

Filed on behalf of: Blue Coat Systems, Inc.

By: Michael T. Rosato
Andrew S. Brown
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100
Seattle, WA 98104-7036
Tel.: 206-883-2529
Fax: 206-883-2699
Email: mrosato@wsgr.com
Email: asbrown@wsgr.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2016-00492

Patent No. 6,804,780

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. The '780 Patent.....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1).....	3
A. Real Parties-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	3
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	3
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel	4
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	5
A. Grounds for Standing.....	5
B. Identification of Challenge under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b).....	5
IV. SUMMARY OF THE '780 PATENT	5
A. The '780 Patent Discloses Specific Embodiments but Claims the More Basic Concept of Hashing Downloadables.....	5
B. The Prosecution History of the '780 Patent Shows That the Claims Were Allowed Based on Limitations Directed to Features Previously Known in the Art	7
C. Priority Date of the '780 Patent.....	9
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3)	10
A. “performing a hashing function on the Downloadable and the fetched software components to generate a Downloadable ID” (all claims)	10
B. “Downloadable” (all claims).....	12
C. “Downloadable ID” (all claims).....	12
D. “means for obtaining a Downloadable,” “means for fetching at least one software component,” and “means for performing a hashing function” (Claim 17).....	13
VI. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	14
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-18 Are Obvious over Rubin and Waldo	14
1. Independent Claims 1, 9, 17, and 18	17

a.	Claim 1 is rendered obvious by Rubin and Waldo.	17
b.	Claims 9, 17, and 18 are rendered obvious by Rubin and Waldo.	30
2.	Dependent Claims.....	37
a.	Claims 2 and 10 are rendered obvious by Rubin and Waldo.....	37
b.	Claims 3 and 11 are rendered obvious by Rubin and Waldo.....	38
c.	Claims 4 and 12 are rendered obvious by Rubin and Waldo.....	39
d.	Claims 5 and 13 are rendered obvious by Rubin and Waldo.....	41
e.	Claims 6 and 14 are rendered obvious by Rubin and Waldo.....	42
f.	Claims 7 and 15 are rendered obvious by Rubin and Waldo.....	44
g.	Claims 8 and 16 are rendered obvious by Rubin and Waldo.....	46
VII.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	48
VIII.	CONCLUSION	48

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780 to Touboul (“the ’780 patent”)
1002	Declaration of Dr. Aviel D. Rubin
1003	U.S. Patent No. 5,638,446 to Rubin (“Rubin”)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,815,709 to Waldo (“Waldo”)
1005	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780 to Touboul (“’780 file history”)
1006	Provisional Application No. 60/030,639 (the “’639 provisional”)
1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,978,484 to Apperson (“Apperson”)
1008	“Microsoft Authenticode Analyzed” (“Khare”)
1009	Order Construing Claims in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 7,058,822; 7,418,731; 7,647,633, <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. 5-13-cv-03999 (N.D. Cal. 2013)
1010	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc.</i> , Trial Transcript Excerpt, Case No. 5-13-cv-03999 (2015)
1011	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc.</i> , Verdict Form, Case No. 5-13-cv-03999 (2015)
1012	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc.</i> , No. 5:13-cv-03999
1013	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc.</i> , No. 5:15-cv-03295

Blue Coat Systems, Inc. (“Petitioner” or “Blue Coat”) respectfully submits this Petition for *inter partes* review (“Petition”) of claims 1-18 (“Petitioned Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780 (“the ’780 patent”) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 et seq.

A previous petition for *inter partes* review of the ’780 patent, *Palo Alto Networks, Inc. v. Finjan, Inc.*, IPR2016-00165, has been filed by Palo Alto Networks, Inc. A motion for joinder to that petition has been filed concurrent with this Petition.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. The ’780 Patent

The ’780 patent is entitled “System and Method for Protecting a Computer and a Network from Hostile Downloadables.” The specification of the ’780 patent discusses various techniques for analyzing content downloaded from the Internet (a “Downloadable”) to determine whether it is malicious and should be blocked. (Ex. 1001 at 1:66-2:44.) The claims of the ’780 patent, however, are broadly directed to the basic concept of receiving a Downloadable and one or more of its fetched software components and performing mathematical calculations (or “hashing”) on them to generate an identifier (a so-called “Downloadable ID”). Claim 1 is representative:

1. A computer-based method for generating a Downloadable ID to identify a Downloadable, comprising:

obtaining a Downloadable that includes one or more references to software

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.