

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
5 & FRANKEL LLP
990 Marsh Road
6 Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 752-1700
7 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800
8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
9 FINJAN, INC.

10
11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

14 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,
15 Plaintiff,
16 v.
17 PROOFPOINT, INC. and ARMORIZE
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
18 Defendants.

Case No.: 5:13-cv-05808-HSG

**PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S OPENING
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

Date: June 24, 2015
Time: 10:00 AM
Courtroom: Courtroom 15, 18th Floor
Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	Page(s)
I. NOTICE OF HEARING.....	1
II. INTRODUCTION.....	1
III. STATEMENT OF FACTS.....	2
A. Background of the Technology and Patents.....	2
1. The ‘844 Patent.....	2
2. The ‘822 and ‘633 Patents.....	3
3. The ‘305 Patent.....	3
4. The ‘086 Patent.....	4
5. The ‘154 Patent.....	4
6. The ‘408 Patent.....	4
IV. ARGUMENT.....	4
A. Finjan’s Proposed Terms.....	5
1. Mobile Protection Code (‘822 Patent: Claims 1, 4-7, 9, 12, 14, 16-20, 26-31, 35/ ‘633 Patent: Claims 1 ,8, 13, 14, 20, 21, 27, 28-31, 34-35, 41).....	5
2. Database (‘086 Patent: Claims 39-42).....	8
3. Parse Tree (‘408 Patent: Claims 1, 2, 9, 11, 22, 23-35).....	11
4. Means-Plus-Function Claim Terms (2 Terms).....	13
a) “receiving means for receiving, at an information re-communicator, downloadable-information, including executable code”.....	14
b) “mobile code means communicatively coupled to the receiving means for causing mobile protection code to be executed by a mobile code executor at a downloadable-information destination”.....	15
B. Defendants’ Proposed Terms for Construction.....	18
1. A Call to a First Function/ [Invoking / Invoke / Calling] A Second Function (‘154 Patent: Claims 1, 4, 6, 10).....	18

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. Content Processor ...Safe ('154 Patent: Claim 1) 20

3. Selectively Diverting Incoming Content From Its Intended Destination To Said Rule-Based Content Scanner ('305 Patent: Claim 1) 21

4. Information-Destination/Downloadable-Information Destination ('822 Patent: Claims 1, 4-9, 12-16, 28 / '633 Patent: Claims 1 ,7, 8, 13, 14, 21) 23

5. Linking The First Downloadable Security Profile To The Downloadable ('844 Patent: Claims 15, 41, 43) / Downloadable [Includes / With] A Linked [First] Downloadable Security Profile ('844 Patent: Claims 22, 23, 32, 42, 44) 24

V. CONCLUSION..... 25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page(s)

Cases

Aventis Pharms. Inc. v. Amino Chems. Ltd.,
715 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... 4, 18

Dealertrack, Inc. v. Huber,
No. 06-2335 AG, Dkt. No. 550, Order Ruling on Claim Construction Arguments
(C.D. Cal. Sep. 27, 2008)..... 22

Fortinet, Inc. v. Sophos, Inc.,
No. C-13-5831 EMC, 2015 WL 877410 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 27, 2015) 6

Funai Elec. Co. v. Daewoo Elecs. Corp.,
616 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 8, 19

Hoechst Celanese Corp. v. BP Chems. Ltd.,
78 F.3d 1575 (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 8

Inventio AG v. Thyssenkrupp Elevator Amers.,
649 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2011)..... 21

Karlin Tech. Inc. v. Surgical Dynamics, Inc.,
177 F.3d 968 (Fed. Cir. 1999)..... 13

In re Katz Interactive Call Processing Pat. Litig.,
639 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2011)..... 15

Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc.,
382 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 21

Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.,
52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995)(en banc), *aff'd*, 517 U.S. 370 (1996) 4

Med. Instrumentation & Diagnostics Corp. v. Elekta AB,
344 F.3d 1205 (Fed. Cir. 2003)..... 14

Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.,
No 13-369, 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014)..... 23

In re Papst Licensing Digital Camera Pat. Litig.,
778 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... 24

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)(en banc)..... 4, 5, 7

1 *SciMed Life Sys., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc.*,
242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001)..... 7

2 *Ex Parte Sonnendorfer et al.*,
3 2012-007103, App. No. 11/816,649 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 10, 2014)..... 22

4 *Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC*,
5 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... 4, 18

6 *Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.*,
7 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 6

8 *Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC*,
770 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2014)..... 21

9 **Statutes**

10 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6..... 14, 15, 20, 21

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.