not perfectly specific with
16 chemical terms.

17 Q Okay. So let me just ask you, then: Are
18 acyl groups like the ones exemplified on page 6 of
19 Exhibit 1020, for example, the methoxycarbonyl, would
20 those groups also have been alternative substituent
21 groups in making a 5-HMT prodrug?

22 A They're certainly possible ones. In this
23 case, the one you mentioned, the methoxycarbonyl, would
24 be a carbonate ester. And, you know, as we discussed
25 earlier, for the first round, I would probably select

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 away from that, but they are, in fact, possibilities.

3 Q Okay.

4 A I would just select away from those.

5 Q Okay. Then if you -- so this disclosure
6 without any examples of these groups on 5 and 6, and
7 then if you go to page 7 of the PCT, which is page 9 of
8 Exhibit 20 [sic], there Bundgaard sets forth, beginning
9 on page 7 and over to the next page, five specific
10 examples of these prodrugs that he made. Do you see
11 that?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Okay. Is it fair to say that of the five
14 prodrugs he made, three were diesters, two were
15 monoesters? Do you see that?

16 A That sounds about right.

17 Q Okay.

18 A Let's see. Propionyl, that's a monoester.
19 There's the diesters. There's another monoester. So I
20 think you're right.

21 Q Okay. Now, is there anything in particular
22 about morphine that would suggest to a person of skill
23 that diesters are among the first choice along with
24 monoesters?

25 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 THE WITNESS: So --

3 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Well, my question was --
4 basically, you said you would start with a monoester
5 because it's simple.

6 A Right.

7 Q And Bundgaard's got this patent where he
8 makes a few monoesters, but the slight majority of
9 these prodrugs that he makes are diesters, and I'm just
10 wondering whether there's anything in particular about
11 morphine that makes diesters more promising than
12 monoesters relative to 5-HMT.

13 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

14 THE WITNESS: Not that I remember.

15 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

16 Q (By Mr. Trainor) So the -- Example 3 is a
17 diisobutyryl.

18 A Okay.

19 Q And can you tell from the table on page 8 of
20 the PCT -- I understand that the solubilities of these
21 prodrugs that were made is being compared, but what
22 does that data reflect in terms of the relative
23 solubility of these various prodrugs?

24 A Well, there's a general trend, you know, with
25 increasing log P(a), there's a decreasing solubility.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q I see.

3 A There appears to be one exception, but that's
4 a general trend.

5 Q Okay. So the diisobutyryl morphine is
6 next-to-last in this solubility comparison --

7 A Uh-huh.

8 Q -- but would that teach one of skill in the
9 art anything about the application of isobutyryl or
10 diisobutyryl to 5-HMT?

11 A I'm not sure. Since the central
12 pharmacophore is a little different, I'd hesitate to
13 try to extrapolate the log P(a) or the solubility to,
14 you know, this diphenylpropylamine.

15 Q Just because they're different structures,
16 right?

17 A Right. Right.

18 Q I see. So the properties of a prodrug
19 substituted with a certain ester group can't be
20 extrapolated to the properties of a different compound
21 substituted with the same ester group?

22 A Right, you could do it, but I think you need
23 to be careful about it. I look at that and say, oh,
24 well, the diisobutyryl, we're focusing on that, isn't,
25 you know, extremely lipophilic, right, but if I look at

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 the dihexanoyl, well, gee, that's greater than four,
3 and so I might say, well, maybe -- but I would want to
4 be careful, but maybe if I use a diisobutyryl on this
5 or an isobutyryl on this, I'm not going to exceed
6 the -- you know, the log P of 5, right, maybe. You
7 know, I would be careful, but it wouldn't -- you know,
8 it wouldn't tell me, oh, gee, that's going to be too
9 lipophilic or...

10 Q Would the data for the diisobutyryl morphine
11 prodrug tell you to any reasonable certainty what the
12 lipophilicity or other properties of a diisobutyryl
13 5-HMT would be?

14 A Again, I would be careful in doing that, but
15 it -- you know, you might say, well, gee, you know,
16 maybe this isn't going to be, you know, so lipophilic
17 that -- right, so...

18 Q Okay.

19 A Now, my experience with others, when we
20 start, you know, putting -- you know, doing these other
21 molecules, sometimes it does, sometimes it gives us
22 something more lipophilic.

23 Q Okay. And if you -- how often is it, when
24 you or a skilled artisan in 1998 is designing a prodrug
25 or a number of prodrugs, how typical is it for that

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 person to look to the properties of a proposed
3 promoiety when used in a different compound or a
4 different class of compounds?

5 A I think that's probably relatively common.
6 I'll say, oh, I saw somebody do this, so I'm going to
7 do it here. I think it's relatively common.

8 Q Okay. If you're dealing with an
9 antimuscarinic diphenylpropylamine, what, if anything,
10 would motivate that person to look to a publication on
11 morphine?

12 A Similar esters, right, similar way to, you
13 know, increase lipophilicity. I would -- you know, in
14 my experience with, you know, nucleosides, would do the
15 same thing with the nucleosides.

16 Q But this is a prior art reference that is
17 directed to transdermal delivery of a prodrug.

18 A Right.

19 Q So how would that be relevant when you're
20 trying to develop an oral prodrug?

21 A Well, we still see an increase in
22 lipophilicity, and the -- this was cited as an example
23 of limiting the choice of our acyl group, right, and
24 so, you know, your first time around, if you're being
25 aware of this, you would say, well, maybe I'll take the

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 same or some similar groups used here and do it and,
3 you know, in particular for synthesis. We often apply
4 synthetic methods from different classes of compounds
5 provided -- you know, if it's a hydroxyl, right, you
6 used it for that, let's see if it works here.

7 Q But you said you would be careful.

8 A I would be careful, but I would -- you know,
9 you've got to start somewhere.

10 Q Right, but any -- one of the core premises of
11 your view is that, you know, any esterification of any
12 molecule is likely to increase lipophilicity, correct?

13 A Not necessarily any. If we're talking about
14 an alkyl group, that's likely to increase
15 lipophilicity, an alkyl group substituting on the acyl,
16 right. Now, if it's a diacid, let's use succinate, for
17 example, and I make a monosuccinate ester, what I end
18 up with is an ester that's also a carboxylate. That's
19 relatively hydrophilic, so I'm not likely to accomplish
20 my goal of increasing lipophilicity with such an acid.

21 Q Well, wouldn't a person of the skill in
22 looking for such suggestions look for alkyl-substituted
23 compounds, you know, for the same purpose or in the
24 same class as opposed to -- you know, why would you
25 look to morphine as opposed to any other example in the

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 art where you have used alkyl groups to increase
3 lipophilicity? You could look anywhere for that,
4 correct?

5 A Right. Well, in this case, the thinking is
6 that we want to be concerned about the possibility of
7 transesterification. So we want to find something
8 that's branched alpha to the acyl moiety. And so we go
9 for the literature, and you find an example where this
10 was done and you think, well, maybe I want to be
11 careful, but maybe this would be a reasonable place to
12 start as well. Right?

13 Q Okay. But you would agree that there would
14 be any number of references which would also be good
15 places to start for that sort of analogous suggestion?

16 A There might be. I'm sure -- well, there are
17 other examples of branched-chain acyl groups used to
18 make esters.

19 Q Uh-huh. Okay. Now, just a couple of other
20 things. One is there's a mention in your declaration
21 somewhere about -- ah, here it is, I think this is
22 it -- about -- that's not exactly it -- the motivation
23 to use -- to make a prodrug of 5-HMT because 5-HMT had
24 been studied to some degree already with tolterodine,
25 correct?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A Uh-huh.

3 Q And then there is a citation to -- I'm sorry,
4 I can't pinpoint it to you, but I'm going to hand to
5 you -- this is Exhibit 1026 in both declarations, and
6 this is FDA guidance, and it's dated July -- October
7 1999. And my question is -- well, first of all, have
8 you ever filed or participated in the filing of a
9 505(b)(2) application?

10 A I have not.

11 Q Okay. Do you know of any precedent for
12 applying for approval of a prodrug like fesoterodine by
13 way of a 505(b)(2) application?

14 A None come to mind.

15 Q Okay. Okay. Now, you can put that aside.
16 I wanted to also ask you about what I started
17 asking you about, Exhibit 1018 to your declaration,
18 which I'm handing to you. And for the record,
19 Exhibit 1018 is a short publication with first and only
20 author, Ashworth. So if you want to look at your
21 declaration, the reference to this is at paragraph
22 109 --

23 A Okay.

24 Q -- which is at page 51 of Exhibit 1003.

25 Okay, so this Ashworth publication concerns

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 the approval of terfenadine; is that right?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And terfenadine -- excuse me,
5 of fexofenadine. Sorry.

6 A That's right.

7 Q So this concerns the approval of
8 fexofenadine, which was the active metabolite in
9 terfenadine. So Seldane was the name of the drug with
10 terfenadine, and Allegra is terfenadine, right?

11 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

12 THE WITNESS: Did you misspeak? Allegra
13 is fexofenadine, is it?

14 MR. TRAINOR: Allegra is fexofenadine.

15 THE WITNESS: Okay.

16 Q (By Mr. Trainor) And it is the metabolite of
17 terfenadine, which was formerly Seldane; is that right?

18 A Yes, I think that's right.

19 Q Okay. Now, earlier you were referring to
20 concerns with other drugs, including antihistamines and
21 NSAIDs. Do you recall that?

22 A I do.

23 Q Is this the -- is this what you were
24 referring to when you were thinking about the
25 antihistamines?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A It is.

3 Q Okay. Now, originally, terfenadine, or
4 Seldane, was marketed and approved, correct?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And there were some safety concerns after it
7 was marketed for a while; is that right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Which led to its removal from the market; is
10 that right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Okay. And was terfenadine active, as well as
13 its metabolite; do you know?

14 A I'm trying to remember. I don't remember if
15 it was.

16 Q Now, if you -- well, what's being disclosed
17 in this paper, right, is that terfenadine, which was
18 the carrier molecule, if you will, was reported to be
19 causing Torsades de pointes. That's the same thing
20 that terodiline that we discussed earlier was removed
21 for, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay. Now, isn't it fair to say that
24 terfenadine, the carrier molecule, the former Seldane,
25 had some activity if it was responsible for these

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 cardiac arrhythmias?

3 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

4 THE WITNESS: Well, it had at least an
5 undesirable activity, an off-target effect.

6 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Right, and the problem was
7 that it is also metabolized by CYP2D6, correct?

8 A Yeah.

9 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

10 Q (By Mr. Trainor) And for those poor
11 metabolizers who did not metabolize Seldane into
12 fexofenadine, there were unsafe levels of the Seldane
13 which were believed to be causing these arrhythmias,
14 correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And so the solution was to just administer
17 the active metabolite, fexofenadine, which was believed
18 to drive the therapeutic action and did not cause these
19 adverse effects, correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. So this is a fairly analogous set of
22 facts with Seldane and Allegra to the concern that you
23 have or had, I suppose still have, with tolterodine; is
24 that right?

25 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 THE WITNESS: Correct.

3 Q (By Mr. Trainor) And doesn't this Ashworth
4 paper suggest, then, to the extent that your concern
5 with tolterodine or the skilled artisan's concern with
6 tolterodine is a legitimate one, then the solution is
7 administration of 5-HMT per se as opposed to developing
8 a prodrug?

9 A That is -- it's one example that informed my
10 opinion, yes.

11 Q Okay. Well, it's inconsistent with your
12 opinion that you would design a prodrug as opposed to a
13 attempt to first administer the active metabolite
14 per se, correct?

15 A Not necessarily. If there were problems with
16 oral administration due to low penetration of the gut,
17 then it would be the same case.

18 Q Okay. But you would agree that as of 1998,
19 the oral absorption of orally administered 5-HMT was
20 not known one way or the other, correct?

21 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

22 THE WITNESS: It had not been
23 disclosed.

24 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

25 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Now, are you okay -- I'm

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 just going to have a last few questions on a couple of
3 documents, but I also wanted to give you the
4 opportunity to look at -- I want to make sure if the
5 reference that you had in mind is something that we
6 have, if we could take a look at it.

7 A It might be and I'll be glad to scan the
8 papers, if that's what you're asking me.

9 Q I haven't given it to you yet.

10 A Oh.

11 Q So that's kind of what I just wanted to use
12 the rest of the time to do.

13 A Okay.

14 Q So if you want to take, like, five minutes
15 and then we'll finish after that, or I'll finish.

16 A I think that's a good idea.

17 Q Great, okay. Good, we'll do that, then.

18 (Recess taken.)

19 MR. TRAINOR: Okay, I'm going to hand
20 the witness Exhibit No. 1013 to both of his
21 declarations. This is a multipage
22 publication, the lead author of which is
23 Berge, I believe, B-E-R-G-E.

24 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Now, Dr. Patterson, toward
25 the end of your declaration, there's a discussion about

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 the obviousness of the fumarate salt of fesoterodine.
3 I don't know if you recall that, but page 58 of your
4 declaration, Exhibit 1003, and it begins at paragraph
5 131.

6 A I am there.

7 Q All right. So in this near final section of
8 your declaration, is it a fair characterization that
9 you were addressing the specific bases for your view
10 that the fumarate salt of what we know now as
11 fesoterodine was obvious? Is that a fair
12 characterization, paragraphs 131 through, I guess, 137?

13 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

14 THE WITNESS: Beginning at paragraph
15 131, I don't know how long it is --

16 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

17 THE WITNESS: -- but there is discussion
18 of that.

19 Q (By Mr. Trainor) All right. And so the
20 first reference that you discuss as supporting your
21 view that the fumarate salt of fesoterodine is obvious
22 is this Berge reference that I handed to you,
23 Exhibit 1013. It's not a lengthy discussion about that
24 particular reference, but I just want to ask about the
25 reference because you said it here.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Now, in paragraph 132 of your declaration,
3 you reproduce what you can confirm is at page 3 of
4 Exhibit 1013 -- sorry -- yes, that's right.

5 A I think it is, yes.

6 Q Actually, the page is reproduced twice, but
7 page 2 and 3 of the exhibit are the same. Oh, no,
8 they're not. It's the same table.

9 MS. WOOTEN: It's just page 2 is twice.

10 MR. TRAINOR: Yes, there you go. In any
11 event, rather, it's page 2 or page 3.

12 THE WITNESS: That's right.

13 MR. TRAINOR: The table that's
14 referenced is reproduced in your declaration.

15 Q (By Mr. Trainor) And Table 1 of this Berge
16 reference, Exhibit 1013, is a list, at least as of
17 1977, of salts that -- commercially marketed salts that
18 had been previously approved by the FDA; is that right?

19 A That is correct.

20 Q Okay. And there are quite a few salts. I
21 won't try to count them, but fumarate is listed there
22 sort of a little more than halfway down in the
23 left-hand column. Do you see that?

24 A I see it.

25 Q Okay. Now, I haven't been able to find it,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 but if you think otherwise, could you tell me whether
3 the fumarate salt is mentioned anywhere else in this
4 reference other than in that table?

5 A I don't remember.

6 Q Okay. Okay. In any event, the -- in the
7 right-hand column for the salt in Table 1 of the
8 reference, Exhibit 1013, there's a percentage of
9 times -- there's a number that represents the
10 percentage of approved salts that that particular salt
11 takes in the market. Do you see that?

12 A I see it.

13 Q Okay. And the indication is that fumarate
14 salts had been marketed and approved one quarter of one
15 percent -- one quarter of one percent of the approved
16 salts at that time; is that right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Do you know whether that number -- that
19 percentage increased between 1977 and 1998?

20 A I don't know whether it increased.

21 Q Okay. And there are maybe 60 other salts
22 here, give or take, something like that, that I'm
23 counting in this table.

24 A I haven't counted.

25 Q Okay. That's all right.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Now, beyond the table, at the beginning of
3 this reference, there's a bunch of text, and is it fair
4 to say that the text of the reference teaches about
5 specific salts that had been used with respect to
6 specific compounds, highlighting their utility in
7 certain circumstances, for example, to address
8 stability, solubility, things of that nature?

9 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

10 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Does that seem about right
11 to you?

12 A There's much about this paper that I've
13 forgotten, but he discusses, you know, much about the
14 salts that have been used and some of the desirable
15 properties trying to -- you're trying to generate with
16 salts, if I remember correctly.

17 Q Okay. So, for example, if you turn to page
18 12 of Exhibit 1013, there's a section that begins in
19 the second column under the heading "Absorption
20 Alteration."

21 A I see the heading.

22 Q Okay. And just taking, for example, the
23 discussion in that section under the first paragraph,
24 it begins, "Several years ago, clinicians claimed that
25 certain salts of theophylline were therapeutically

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 preferable to other salts or to the free acid." Do you
3 see that?

4 A I see that.

5 Q And then what follows is, you know, citations
6 to the literature where various salts of theophylline
7 were experimented with or observed for purpose of
8 evaluating the effect of those salts on those compounds
9 of absorption; is that fair to say?

10 A They mention, you know, difference in oral
11 absorption of ethylenediamine salt and compare to, say,
12 a choline salt.

13 Q Okay. Now, my question about this reference
14 is really -- taking that sample as an example, if you
15 assume that I'm correct that the fumarate salt is not
16 mentioned anywhere in this reference as conjugated with
17 any particular compound for any particular purpose, if
18 you make that assumption, my question is: Why would a
19 person of ordinary skill in the art predict that the
20 fumarate salt of fesoterodine or any 5-HMT analog would
21 be any more viable as a drug than any of the other
22 salts that are listed in the table or discussed in the
23 text?

24 A Well, my position is that it's among a
25 limited set of approved drugs that are obvious ones to

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 try and, you know, among a set for which at least one
3 is likely to give the desired properties.

4 Q And assuming that you're correct, and I'm not
5 suggesting that you are, but assuming that you're
6 correct that you could test all of these salts and
7 expect one to be useful as a pharmaceutical, that --
8 there's no specific teaching as to which particular
9 salt among them or salts would, in fact, be
10 commercially viable, correct?

11 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

12 THE WITNESS: I don't think I could
13 predict which one would have -- you know,
14 would be the best of the set. But I would
15 say that's among a set that are obvious to
16 do, and it's likely to find one among them.
17 We do similar salt formation in my lab among
18 similar -- salt forming, you know, in my lab.
19 Right?

20 Q (By Mr. Trainor) But isn't the reasonable
21 expectation of -- strike that.

22 Isn't the reasonable expectation that one of
23 these salts that you would try would be effective as a
24 fesoterodine salt -- strike that.

25 Isn't the expectation that one of the salts

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 among this list or described in this reference would
3 work for a particular compound informed by the
4 properties of the compound that you're conjugating with
5 the salt?

6 A I think both are likely to be important. I
7 guess to reiterate, you know, what I've said before,
8 there's a set, and I would expect at least one or maybe
9 more to have desirable properties, but I wouldn't
10 say -- these -- I would say that these are obvious
11 things to try. I wouldn't say, you know, based on what
12 I know about this structure, oh, yeah, I know exactly
13 the perfect one to try. I don't necessarily need
14 perfect. I need good enough.

15 Q Okay. Now, based on what one would know
16 about the structure of 5-HMT or any of its analogs or
17 proposed analogs, is there anything in particular about
18 that structure that would suggest that any particular
19 salt form would be more compatible than others?

20 A Not a single salt form.

21 Q Is there something about the structure of
22 5-HMT and its analogs that would suggest a particular
23 compatibility with a group of salts in this paper?

24 A I would say the carboxylate salts in that
25 paper would be good ones to try, in addition to

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 hydrochloride salt and -- right, they would all be
3 obvious ones to try in that they've been used before.

4 Q But other than the fact that they've been
5 used before, what is it about 5-HMT and its analogs
6 that suggest compatibility with the carboxylate salts
7 in particular?

8 A Well, it's an amine, and the idea would be to
9 improve its solubility and dissolution by preparing the
10 salt.

11 Q Okay. Now, if you look at the first page of
12 Exhibit 1013, the very second sentence of the reference
13 at the bottom of the first column says, "Choosing the
14 appropriate salt, however, can be a very difficult
15 task, since each salt imparts unique properties to the
16 parent compound." Do you see that?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Do you have a view as to whether that
19 statement held true as of 1998?

20 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

21 THE WITNESS: As of 1998, when I was
22 practicing, if we needed to make a salt form,
23 we weren't concerned about finding one that
24 would work. If that statement were, in fact,
25 an absolute, then there would be great

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 concern that you would find one that would be
3 acceptable. In my career, I've never
4 encountered a worry that, oh, my gosh, we
5 need a salt, nothing is going to work.

6 MR. TRAINOR: Okay.

7 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Was there any development
8 reported in the prior art between 1977 and 1998 that
9 made choosing the appropriate salt something less than
10 a very difficult task?

11 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

12 THE WITNESS: I don't think it's as
13 difficult as the authors present here. I
14 wasn't practicing in 1977. Maybe it appeared
15 that way in 1977. But making salts is very
16 easy to do.

17 MR. TRAINOR: Okay. Okay.

18 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Now, in the next paragraph
19 of this opening page of Exhibit 1013, in the middle of
20 that paragraph, it says, "Unfortunately, there is no
21 reliable way of predicting the influence of a
22 particular salt species on the behavior of the parent
23 compound."

24 A Okay. I'm having trouble finding that.

25 Q The very first page.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A Oh, okay, the very first page. Sorry. Okay.

3 Q Right column.

4 A Right column, okay.

5 Q First paragraph.

6 A Okay, I see it now. Yeah, yeah.

7 Q So, again, it says, "Unfortunately, there is
8 no reliable way of predicting the influence of a
9 particular salt species on the behavior of the parent
10 compound." Do you see that?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Do you agree with that statement?

13 A If the authors are saying that, you know, you
14 can look at the compound and say this is the exact salt
15 I want to make, I agree with it, but that you -- you'll
16 have no way of saying I'm going to -- am I going to be
17 able to find an acceptable salt, I don't disagree with
18 that interpretation of the comment, of the author's
19 comment.

20 Q So you agree that still today there's no
21 reliable way of predicting the influence of a
22 particular salt species on the behavior of the parent
23 compound?

24 A For a single one, but I do believe that
25 preparing and selecting, right, for one is routine

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.
2 among a set of analogs. And, you know, given a set,
3 like I say, I would not be able to tell you that's
4 going to be the one apriori, but I would say among this
5 set, we'll find one.

6 MS. WOOTEN: It makes it a lot easier
7 for the court reporter if you let him finish
8 his question.

9 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. You're
10 right, I keep -- I've done that a few times.

11 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. So the other
12 reference that you said in your declaration in support
13 of your view that the fumarate salt of fesoterodine is
14 obvious is another PCT patent application where the
15 lead inventor is Johansson. So if you want to go back
16 to around paragraph 133 or so, you might see that.

17 A I'm there.

18 MR. TRAINOR: So I'm handing the witness
19 Exhibit No. 1005, same exhibit number for
20 both declarations.

21 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Now, following on your
22 discussion of the Berge reference, 1013, in paragraph
23 133 of your declaration, Exhibit 1003, it says, "Making
24 the fumarate salt of esterified 5-HMT even more obvious
25 and predictable was that Johansson describes the

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 fumarate salt of 5-HMT." Do you see that?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Okay. Now, in the next -- over the next
5 page, page 60, of your declaration, you describe, I
6 believe, how Johansson discloses 5-HMT. And on page 3
7 of Exhibit 1005, the Johansson application, there's a
8 figure there of a chemical genus next to Roman
9 numeral I that's reproduced in you declaration at
10 paragraph 134. Do you see that?

11 A Yeah, I see it in my declaration. Oh, I see
12 it in the patent as well.

13 Q Okay. So would you agree with me that in
14 terms of the number of possible permutations of that
15 genus, that 5-HMT is one of at least tens of thousands?

16 A It's one of many.

17 Q Okay. And aside from making the variable
18 substitutions provided for in Exhibit 1005 that would
19 arrive you at 5-HMT, would you agree that there's no
20 specific disclosure in the Johansson application of the
21 5-HMT molecule?

22 A I don't remember, but it is -- I would look
23 at that and say that it's covered or included among the
24 structures mentioned.

25 Q It's covered by that genus?

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 A Right.

3 Q But would you agree that the 5-HMT species of
4 that genus is not specifically disclosed anywhere in
5 this application?

6 A I don't -- it has N as the same tertiary
7 amine at line 30. I don't recall seeing it
8 specifically there.

9 Q Okay. And if you assume that it is not -- if
10 that species at 5-HMT is not specifically disclosed
11 anywhere -- and hold that assumption for a moment and
12 turn to page 2 of the Johansson application, which is
13 page 4 of the Exhibit 1005.

14 A Okay.

15 Q Okay. And in the first full paragraph, it
16 says, "The compounds of Formula 1" -- and that's the
17 Formula 1 in your declaration -- "can form salts with
18 physiologically acceptable acids, organic and
19 inorganic, and the invention comprises the free bases
20 as well as the salts thereof." And then it follows,
21 "Examples of such acid addition salts include the
22 hydrochloride, hydrobromide, hydrogen fumarate, and the
23 like." Do you see that?

24 A Yes. Until -- it's on page 4 of the
25 exhibit -- yes, I'm sorry, I found it now.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q That's okay.

3 A Yeah.

4 Q And so would you agree with me that if you
5 factor in these three salts that are specified at -- in
6 the passage we just read from Exhibit 1005,
7 hydrochloride, hydrobromide, and hydrogen fumarate,
8 that the hydrogen fumarate species of that genus of
9 compounds is much larger, even three times whatever the
10 great number is of species that are covered by that
11 genus?

12 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

13 Q (By Mr. Trainor) It's one of tens of
14 thousands of potential salts?

15 A It's one of many covered.

16 Q Okay. And given that -- well, my
17 representation and the assumption that the 5-HMT
18 species is not specifically disclosed anywhere in this
19 Johansson application, if you assume that, then it is
20 also true that the fumarate salt of 5-HMT is also not
21 specifically disclosed here, correct?

22 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

23 THE WITNESS: Not specifically enclosed,
24 but it's there -- or disclosed, but it's
25 there.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 MR. TRAINOR: Okay. Now, just hang with
3 me for a minute.

4 THE WITNESS: Okay.

5 Q (By Mr. Trainor) If you go to page 8 of the
6 application, which is page 10 of Exhibit 1005 --

7 A Okay.

8 Q -- you'll see that there is an Example 1.

9 A I see Example 1, yes.

10 Q Okay. And Example 1, would you agree, is one
11 species of that genus of Formula 1 made as a mandelate
12 salt?

13 A It is.

14 Q Okay. Now, you can confirm this if you'd
15 like, but as you page through the rest of this
16 document, that is the only example, other than the
17 other enantiomer, of that same salt. And so my
18 question for you is: Why would a person of ordinary
19 skill in the art be directed to fumarate salt when the
20 prior art reference only provides a working example and
21 data for the mandelate salt?

22 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

23 THE WITNESS: Because that's
24 specifically mentioned, and the position of
25 skill would notice that and include that. I

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 would be certain to include that in the ones
3 that I prepared in my search for one
4 acceptable.

5 MR. TRAINOR: In search for what?

6 THE WITNESS: In my search for a salt
7 that would have acceptable properties, I
8 would be certain to include the ones
9 mentioned.

10 Q (By Mr. Trainor) Okay. The ones mentioned
11 in the --

12 A In this particular patent.

13 Q Okay.

14 A Even though he does not specifically
15 characterize one here, he does mention it.

16 Q Okay. This compound, this mandelate salt,
17 specific Example 1, it's a 5-HMT analog, would you
18 agree?

19 A Appears to be the case, yes.

20 Q Okay. So let me ask the question this way:
21 If I'm trying to predict which, among many salts, might
22 be commercially viable or clinically viable for use
23 with a 5-HMT analog, wouldn't this reference suggest
24 that I look to the mandelate salt, which had data and
25 which had been provided and synthesized as an example,

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 as opposed to any other salt?

3 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

4 THE WITNESS: That might be one that I
5 would include as a benchmark. I might be
6 cautious about doing that one because it's
7 specifically disclosed.

8 Q (By Mr. Trainor) But --

9 A But I still might do it.

10 Q But as a prior art teaching, wouldn't this
11 suggest, among others, the mandelate salt as opposed to
12 any salt that was not provided for in an example?

13 A There would be a reason the inventor
14 specifically mentioned the others, so I might be -- I
15 would wonder why he mentioned it earlier and didn't
16 include an example later. And that's something that
17 might motivate me even more to make the ones not
18 specifically described.

19 Q But you would agree that that Berge reference
20 that we just looked at taught that the properties of a
21 salt are a function of the properties of the underlying
22 compound, correct?

23 A He seems to say that. I think both the acid
24 and the free amine are important.

25 Q But the properties of a particular salt are

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 going to be a function of the compatibility of that
3 particular salt or that particular acid with the
4 underlying compound, correct? That's always going to
5 be the case, correct?

6 MS. WOOTEN: Objection, form.

7 THE WITNESS: I hesitate to say always.

8 MR. TRAINOR: Okay. Okay.

9 Q (By Mr. Trainor) In any event, there's no
10 specific example of a 5-HMT analog made into any salt
11 other than the mandelate salt, correct, in this patent?

12 A It's not disclosed in this patent. I don't
13 remember seeing it in that patent.

14 Q Okay. So no teaching about the compatibility
15 of any salt, other than the mandelate salt, with any
16 particular 5-HMT analog can be drawn from this prior
17 art reference, correct?

18 A Since the fumarate is specifically mentioned,
19 there has to be a reason the inventor mentions it, so
20 that would direct me to want to prepare that salt.

21 Q What does a mention without an example
22 conjugating that salt to the compound teach a person of
23 ordinary skill?

24 A That there has to be a reason the inventor
25 mentioned it.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q So that's all it teaches, is that there has
3 to be a reason, correct?

4 A And the reason would likely be there's
5 something -- he found something about that that maybe
6 he's not ready to disclose.

7 Q And if he's not yet ready to disclose it,
8 then none of the properties of such a fumarate salt of
9 a 5-HMT analog could be known until such time as it's
10 disclosed, correct?

11 A Or made in another lab, right.

12 Q Right, until it's made and reported?

13 A Yeah. But my position is that for this
14 reason, that would be one of the ones that I would
15 include in my search for an acceptable salt.

16 Q And I understand that.

17 A Okay.

18 Q All I'm saying is that that fumarate salt
19 conjugated with a 5-HMT analog has not been made, and
20 therefore no teachings about the properties of that
21 combination could be drawn from this Johansson
22 reference?

23 A Okay.

24 Q Correct?

25 A Okay.

1 STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D.

2 Q Yes?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Okay. Now, the only other thing that I
5 wanted to do -- I'm done here, but I -- there is one
6 other publication that you reference that I hadn't
7 shown you today. This is Exhibit 1015 to both of
8 your declarations. It's a publication, the lead author
9 Nilvebrant, and it's got a publication date of 1997.

10 And before we close, I just wanted to give
11 you an opportunity to look at it and let me know if
12 this might be the prior art that you had in mind where
13 you thought concerns mirroring your own about
14 tolterodine were reported somewhere.

15 A I don't think it is.

16 Q Okay.

17 MR. TRAINOR: Okay, well, that being the
18 case, I have no further questions.

19 THE WITNESS: Okay.

20 MR. TRAINOR: Appreciate your time very
21 much.

22 MS. WOOTEN: And I do not have any
23 follow-up questions for you.

24 (Deposition concluded at 4:58 p.m.)

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF GEORGIA
COUNTY OF COBB

I, MICHELLE M. BOUDREAUX, do hereby certify that STEVEN E. PATTERSON, Ph.D., the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 15th day of October 2016.

MICHELLE M. BOUDREAUX, RPR

INDEX

EXAMINATIONS

By Mr. Trainor 4

- - -

EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Page
Exhibit 1003 Introduction and Background Qualifications	4
Exhibit 1003 (non-650) Introduction and Background Qualifications	5
Exhibit 1005 Johansson patent, May 26, 1994	221
Exhibit 1006 "The pharmacological treatment of urinary incontinence" (Andersson, et al.)	11
Exhibit 1007 "Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of tolterodine in man: a new drug for the treatment of urinary bladder overactivity" (Brynne, et al.)	77
Exhibit 1008 "Concentration dependent cardiotoxicity of terodiline in patients treated for urinary incontinence" (Thomas, et al.)	51
Exhibit 1009 Detrol label	109
Exhibit 1010 "Tolterodine, a new muscarinic receptor antagonist, is metabolized by cytochromes P450 2D6 and 3A in human liver microsomes" (Postlind, et al.)	70

INDEX (Cont'd)

Exhibit	Page
Exhibit 1011	89
"Influence of CYP2D6 polymorphism on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of tolterodine" (Brynne, et al.)	
Exhibit 1012	139
"Design of Prodrugs" (Bundgaard)	
Exhibit 1013	210
Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, January 1977, "Pharmaceutical Salts" (Berge, et al.)	
Exhibit 1015	230
"Antimuscarinic Potency and Bladder Selectivity of PNU-200577, a Major Metabolite of Tolterodine" (Nilvebrant, et al.)	
Exhibit 1018	205
"Is My Antihistamine Safe?" (by Laurel Ashworth)	
Exhibit 1019	158
"Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings" (Lipinski, et al.)	
Exhibit 1020	194
Bundgaard patent, May 29, 1992	
Exhibit 1026	205
Guidance for Industry, Applications Covered by Section 505(b) (2)	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF:

Case Name: Mylan Pharmaceuticals vs. UCB Pharma GMBH

Deposition Date: October 4, 2016

Deponent: Steven E. Patterson, Ph.D.

Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----
---	---	-----	-----	-----

Signature of Deponent

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME
THIS _____ DAY OF _____ 20____.

(SIGNATURE OF NOTARY PUBLIC)
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: _____