UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC

Petitioner,

v.

ADAPTIVE HEADLAMP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Listed Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,241,034

"Automatic Directional Control System for Vehicle Headlights"

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

Inter Partes Review No. 2016-00501

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND 1

III. OVERVIEW OF THE '034 PATENT 3

A. The '034 Patent Specification 3

B. Prosecution History of the '034 Patent 5

C. *Ex Parte* and *Inter Partes* Reexaminations of the '034 Patent 6

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 9

V. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CHALLENGED CLAIM 10

A. Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)) 10

B. Grounds of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(2)) 11

VI. IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE 12

A. Overview of the Cited Prior Art 12

1. Josic – U.S. Patent No. 5,798,911 12

2. Bilz – U.S. Patent No. 6,480,806 15

3. Gotoh – U.S. Patent No. 5,931,572 16

4. Mouzas – U.S. Patent No. 5,428,512 16

5. Liao – U.S. Patent No. 5,580,148 16

B. Overview of Petitioner's Counts 17

C. Count 1 – Josic Anticipates Claims 7, 14-16, and 32 19

D. Count 2 – Josic Combined with Bilz Renders Claims 7, 14-16, 31, and 32 Obvious 25

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. One of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would have Been Motivated to Combine Josic with Bilz 25

2. Josic Combined with Bilz Renders Claim 7 and 14-16 Obvious 27

3. Josic Combined with Bilz Renders Claims 31 and 32 Obvious 30

E. Count 3 – Josic in View of Mouzas Renders Claim 36 Obvious.....31

1. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Josic with Mouzas 31

2. Josic in view of Mouzas renders Claim 36 Obvious 33

F. Count 4 – Josic in View of Bilz and in Further View of Mouzas Renders Claim 36 Obvious.....34

G. Count 5 – Josic in View of Gotoh Renders Claim 3 Obvious.....34

1. One of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Josic with Gotoh 34

2. Josic Combined with Gotoh Renders Claim 3 Obvious.....36

H. Count 6 – Josic in view of Bilz and in Further View of Gotoh Renders Claims 3 and 5 Obvious 39

1. Motivation to Combine Josic with Gotoh and Bilz.....39

2. Josic in View of Bilz and in Further View of Gotoh renders Claim 3 Obvious.....39

3. Josic in View of Bilz and in Further View of Gotoh Renders Claim 5 Obvious.....41

I. Count 7 – Liao in View of Bilz Renders Claims 7, 14-16, 31, and 32 obvious 42

1. One of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Have been Motivated to Combine Liao with Bilz.....42

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2. Liao in View of Bilz Renders Claims 7, and 14-16 Obvious 43

3. Liao in View of Bilz Renders Claims 31 and 32 Obvious 48

J. Count 8 – Liao in View of Bilz and in Further View of Mouzas Renders Claim 36 Obvious....50

1. One of Ordinary Skill in the Art would have been Motivated to Combine Liao, Bilz, and Mouzas....50

2. Liao in view of Bilz and in Further View of Mouzas Renders Claim 36 Obvious....51

K. Count 9 – Liao in view of Bilz and in Further View of Gotoh Renders Claims 3 and 5 Obvious....52

1. One of Ordinary Skill in the Art would have been Motivated to Combine Liao with Gotoh and Bilz....52

2. Liao in View of Gotoh and in Further View of Bilz Renders Claim 3 Obvious....53

3. Liao in View of Gotoh and in Further View of Bilz Renders Claim 5 Obvious....56

VII. MANDATORY NOTICES....56

A. Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))....57

B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))....57

1. Related Patent Office Proceedings....57

2. Related Litigation....57

3. Related Applications....58

C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)-(4))....58

D. Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a))....59

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

VIII. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW (37 C.F.R §§ 42.101, 42.104, and 42.108) 59

A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a); 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.101(a)-(c)) 59

IX. CONCLUSION.....59

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.