

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

LAM RESEARCH CORP.,

Petitioner

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,

Patent Owner

---

U.S. Patent No. RE40,264 E

Issued: April 29, 2008

Named Inventor: Daniel L. Flamm

Title: MULTI-TEMPERATURE PROCESSING

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE40,264 E**

**SIXTH PETITION**

Mail Stop: PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	1
A. Notice of Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) .....	1
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	2
C. Designation of Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) .....	2
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) .....	2
E. Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. § 42.103).....	2
F. Certification of Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)) .....	2
III. PRIORITY DATE OF THE '264 PATENT.....	3
A. Independent Claim 27 .....	5
B. Independent Claim 37 .....	5
C. The Continuation-In-Part Provisional Application No. 60/058,650 Disclosure Filed September 11, 1997 .....	6
1. Etching the Film (cl. 27) or Film Treatment (cl. 37) at the Selected First Temperature, Changing to a Selected Second Temperature, and Etching (cl. 27) or Film Treatment (cl. 37) at the Selected Second Temperature .....	6
2. Using a Measured Substrate Temperature (cl. 27) or a Control Circuit and Substrate Temperature Sensor (cl. 37) .....	7

	<u>Page</u>
D. Disclosure of Parent Application No. 09/151,163 Filed Dec. 4, 1995 .....	8
E. Claims 27-50, 66, and 67 Are Only Entitled to a Priority Date of September 11, 1997 .....	12
IV. CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED .....	12
A. Specific Art and Statutory Ground(s) on which the Challenges are Based .....	13
V. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	14
VI. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '264 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE .....	15
A. Ground 1: Claims 27-29, 31-46, 50, 66, and 67 are Rendered Obvious by Kadomura in View of '485 Wang and Kawamura under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	15
1. Kadomura in View of '485 Wang and Kawamura Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 27.....	15
2. Chart for Claim 27 .....	22
3. Kadomura in view of '485 Wang and Kawamura Teaches All the Limitations of Dependent Claims 28-29, 31-36, 66 .....	26
4. Chart for Claims 28-29, 31-36, and 66.....	31
5. Kadomura in View of '485 Wang and Kawamura Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 37.....	33
6. Chart for Claim 37 .....	39
7. Kadomura in View of '485 Wang and Kawamura Teaches All the Limitations of Dependent Claims 38-46, 50, and 67.....	41

	<u>Page</u>
8. Chart for Claims 38-46, 50, and 67 .....	49
9. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 27-29, 31-46, 50, 66, and 67.....	50
B. Ground 2: Kadomura in View of '485 Wang, Kawamura, and Tegal Teaches All the Limitations of Dependent Claims 30 and 49 .....	53
1. Chart for Claims 30 and 49.....	53
2. Reasons for Combinability for claims 30 and 49 .....	53
C. Ground 3: Kadomura in View of EP Wang and Kawamura Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 37, 47, and 48.....	54
1. Chart for Claims 37, 47, and 48.....	57
2. Reasons for Combinability for claims 37, 47, and 48 .....	58

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**Cases**

*Ariosa Diagnostics v. Isis Innovation Ltd. Patent Owner*,  
 IPR2012-00022 (MPT), 2013 WL 2181162  
 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 12, 2013) ..... 3

*Augustine Med., Inc. v. Gaymar Indus., Inc.*,  
 181 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 1999) ..... 3

*Baldwin Graphic Systems, Inc. v. Siebert, Inc.*,  
 512 F. 3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008) ..... 17

*Hollmer v. Harari*,  
 681 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2012) ..... 3

*In re Alappat*,  
 33 F.3d 1526 (Fed. Circ. 1994) ..... 43

*In re Freeman*,  
 573 F.2d 1237 (CCPA 1978)..... 43

*In re Noll*,  
 545 F.2d 141 (CCPA 1976)..... 43

*In re Prater*,  
 415 F.2d at 1403 (CCPA 1969)..... 43

*KSR Int'l. Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*,  
 550 U.S. 398 (2007)..... 1

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 102..... 13

35 U.S.C. § 103..... 15

35 U.S.C. § 112..... 3, 4

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.