UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WAVES AUDIO, LTD Petitioner

v.

ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION Patent Owner

Case: IPR2016-00461

Patent 6,049,607

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,049,607



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MAN	DATORY NOTICES	1
II.	PAYI	MENT OF FEES	3
III.	STAN	NDING	3
IV.	_	UEST TO HOLD CLAIMS 1-4, 8, 25-18 and 32 OF THE '607 ENT UNPATENTABLE	3
	A.	The Alleged Invention Of The '607 Patent	4
	B.	Summary Of The Prosecution History Of The '607 Patent	4
V.	CLAI	M CONSTRUCTION	5
	A.	Broadest Reasonable Construction	5
	B.	"interference signal" (claims 1-2 and 25-26)	6
	C.	"adaptive filter" (claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 25, 27, 28 and 32)	6
	D.	"target signal" (claims 1, 2, 25, 26 and 27)	6
	E.	"reference signal" (claims 2, 4, 5, 12, 26, 28, 29 and 37)	
	F.	"main signal" (claims 5, 8, 12, 29, 32 and 36)	7
	G.	"transform function" (claims 9, 33)	7
	H. signal	"main input for inputting said target signal / inputting said target l" (claims 1)	
	I.	"band limitedsignals" (claims 1, 3, 25 and 27)	7
	J. 4, 26	"reference input for inputting said interference signal" (claims 1, and 28)	
	K.	"cancelling" (claims 1 and 25)	8
	L.	"beam splitting/beam splitter" (claims 1 and 25)	8
	M.	"beam selector" (claims 8 and 32)	8
VI.		R ART TO THE '345 PATENT FORMING THE BASIS FOR TH	
	A.	Prior Art Documents	8
	B.	Summary Of Unpatentability Arguments	10



PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,049,607

VII.	GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY OF EACH CLAIM12
	A. Ground 1: Claims 1-4 and 25-28 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) As Being Anticipated By Chu 307
	B. Ground 2: Claims 1-4 and 25-28 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) As Being Anticipated By Nakagawa
	C. Ground 3: Claims 1-4, 8, 25-28 and 32 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) As Being Anticipated By Dreiseitel30
	D. Ground 4: Claims 1, 2, 8, 25, 26 and 32 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Being Obvious over Chu 307 in view of Chu 199538
	E. Ground 5: Claims 1-3, 8, 25-27 and 32 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious over Chu 1993 in view of Chu 199550
	F. Ground 6: Claims 8 and 32 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious over Chu 307 or Nakagawa or Dreiseitel in view of Griffiths.
	G. Ground 7: Claims 8 and 32 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Chu '307 or Nakagawa or Dreiseitel in view of Provencher
	H. Ground 8: Claims 8 and 32 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Chu 307 or Nakagawa or Dreiseitel in view of Huang
17111	CONCLUSION 60



PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,049,607

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Description	Exhibit #
U.S. Patent No. 6,049,607, "Interference Canceling Method And Apparatus," to Joseph Marash and Baruch Berdugo, issued on Apr. 11, 2000 ("the '607 Patent")	1001
Prosecution History of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/157,035 which issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,049,607	1002
Table 1 – List Of Each Challenged Claim Element Annotated With Its Claim Number and A Reference Letter	1003
Petitioner's List of Related Litigation Matters, And Patents at Issue	1004
Petitioner's List of IPR Petitions and Challenged Patent Claims of the Andrea Patents	1005
U.S. Patent No. 5,305,307 ("Chu 307")	1006
U.S. Patent No. 5,774,561 ("Nakagawa")	1007
Pia Dreiseitel, Eberhard Hänsler, and Henning Puder, "Acoustic Echo and Noise Control – A Long Lasting Challenge," Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), Sept. 8-11, 1998, ("Dreiseitel")	1008
Chu, "Desktop MIC Array for Teleconferencing." I.E.E.E., 1995, ("Chu 1995")	1009
Peter L. Chu, "Weaver SSB Subband Acoustic Echo Canceller," 1993 IEEE Workshop on Applications of Signal Processing to Audio and Acoustics, pp. 8-11 ("Chu 1993")	1010



PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,049,607

Description	Exhibit #
Griffiths and Jim, "An Alternative Approach to Linearly Constrained Adaptive Beamforming," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 30, No. 1, Jan 1982, ("Griffiths")	1011
U.S. Patent No. 4,032,922 ("Provencher")	1012
U.S. Patent No. 6,173,059 ("Huang")	1013
Declaration of Bertand Hochwald ("Hochwald Decl.")	1014



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

