

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)  
pandre@kramerlevin.com  
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)  
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com  
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)  
jhannah@kramerlevin.com  
4 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP  
990 Marsh Road  
5 Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Telephone: (650) 752-1700  
6 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

7 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
8 FINJAN, INC.

9  
10 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
11 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
12 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

13 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware  
17 Corporation,

18 Defendant.

Case No.:

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

1 **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

2 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. (“Finjan”) files this Complaint for Patent Infringement and Jury Demand  
3 against Defendant Blue Coat Systems, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Blue Coat”) and alleges as follows:

4 **THE PARTIES**

5 1. Finjan is a Delaware corporation, with its corporate headquarters at 1313 N. Market  
6 Street, Suite 5100, Wilmington, Delaware 19801. Finjan’s U.S. operating business was previously  
7 headquartered at 2025 Gateway Place, San Jose, California 95110.  
8

9 2. Blue Coat is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 420 North  
10 Mary Avenue, Sunnyvale, California 94085.

11 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

12 3. This action arises under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.* This Court has  
13 original jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.  
14

15 4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and/or 1400(b).

16 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Upon information and belief,  
17 Defendant does business in this District and has, and continues to, infringe and/or induce the  
18 infringement in this District. Defendant also markets its products primarily in and from this District.  
19 In addition, the Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has established minimum  
20 contacts with the forum and the exercise of jurisdiction would not offend traditional notions of fair  
21 play and substantial justice.  
22

23 **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

24 6. Pursuant to Local Rule 3-2(c), Intellectual Property Actions are assigned on a district-  
25 wide basis.  
26  
27  
28

**FINJAN'S INNOVATIONS**

1  
2 7. Finjan was founded in 1997 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Finjan Software Ltd., an  
3 Israeli corporation. Finjan was a pioneer in the developing proactive security technologies capable of  
4 detecting previously unknown and emerging online security threats recognized today under the  
5 umbrella of “malware.” These technologies protect networks and endpoints by identifying suspicious  
6 patterns and behaviors of content delivered over the Internet. Finjan has been awarded, and continues  
7 to prosecute, numerous patents in the United States and around the world resulting directly from  
8 Finjan’s more than decade-long research and development efforts, supported by a dozen inventors.  
9

10 8. Finjan built and sold software, including APIs, and appliances for network security  
11 using these patented technologies. These products and customers continue to be supported by  
12 Finjan’s licensing partners. At its height, Finjan employed nearly 150 employees around the world  
13 building and selling security products and operating the Malicious Code Research Center through  
14 which it frequently published research regarding network security and current threats on the Internet.  
15 Finjan’s pioneering approach to online security drew equity investments from two major software and  
16 technology companies, the first in 2005, followed by the second in 2006. Through 2009, Finjan has  
17 generated millions of dollars in product sales and related services and support revenues  
18

19 9. Finjan’s founder and original investors are still involved with and invested in the  
20 company today, as are a number of other key executives and advisors. Currently, Finjan is a  
21 technology company applying its research, development, knowledge and experience with security  
22 technologies to working with inventors, investing in and/or acquiring other technology companies,  
23 investing in a variety of research organizations, and evaluating strategic partnerships with large  
24 companies.  
25  
26  
27  
28

1           10.     On October 12, 2004, U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780 (“the ‘780 Patent”), entitled  
2 SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROTECTING A COMPUTER AND A NETWORK FROM  
3 HOSTILE DOWNLOADABLES, was issued to Shlomo Touboul. A true and correct copy of the  
4 ‘780 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference herein.

5           11.     All rights, title, and interest in the ‘780 Patent have been assigned to Finjan, who is the  
6 sole owner of the ‘780 Patent. Finjan has been the sole owner of the ‘780 Patent since its issuance.

7           12.     The ‘780 Patent is generally directed towards methods and systems for generating a  
8 Downloadable ID. By generating an identification for each examined Downloadable, the system  
9 allows the Downloadable to be recognized without reevaluation. Such recognition increases  
10 efficiency while also saving valuable resources, such as memory and computing power.

11           13.     On June 6, 2006, U.S. Patent No. 7,058,822 (“the ‘822 Patent”), entitled MALICIOUS  
12 MOBILE CODE RUNTIME MONITORING SYSTEM AND METHODS, was issued to Yigal  
13 Mordechai Edery, Nimrod Itzhak Vered, David R. Kroll and Shlomo Touboul. A true and correct  
14 copy of the ‘822 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit B and is incorporated by reference  
15 herein.  
16

17           14.     All rights, title, and interest in the ‘822 Patent have been assigned to Finjan, who is the  
18 sole owner of the ‘822 Patent. Finjan has been the sole owner of the ‘822 Patent since its issuance.

19           15.     The ‘822 Patent is generally directed towards computer networks and more  
20 particularly provides a system that protects devices connected to the Internet from undesirable  
21 operations from web-based content. One of the ways this is accomplished is by determining whether  
22 any part of such web-based content can be executed and then trapping such content and neutralizing  
23 possible harmful effects using mobile protection code. Additionally, the system provides a way to  
24 analyze such web-content to determine whether it can be executed.  
25  
26  
27  
28

1           16.     On January 12, 2010, U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (“the ‘633 Patent”), entitled  
2 MALICIOUS MOBILE CODE RUNTIME MONITORING SYSTEM AND METHODS, was issued  
3 to Yigal Mordechai Edery, Nimrod Itzhak Vered, David R. Kroll and Shlomo Touboul. A true and  
4 correct copy of the ‘633 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit C and is incorporated by  
5 reference herein.

6           17.     All rights, title, and interest in the ‘633 Patent have been assigned to Finjan, who is the  
7 sole owner of the ‘633 Patent. Finjan has been the sole owner of the ‘633 Patent since its issuance.  
8

9           18.     The ‘633 Patent is generally directed towards computer networks, and more  
10 particularly, provides a system that protects devices connected to the Internet from undesirable  
11 operations from web-based content. One of the ways this is accomplished is by determining whether  
12 any part of such web-based content can be executed and then trapping such content and neutralizing  
13 possible harmful effects using mobile protection code.

14           19.     On November 28, 2000, U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 (“the ‘844 Patent”), entitled  
15 SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ATTACHING A DOWNLOADABLE SECURITY PROFILE TO  
16 A DOWNLOADABLE, was issued to Shlomo Touboul and Nachshon Gal. A true and correct copy  
17 of the ‘844 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit D and is incorporated by reference herein.  
18

19           20.     All rights, title, and interest in the ‘844 Patent have been assigned to Finjan, who is the  
20 sole owner of the ‘844 Patent. Finjan has been the sole owner of the ‘844 Patent since its issuance.

21           21.     The ‘844 Patent is generally directed towards computer networks, and more  
22 particularly, provides a system that protects devices connected to the Internet from undesirable  
23 operations from web-based content. One of the ways this is accomplished is by linking a security  
24 profile to such web-based content to facilitate the protection of computers and networks from  
25 malicious web-based content.  
26  
27  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.