UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GAMELOFT, S.A., Petitioner,

V.

ROTHSCHILD DIGITAL MEDIA INNOVATIONS, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2016-00472 Patent 6,101,534

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,101,534



Table of Contents

I.	NTRODUCTION	1
II.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	
	A. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A)	EF
Ш	SUMMARY OF THE '534 PATENT	3
	A. DESCRIPTION OF THE ALLEGED INVENTION OF THE '534 PATENT	5
IV	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3)	. 12
V.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '534 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE	. 16
	 Mages in View of Batchelor Renders Claims 1, 6-9, 21, and 23-24 Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Mages in View of Batchelor in Further View of Hughes Renders Claim 22 Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) 	. 17
VI	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	
	A. REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST AND RELATED MATTERS. B. LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(3)	. 40 . 41
VI	CONCLUSION	. 42



I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Gameloft, S.A. ("Gameloft" or "Petitioner") requests *Inter Partes*Review ("IPR") of claims 1, 6-9, and 21-24 (the "Challenged Claims") of U.S.
Patent No. 6,101,534 (the "'534 Patent"), filed on September 3, 1997, and issued on August 8, 2000 to Leigh M. Rothschild ("Applicant"). **Exhibit 1001**, '534 Patent.

II. REQUIREMENTS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104

A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)

Petitioner certifies that the '534 Patent is available for IPR and that the Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting IPR to challenge the claims of the '534 Patent. Specifically, Petitioner states: (1) Petitioner is <u>not</u> the owner of the '534 Patent; (2) Petitioner has <u>not</u> filed a civil action challenging the validity of any claim of the '534 Patent; (3) this Petition is filed <u>less</u> than one year after Petitioner was served with a complaint alleging infringement of the '534 Patent; and (4) this Petition is filed <u>more</u> than nine months after the '534 Patent issued and the '534 Patent was not the subject of a post-grant review.

B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested

In view of the prior art, evidence, and claims charts discussed in this Petition, claims 1, 6-9, and 21-24 of the '534 Patent are unpatentable and should be cancelled. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1). Based on the prior art references identified



below, IPR of the Challenged Claims should be instituted. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2). The proposed statutory rejections for claims 1, 6-9, and 21-24 of the '534 Patent are as follows:

- Claims 1, 6-9, 21, 23, and 24 are obvious under § 103(a) by U.S. Patent No. 5,892,825 to Mages et al., which was filed on November 25, 1996 ("Mages") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,724,103 to Batchelor, which was filed on November 13, 1995 ("Batchelor"). Batchelor qualifies as prior art with regard to the '534 Patent under § 102(e) (Exhibit No. 1004). Mages is a continuation-in-part of U.S Application No. 645,022, which was filed on May 15, 1996, and Mages qualifies as prior art with regard to the '534 Patent under § 102(e) (Exhibit No. 1005).
- Claim 22 is obvious under § 103(a) by Mages in view of Batchelor in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,736,977 to Hughes, which was filed on April 26, 1995 ("Hughes"), and qualifies as prior art with regard to the '534 Patent under § 102(e) (Exhibit No. 1008).

Section V identifies where each element of the Challenged Claims is found in the prior art. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4). The exhibit numbers of the supporting evidence relied upon to support the challenges are provided above and the relevance of the evidence to the challenges raised is provided in Section V. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5). **Exhibits 1001-1003 and 1009-1013** are also attached.



III. SUMMARY OF THE '534 PATENT

A. Description of the Alleged Invention of the '534 Patent

The '534 Patent describes a computer interface system for real estate viewing that includes a remote server, a local processor, and a data storage assembly that has a compact, portable, and interchangeable computer readable medium such as a CD-ROM. See Ex. 1001, '534 Patent at Abstract. In the background of the invention, the specification describes purported problems with existing real estate viewing video systems, and sets out to disclose a real estate display system that would provide a highly interactive walk-through viewing experience. See id. at Col. 1:4-3:50. One problem noted in the '534 Patent is that online technology is encumbered by slow download speeds, especially when continuous presentation of video and audio information is required, like with the disclosed real estate display system. See id. The solution to this problem, according to the description of the '534 Patent, is to provide for enhancement of the online content by accessing auxiliary information for which downloading would not be required (i.e., because the auxiliary information is locally-stored). See id. Thus, a user at a local computer, having access to a CD-ROM or the like that has been previously distributed and stored at a local computer, is able to go online to access primary site information (e.g., through a website). See, e.g., id. at Col. 13:43-14:32. When the interaction calls for interactive video, downloading is not necessary



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

