

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,  
Petitioner

v.

BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

CASE IPR2016-00448  
Patent 7,908,343

---

**PATENT OWNER BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC'S  
MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE**

**Paper No. 47**

## Contents

|       |   |    |
|-------|---|----|
| I.    | Exhibit 1015 (Wikipedia) .....  | 1  |
| II.   | Exhibit 1017 (Lavi Declaration with Exhibits A–F), and Exhibit 1016<br>(Michalson declaration) to the extent it relies on exhibit 1017..... | 2  |
| III.  | Exhibit 1020 (Purported Stylistic 2300 Technical Reference Guide).....  | 7  |
| IV.   | Exhibit 1022.....   | 8  |
| V.    | Exhibit 1023.....   | 9  |
| VI.   | Exhibits 1027, 1028, 1029, 1031 .....   | 10 |
| VII.  | Exhibit 1030 (Hearsay Use of May 2000 Microsoft Article).....   | 11 |
| VIII. | Exhibit 2078 (Redirect Testimony of Dr. Michalson) .....  | 12 |
|       | <b>A.</b> Leading Questions .....   | 12 |
|       | <b>B.</b> Lack of Foundation .....  | 14 |

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

**Page(s)**

### **Cases**

|   |         |
|---|---------|
| <i>HTC Corp., et al. v. NFC Tech., LLC</i> ,<br>IPR2014-01198, Paper 41 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 6, 2015).....                        | 2, 5, 6 |
| <i>John’s Lone Star Distrib., Inc. v. Thermolife Int’l, Inc.</i> ,<br>IPR2014-01201, Paper 31 (P.T.A.B. May 13, 2015) ..... | 6       |
| <i>Square, Inc. v. REM Holdings 3, LLC</i> ,<br>IPR2014-00312, Paper 37 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 9, 2014) .....                       | 2       |
| <i>Stine v. Marathon Oil Co.</i> ,<br>976 F.2d 254 (5th Cir. 1992) .....  | 12      |

### **Other Authorities**

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(a).....         | 6             |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....         | 1             |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.12(a) & (b).....  | 2, 6          |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(1)(ii)..... | 5             |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(2)(i).....  | 5             |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.53 .....          | 2             |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(b)(2).....     | 5             |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(b)(3).....     | 5             |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2).....     | 3             |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(4).....     | 3             |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(g) .....       | 5             |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.62(a).....        | 1, 7, 9, 10   |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(a).....        | <i>passim</i> |

|   |               |
|---|---------------|
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c).....   | 1             |
| F.R.E. 602 .....  | 15            |
| F.R.E. 611(c).....  | 12            |
| F.R.E. 701(a).....  | 15            |
| F.R.E. 702(b).....  | 15            |
| F.R.E. 801 .....  | <i>passim</i> |
| F.R.E. 801(d)(2) .....  | 12            |
| F.R.E 802 .....   | <i>passim</i> |
| F.R.E 803(6).....   | 9, 10, 11     |
| F.R.E. 901 .....  | 1             |
| OFFICE PATENT TRIAL PRACTICE GUIDE,<br>77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012) ..... | 5             |

Pursuant to the Board’s Scheduling Order dated July 25, 2016 (Paper 10); the Stipulation to Modify Due Dates 4 and 5 (Paper 39); and 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c), Patent Owner Bradium Technologies LLC (“Bradium”) respectfully submits this Motion to Exclude Petitioner Microsoft’s evidence.<sup>1</sup>

**I. EXHIBIT 1015 (WIKIPEDIA)<sup>2</sup>**

Exhibit 1015 should be excluded as unauthenticated hearsay. The exhibit should be excluded under F.R.E. 901 because no authenticating information was provided at the deposition at which this exhibit was first used. 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(a). The exhibit should also be excluded as hearsay under 37 C.F.R. § 42.62(a) and F.R.E. 801 & 802 to the extent that Petitioner relies on the exhibit for the truth of what it states, and Petitioner has not shown that a hearsay exception applies.

Patent Owner objected on the record at the January 13, 2017 deposition in which this exhibit was introduced by Petitioner’s counsel for the first time. *See Ex. 1018, 62:17–64:12.* Petitioner did not offer evidence to cure the objections during the deposition, and the parties did not stipulate to waive 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(a) on the deposition record. Petitioner’s attempt to cure the deficiencies in the exhibit via a declaration submitted on March 6, 2017 does not conform with the rules.

---

<sup>1</sup> In this motion, “F.R.E.” refers to the Federal Rules of Evidence, which generally apply to this proceeding. 37 C.F.R. 42.62(a).

<sup>2</sup> This exhibit was marked as Exhibit 1014 at the deposition of Dr. Agouris. Ex. 1018, 62:17-24.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.