UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00480
Patent 7,647,633

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	THE '633 PATENT	6
A.	Overview	6
B.	Challenged Claims	8
III.	The Board Should Dismiss The Petition Because it is Unquestionably Time Barred Under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b) and 37 C.F.R. §42.101(b)	11
IV.	Petitioner's Motion for Joinder Should be Denied as Premature	12
A.	Granting Petitioner's Joinder Request Would Defeat the Purpose of the Time Bar Under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b) and the Estoppel Provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 315(e)	13
B.	The Relevant Factors do not Weigh in Favor of Joinder	16
1.	Joinder is not Appropriate	17
2.	Briefing and Discovery Would not be Simplified and the IPR Trial Schedule Could be Negatively Impacted	19
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	20
A.	"mobile protection code ("MPC")" (all challenged claims)	20
B.	"information re-communicator" (challenged claims 2, 14, and 19)	20
C.	"means for receiving downloadable-information" (claim 13)	21
D.	"means for determining whether the downloadable-information includes executable code" (claim 13)	23
E.	"means for causing mobile protection code to be communicated to at least one information-destination of the downloadable-information, if the downloadable information is determined to include executable code" (claim 13)	23

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

VI. SPECIFIC REASONS WHY THE CITED REFERENCES DO NOT INVALIDATE THE CLAIMS, AND WHY INTER PARTES REVIEW SHOULD NOT BE INSTITUTED 24

A. The Board Should Deny the Petition Under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) 26

B. The Board Should Deny the Petition Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.20(c), 42.22(a)(2), and 42.104(b)(4) 34

C. Ground 1: Shin does not Render Obvious Claims 1–4, 6–8, 13, 14, and 19 38

1. Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated that Shin Discloses "[a content inspection engine communicatively coupled to the information monitor for/means for] determining [,by the computer] whether the downloadable-information includes executable code" (claims 1, 8, and 13) 40

1. Petition Has Not Demonstrated that Shin Discloses claim 14 as it Relies on Conflating Claim Terms 43

2. Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated that Shin Discloses "causing mobile protection code to be executed by the mobile code executor at a downloadable-information destination such that one or more operations of the executable code at the destination, if attempted, will be processed by the mobile protection code" (claims 14) 45

D. Ground 2: Poison Java Does Not Anticipate Claim 28 47

1. Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated that Poison Java Discloses "receiving a sandboxed package that includes mobile protection code ("MPC") and a Downloadable and one or more protection policies at a computer at a Downloadable-destination" (claim 28) 48

E. Ground 3: Poison Java in view of Shin does not Render Claim 1 Obvious 51

1. Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated that Poison Java in view of Shin Discloses "determining ,by the computer whether the downloadable-information includes executable code" (claim 1) 52

F. Ground 4: Poison Java in view of Brown does not Render Claims 14, 19, and 34 Obvious 52

1. Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated that Poison Java in view of Brown Discloses "causing mobile protection code to be executed by the mobile

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

code executor at a downloadable-information destination such that one or more operations of the executable code at the destination, if attempted, will be processed by the mobile protection code" (claim 14) 52

2. Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated that Poison Java in view of Shin Discloses "a sandboxed package capable of being received an initiated by the mobile code executor on the computer, the sandboxed package including a Downloadable and mobile protection code ("MPC") for causing one or more Downloadable operations to be intercepted by the computer and for processing the intercepted operations by the computer, if the Downloadable attempts to initiation the operations" (claim 34) 56

VII. THE PROPOSED GROUNDS ARE CUMULATIVE 57

VIII. CONCLUSION 57

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.,
441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 45

EMC Corp, v. Secure Axcess, LLC,
Case IPR2014-00475, Paper No. 10 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 9, 2014).....37

Goertek, Inc. v. Knowles Electronics, Inc.,
IPR2013-00523, Paper 26 (PTAB May 30, 2014) 7

Graham v. John Deere Co.,
383 U.S. 1 (1966).....51

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....51

Kyocera Corp. v. Softview LLC,
Case IPR2013-00004, slip op. (PTAB Apr. 24, 2013).....17

Linear Technology Corp. v. In-Depth Test LLC,
Case No. IPR2015-01994 13

mFormation Techs., Inc. v. Research in Motion Ltd.,
764 F.3d 1392 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 45

Prism Pharma Co., v. Choongwae Pharma Corp.,
Case No. IPR2014-00315, Paper 14 (PTAB July 8, 2014) 25

Ring & Pinion Service Inc. v. ARB Corp.,
743 F. 3d 831 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 22

Ruiz v. A.B. Chance Co.,
234 F.3d 654 (Fed. Cir. 2000) 51

In re Suitco Surface, Inc.,
603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 21

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.