

v.

BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Patent Owner _____

CASE IPR2016-00448 Patent 7,908,343 B2

PATENT OWNER BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.70



PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.70

Pursuant to the Board's Scheduling Order dated July 25, 2016 (Paper 10), and Petitioner and Patent Owner's Stipulation to Modify Due Dates 4 and 5 dated March 15, 2017 (Paper 39), Patent Owner Bradium Technologies LLC ("Bradium") respectfully requests oral argument. DUE DATE 7 (Oral argument) is currently scheduled for April 18, 2017.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Bradium intends to argue the following issues:

- 1. Any issues addressed by Patent Owner in IPR2016-00448, including in the Patent Owner Response (Paper 20)² and Patent Owner's Motion for Observations. In particular, as to the patentability of claims 1–20 of Untied States Patent No. 7,908,343, whether claims 1–20 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Reddy in view of Hornbacker.
- 2. Any issues properly raised by Petitioners in IPR2016-00448, including in the Petition (Paper 1) and Petitioner's Reply (Paper 34).
- 3. Rebuttal to issues raised by Petitioner.

² Patent Owner filed Paper No. 20, a Corrected Patent Owner's Response, on November 15, 2016, as authorized by the Board by e-mail on November 15, 2016, to replace Paper 17. The public redacted version of Corrected Patent Owner's Response is Paper No. 21.



¹ On a March 6, 2017 telephone conference that the Board held with the parties, Bradium informed the Board that it intended to request oral argument, and requested that DUE DATE 4 be moved to March 22, 2017.

- 4. Patent Owner's motion to exclude.
- 5. To the extent necessary, any motion to expunge or strike, or any related motion, filed by Patent Owner regarding Exhibit 1017 (Yonatan Lavi Declaration) and Petitioner's papers and exhibits that rely on Exhibit 1017.
- 6. Any motion to exclude evidence by Petitioner.

Bradium requests 60 minutes per side of oral argument in consolidated hearing for IPR2016-00448 and IPR2016-00449.³ Bradium also requests the ability to use audio/visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including the use of an Elmo/digital projector and screen for projection of electronic and paper materials.

³ The Board has also set April 18, 2017 as DUE DATE 7 (Oral argument) in IPR2016-00449 for U.S. Patent No. 8,924,506. (IPR2016-00449 Paper 10 at 7.) In accordance with the Board's Scheduling Orders, Patent Owner's request for argument time encompasses both *inter partes* review proceedings for a total of 60 minutes.



Dated: March 22, 2017

/Chris J. Coulson/

Chris J. Coulson (Reg. No. 61,771) Lead Counsel for Patent Owner ANDREWS KURTH KENYON LLP One Broadway

New York, NY 10004-1007

Tel.: (212) 425-7200 Fax: (212) 425-5288

chriscoulson@andrewskurthkenyon.com

Michael Zachary (admitted *pro hac vice*) Clifford Ulrich (Reg. No. 42,194) Attorneys for Bradium Technologies LLC



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), the undersigned certifies that on March 22, 2017, the foregoing *Patent Owner's Request for Oral Argument* were served via electronic mail upon the following counsel of record for the Petitioner:

Chun M. Ng (Reg. No. 36,878)

Matthew Bernstein (pro hac vice)

Patrick McKeever (Reg. No. 66,019)

Vinay Sathe (Reg. No. 55,595)

Evan S. Day (pro hac vice)

PerkinsServiceBradiumIPR@perkinscoie.com

/Chris J. Coulson/

Chris J. Coulson (Reg. No. 61,771) Lead Counsel for Patent Owner ANDREWS KURTH KENYON LLP One Broadway

New York, NY 10004-1007 Tel.: (212) 425-7200

Fax: (212) 425-5288

chriscouls on @andrewskurthkenyon.com

