

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LAM RESEARCH CORP.,

Petitioner

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. RE40,264 E

Issued: April 29, 2008

Named Inventor: Daniel L. Flamm

Title: MULTI-TEMPERATURE PROCESSING

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE40,264 E

SEVENTH PETITION

Mail Stop: PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	1
A. Notice of Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	1
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	2
C. Designation of Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))	2
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)).....	2
E. Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. § 42.103).....	2
F. Certification of Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))	2
III. PRIORITY DATE OF THE '264 PATENT	3
A. Independent Claim 51	5
B. Independent Claim 56	5
C. Independent Claim 60	6
D. The Continuation-In-Part Provisional Application No. 60/058,650 Disclosure Filed September 11, 1997	7
1. On the Substrate Holder, Etching at the First Substrate Temperature, Changing to a Selected Second Temperature, and Etching at the Selected Second Temperature.	7
2. Using a Control Circuit to Change from the First Substrate Temperature to the Second Substrate Temperature within a Preselected Time Period.....	7
E. Disclosure of Parent Application No. 09/151,163 Filed Dec. 4, 1995	8

	<u>Page</u>
F. Claims 51-63 and 68-71 Are Only Entitled to a Priority Date of September 11, 1997	13
IV. CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	13
A. Specific Art and Statutory Ground(s) on Which the Challenges Are Based	13
V. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	15
VI. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '264 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	16
A. Ground 1: Claims 51-58 and 68-69 Are Rendered Obvious by Kadomura, '485 Wang, and Kawamura Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	16
1. Kadomura in View of '485 Wang, and Kawamura Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 51	16
2. Chart for Claim 51	22
3. Kadomura, '485 Wang, and Kawamura Teach All the Limitations of Claims 52-55 and 68-69.....	26
4. Charts for Claims 52-55 and 68-69.....	30
5. Kadomura in View of '485 Wang, and Kawamura Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 56.....	32
6. Chart for Claim 56	33
7. Kadomura, '485 Wang, and Kawamura Teach All the Limitations of Claims 57-58	34
8. Chart for Claims 57 and 58.....	35
9. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 56-58.....	35
10. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 51-55 and 68-69.....	37

	<u>Page</u>
B. Ground 2: Claim 59 Is Rendered Obvious by Kadomura, '485 Wang, Kawamura, '391 Wang, and Thomas Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	38
1. Kadomura in view of '485 Wang, Kawamura, '391 Wang, and Thomas Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 56.....	39
2. Chart for Claim 56.....	41
3. Kadomura in view of '485 Wang, Kawamura, '391 Wang, and Thomas Teaches All the Limitations of Claim 59.....	42
4. Chart for Claim 59.....	44
5. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 59.....	44
C. Ground 3: Claims 60-61 and 71 Are Rendered Obvious by Kadomura, Kawamura, '485 Wang, and Fischl Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	45
1. Kadomura in view of '485 Wang, Kawamura, and Fischl Teaches All the Limitations of Claim 60.....	46
2. Chart for Claim 60.....	51
3. Kadomura, Kawamura, '485 Wang, and Fischl Teach All the Limitations of Claims 61, 71.....	52
4. Chart for Claims 61 and 71.....	53
5. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 60-61, 70.....	53
D. Ground 4: Claim 62 Is Rendered Obvious by Kadomura, Kawamura, '485 Wang, Fischl, and Tegal Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	55
1. Chart for Claim 62.....	55
2. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 62.....	56

	<u>Page</u>
E. Ground 5: Claim 63 Is Rendered Obvious by Kadomura, Kawamura, '485 Wang, Fischl, and Narita Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	56
1. Chart for Claim 63	57
2. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 63	57
F. Ground 6: Claim 70 Is Rendered Obvious by Kadomura, '485 Wang, Kawamura, Fischl, and Hwang Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	58
1. Chart for Claim 70	59
2. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 70	59

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.