Paper 20 Entered: September 29, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

.....

TWILIO INC., Petitioner,

v.

TELESIGN CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00450 Patent 8,462,920 B2

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and KIMBERLY McGRAW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McGRAW, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER

Conduct of the Proceeding 37 C.F.R. § 42.5

Petitioner submitted a Request for Rehearing (Paper 19) asserting, *inter alia*, that the Board's Decision denying institution of *inter partes* review (Paper 17) overlooked arguments and evidence presented in connection with Petitioner's arguments that claim 4 is unpatentable. *See*



Paper 19, 1–9. Petitioner asserts that because "Claim 4 uses the term 'notification event' in nearly the same way that the Board construed the term for claim 1," "Petition[er]'s arguments for claim 4 are highly relevant to the 'notification event'" limitations recited in claim 1. *Id.* at 6. Petitioner also asserts that because claim 4 depends from claims 1 and 2, Petitioner's arguments for claim 4 apply equally to claims 1 and 2. *Id.* at 8.

IT IS

ORDERED that Patent Owner is authorized to file an opposition to Petitioner's Request for Rehearing;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner's opposition shall not exceed twelve pages and is due no later than October 6, 2016; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is authorized to file a reply to Patent Owner's opposition, where Petitioner's reply shall not exceed three pages and is due no later than October 11, 2016.



IPR2016-00450 Patent 8,462,920 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Wayne Stacy
Mikaela Stone
Britton Davis
wstacy@cooley.com
zTwilioIPR@cooley.com
bdavis@cooley.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Tawni L. Wilhelm Jesse J. Camacho Elena S.K. McFarland Amy Foust telesignipr@shb.com jcamacho@shb.com emcfarland@shb.com afoust@shb.com

