### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WAVES AUDIO, LTD Petitioner

V.

### ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION Patent Owner

Case: IPR2016-00459

Patent 6,363,345

AMENDED PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,363,345



In re Patent of:	Joseph Marsh, et al.
U.S. Patent No.:	6,363,345
Issue Date:	March 26, 2002
Serial No.:	09/252,874
Filing Date:	February 18, 1999
Title:	System, Method and Apparatus For Cancelling Noise

Submitted via Electronic Filing Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## AMENDED PETITION FORINTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NUMBER 6,363,345 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319

Waves Audio Ltd. ("Waves" or "Petitioner") hereby requests *Inter Partes*Review of Claims 1, 2, 3, 12-14, 17, 21, 23, 25, 38 and 47 in United States Patent
Number 6,363,345 ("the '345 Patent," Exhibit 1001) owned by Andrea Electronics
Corporation, LLC ("Andrea" or "Patentee"). A detailed statement supporting the petition follows.

The present Amended Petition is being filed to address the defects noted in the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition mailed January 21, 2014. The requisite fee accompanied Petitioner's initial Petition. If any additional fee is necessary the Director is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-50-159. This document has been served on the Patent Owner as reflected in the accompanying Certificate of Service.



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MA	NDATORY NOTICES	1
II.	PA	YMENT OF FEES	2
III.	STA	ANDING	2
IV.		QUEST TO HOLD CLAIMS 1, 2, 3, 12-14, 17, 21, 23, 25, 38 and 47 THE '345 PATENT UNPATENTABLE	3
	A.	The Alleged Invention Of The '345 Patent	3
	B.	Summary Of The Prosecution History Of The '345 Patent	4
V.	CL	AIM CONSTRUCTION	5
	A. B	Broadest Reasonable Construction	5
	B.	"frequency bins" (claims 1-4, 5, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16 18, 21-24, 38, 39-4 and 45)	
	C.	"frequency spectrum generator" (claims 1 and 38)	6
	D.	"magnitude of the frequency bin" (claims 1-4, 5, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16 18 21-24, 38, 39-42, 44 and 45)	
	E.	"threshold detector/setting a threshold" (claims 1, 38 and dependent claims)	
	F.	"detecting the position of/detects the position of" (claims 1, 38 and dependent claims)	
	G.	"noise estimation process" (claim 1 and dependent claims)	6
	Н.	"subtractor for subtracting said noise elements/subtracting said noise elements" (claims 13 and 38)	
VI.		IOR ART TO THE '345 PATENT FORMING THE BASIS FOR THIS	
	A.	Prior Art Documents	7
	B.	Summary of Unpatentability Arguments	9



	VII.	GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY OF EACH CLAIM12
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 13 and 38 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) As Being Anticipated By Higgins
	B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-3, 12, 13, 21, 23 and 38 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) As Being Anticipated By Hirsch
	C.	Ground 3: Claims 1-3, 13, 14 and 38 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) As Being Anticipated by McAulay24
	D.	Ground 4: Claims 1-3. 13, 14, 21 and 23 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Arslan
	E.	Ground 5: Claims 1 and 38 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Higgins in View of Hirsch34
	F.	Ground 6: Claim 12 is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Higgins or Hirsch In View Of Yang39
	G.	Ground 7: Claims 12 and 13 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Higgins or Hirsch In View Of Martin
	Н.	Ground 8: Claim 17 and 47 are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C.§ 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Higgins or Hirsch In View Of Boll42
	I.	Ground 9: Claim 21 is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Higgins or Hirsch In View Of Adams43
	J.	Ground 10: Claim 23 is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Higgins or Hirsch In View Adams and further in view Of O'Hagan
	K.	Ground 11: Claim 23 is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Higgins or Hirsch In View Of Adams and further in view of Lindemann
	L.	Ground 12: Claim 25 is Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Higgins or Hirsch In View Of Cezanne47
VIII.	CON	ICLUSION48



# PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Description	Exhibit #		
U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345, "System, Method and Apparatus For Cancelling Noise" to Joseph Marash and Baruch Berdugo, issued on Mar. 26, 2002 ("'345 Patent")			
Prosecution History of Application No. 09/252,874 which issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,363,345			
Table 1 – List Of Each Challenged Claim Element Annotated With Its Claim Number and A Reference Letter	1003		
Petitioner's List of Related Litigation Matters And Patents at Issue	1004		
Petitioner's List of IPR Petitions and Challenged Patent Claims of the Andrea Patents			
U.S. Patent No. 6,266,633 ("Higgins") filed in the United States on December 22, 1998			
H. G. Hirsch and C. Ehricher, "Noise estimation techniques for robust speech recognition," Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoustics, Speech, Signal Processing, vol. 1, pp. 153-156, 1995 ("Hirsch")	1007		
McAulay and Malpass, "Speech Enhancement Using a Soft-Decision Noise Suppression Filter," IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, Vol. 28, No. 2, April 1980 ("McAulay")	1008		
U.S. Patent No. 5,706,395 ("Arslan") was filed in the United States on April 19, 1995	1009		
U.S. Patent No. 5,432,859 ("Yang") was published in the United States in 1995	1010		
Rainer Martin, "An Efficient Algorithm to Estimate the Instantaneous SNR of Speech Signals," Proc. Eurospeech, pp. 1093-96, 1993 ("Martin")	1011		



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

