

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
5 990 Marsh Road
6 Menlo Park, CA 94025
7 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
8 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800
9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
10 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

14 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware
18 Corporation,

19 Defendant.

Case No.: 13-CV-03999-BLF

**PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S REPLY
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1		
2	I. INTRODUCTION	1
3	II. FINJAN’S PROPOSED TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION.....	1
4	A. “Mobile Protection Code” Should Be Construed as “code capable of monitoring	
5	or intercepting potentially malicious code.”	1
6	1. Finjan’s construction of Mobile Protection Code is derived directly from	
7	the specification of the ‘822 and ‘633 Patents and should be adopted.	1
8	2. Blue Coat’s attempt to change the explicit definition of “mobile	
9	protection code” as provided in the intrinsic record must be rejected as a	
10	matter of law.	2
11	B. “Downloadable security profile that identifies suspicious code in the received	
12	Downloadable” Should Be Construed as “a profile that identifies hostile or	
13	potentially hostile operations in the Downloadable.”	4
14	1. The phrase “Downloadable security profile that identifies suspicious	
15	code in the received Downloadable” only requires minimal clarification.....	4
16	2. Blue Coat’s attempts to rewrite and narrow the plain language of the	
17	phrase are unwarranted.	5
18	C. The Function for “means for causing mobile protection code to be	
19	communicated to at least one information-destination of the downloadable-	
20	information, if the downloadable-information is determined to include	
21	executable code” Should Contain the Same Limitations as Recited in the Claim.....	6
22	D. Finjan Has Set Forth the Correct Structures for “means for determining whether	
23	to trust the first Downloadable security profile” and “means for comparing the	
24	first Downloadable security profile against the security policy if the first	
25	Downloadable security policy is trustworthy.”	9
26	III. BLUE COAT’S PROPOSED TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION	10
27	A. Blue Coats Concedes that “information-destination” and “downloadable-	
28	information destination” Do Not Need to be Construed.....	10
29	B. Blue Coat Offers No Reasons to Rewrite the Easily Understood Phrase “causing	
30	mobile protection code to be executed by the mobile code executor at a	
31	downloadable-information destination such that one or more operations of the	
32	executable code at the destination, if attempted, will be processed by the mobile	
33	protection code.”	10
34	C. The Preamble “A computer program product, comprising a computer usable	
35	medium having a computer readable program code therein, the computer	
36	readable program code adapted to be executed for computer security, the method	
37	comprising: providing a system ...” Should be Corrected by the Court.	11

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

D. The Phrase “before [a/the] web server make[s] the Downloadable available to web clients” Does Not Need Construction. 12

E. The Terms “network gateway” and “computer gateway” Do Not Require Construction..... 14

IV. CONCLUSION..... 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page(s)****Cases**

1		
2		
3		
4	Cases	
5	<i>Deep9 Corp. v. Barnes & Noble, Inc.</i> ,	
6	No. C11-0035JLR, 2012 WL 4336726 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 21, 2012)	11
7	<i>Deere & Co. v. Bush Hog, LLC</i> ,	
8	703 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	5
9	<i>Epistar Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> ,	
10	566 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	7
11	<i>Ex Parte Bo Li</i> ,	
12	Appeal No. 2008-1213, 2008 Pat. App. LEXIS 27 (BPAI Nov. 6, 2008).....	12
13	<i>Funai Elec. Co. v. Daewoo Elecs. Corp.</i> ,	
14	616 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	3, 8
15	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.</i> ,	
16	626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	11, 14
17	<i>Haemonetics Corp. v. Baxter Healthcare Corp.</i> ,	
18	607 F.3d 776 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	11
19	<i>Hoechst Celanese Corp. v. BP Chems. Ltd.</i> ,	
20	78 F.3d 1575 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	3
21	<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci Tech. Ctr.</i> ,	
22	367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	13
23	<i>Omega Eng'g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> ,	
24	334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	7
25	<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> ,	
26	415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	12
27	<i>Plantronics, Inc. v. Aliph, Inc.</i> ,	
28	724 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	7
29	<i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC</i> ,	
30	669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	5
31	<i>Ventana Med. Sys., Inc. v. Biogenex Labs., Inc.</i> ,	
32	473 F.3d 1173 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	13

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103..... 12

Other Authorities

Patent Case Management Judicial Guide (Federal Judicial Center 2009)..... 6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.