

<http://groups.google.com/d/topic/comp.dcom.modems/-eMYZsJl9BY/discussion>

comp.dcom.modems >

Trailblazer detailed info wanted

3 posts by 3 authors

Hans van Staveren

11/3/88

Not too long ago there was an article in one of these groups explaining in gory details the working of the Telebit Trailblazer. How it subdivided the spectrum, how the encoding worked, what the line turnaround time was, how much user data could be piggybacked on the modems own communication etc.. At the time I read it quickly and forgot it, but of course now I am asked for the data.

If anybody still has that article could they mail it to me?

Thanks in advance,

Hans van Staveren  
Vrije Universiteit  
Amsterdam, Holland

[Click here to Reply](#)

Richard Siegel

11/4/88

In article <16...@sater.cs.vu.nl>, sa...@cs.vu.nl (Hans van Staveren) writes:  
> Not too long ago there was an article in one of these groups  
> explaining in gory details the working of the Telebit Trailblazer.

OK, due to popular demand (actually, several other people have requested this via mail, too), I am reposting this to this newsgroup. Please forgive me if you've already read it, and are tired of hearing about Telebit TrailBlazers.

Otherwise, this should provide you with a basic idea of how the modem works, and may prevent confusion when talking about modulation technologies. Note that we make no claim that this is "unbiased" - it describes our product.

Enjoy!

```
=====
Richard Siegel           Phone:           (415) 969-3800
Product Manager         UUCP:    {sun,uunet,ames,hoptoad}!telebit!rls
Telebit Corporation     ARPA:    telebit!rls@ames.ARPA
```

"We are, after all, professionals"...HST

```
=====
Telebit Corporation     Revision 1.00           07 APR 1988
=====
```

#### A BRIEF TECHNICAL OVERVIEW OF THE TELEBIT TRAILBLAZER MODEM

By Michael Ballard, UNIX Program Manager, Telebit Corp.

Before starting on this document, a caveat: this document is intended to address many of the questions and comments about the Telebit TrailBlazer that have appeared from the user community. We are striving to provide as much information as possible, in such a way that will be useful to the widest group of readers. This is NOT intended to be a Marketing Article, but rather a technical overview for the more sophisticated reader. Its purpose is to inform, not to sell product. If anyone is offended by Telebit taking this action, please mail directly to me first, to avoid cluttering the newsgroup. Thank you.

I would like to provide some background for Unix users considering the use of Telebit's TrailBlazer Plus high speed dialup modem.

Xmodem and Ymodem protocols are directly supported in the TrailBlazer modem's firmware. Peter Honeyman, co-developer of ATT's HoneyDanBer/BNU UUCP, coded those portions of the TrailBlazer firmware which support the "g" protocol.

The Telebit modem employs a patented multicarrier modulation scheme coined DAMQAM (Dynamically Adaptive Multicarrier Quadrature Amplitude Modulation). A CRC-16 based sliding window protocol with selective retransmission runs on top of this modulation scheme insuring data integrity across the phone line. This telephone line protocol is known as the Packetized Ensemble Protocol or PEP. PEP is the trademark by which all modems employing this technique can be recognized.

This technique (DAMQAM) divides the voice bandwidth into 511 individual channels each capable of passing 2, 4, or 6 bits per baud based on the measured characteristics of the individual frequencies associated with each channel. On a typical phone connection, the modem uses a subset of about 400 of those channels.

Each time the modem connects to a circuit established on the dialup Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN), the TrailBlazer measures the quality of the connection, and determines the usable subset of the 511 carriers. The aggregate sum of bits modulated on this subset of carriers multiplied times the baud rate yields a bit per second rate that on a local telephone connection (i.e. round trip through your local telco) is 18031 bps. This 18031 bps is then reduced by about 20% to allow for the CRC overhead, to about 14400 bps of data throughput.

Long distance line quality varies with location and carrier, but you can expect this number to be in the 10000 to 17000 bps range under most conditions domestically. By choosing a high quality long distance carrier, you will ensure the best throughput overall.

The modem operates at 7.35 and 88.26 baud, transparently changing baud rates to accomodate the pace and quantity of data traffic. When in "interactive mode" the modem sends data using 11 msec packets (which run at 88.26 baud). Each packet contains 15 bytes of data. In "file transfer mode" the modem uses 136 msec packets (that transfer at 7.35 baud) that contain 256 bytes of data. The TrailBlazer decides which packet size to use on an ongoing dynamic basis. No intervention from the user is required.

At lower speeds, such as 300, 1200, and 2400 bps, the TrailBlazer provides emulation (performed in the DSP section, not by a "chip" modem) to support these standards. The 300 bps standard is called Bell 103C. At 1200 bps, two standards exist, Bell 212A and CCITT V.22. Both are supported. At 2400 bps, the standard is called CCITT V.22 bis. These speeds are all available with or without MNP Class 3 Error Correction.

The TrailBlazer employs a Motorola 68000 and a Texas Instruments TMS32010 digital signal processor to accomplish this performance. Because of this substantial computer horsepower (about 7.5 MIPS), the TrailBlazer is really a communications processor, rather than a conventional modem.

The software defined architecture produces a flexible product platform that allows broad feature development capabilities while allowing the product's installed base to benefit from those developments by installing upgrade EPROM sets.

All four protocols (Kermit, Xmodem/Ymodem, UUCP), V.22bis support, MNP at low speeds, multiple releases to improve the interactive performance (earlier TrailBlazers utilized only one baud rate), a multitude of RS-232 behavior related features, leased line capabilities, remote command processor access, echo suppressor compensation, increased data rates, and a myriad of user requested features have found their way into current production modems and are available to earlier revisioned modems via the EPROM upgrade kits.

PEP modems provide a full duplex serial interface to an attached computer, however they employ a half duplex implementation on the telephone line. Telebit refers to this half duplex technique as "Adaptive Duplex". As the name implies, the ownership of the line (i.e. the ability to transmit) adapts to the quantity of data available to send at any single moment. Maximum efficiency is achieved by sending data

flow control to moderate the data flow into and out of the modem.

This allows the maximum amount of data to be available every time a transmitting modem takes ownership of the line. In this way the modem, not the DTE, controls the line turnarounds. The protocol provides a ceiling at about 3k of sent data before a transmitting modem must give up its turn and allow the other modem an opportunity to send. A continuous 19.2Kbps data flow into the modem is required to ensure that there is always 3k of data to send each time a transmitting modem takes its turn. The serial interface speed must exceed the telephone line speed, potentially 18,031 bps, or the maximum efficiency of the modems can not be reached).


UUCP's "g" protocol behavior on dialup lines was a clear contradiction of the desired behavior with the PEP protocol. "g" sends 3 small data packets at time and then waits for the remote UUCP to ACK or NAK their receipt. The resulting throughput when using UUCP and "g" with the TrailBlazer was only a little better than a standard 1200 bps modem. This was unacceptable. Telebit decided to improve UUCP performance.

The TrailBlazer can be configured to "spoof" the protocol by setting a register (S111) to one of several values. The spoof can support four different protocols: UUCP "g", Xmodem, Ymodem, and Kermit.

"Spoof" means to fool the various protocols into thinking that they are getting their acknowledgment packets from the remote computer, when in reality they are getting them from the modem.

All of these protocols are what are commonly referred to as "send and wait" protocols. This type of protocol builds a packet in computer A, sends it out through the modems, where it is received by computer B. Next, computer B looks at the packet to determine whether or not it arrived intact. If it did, it sends an ACK (acknowledgement) packet back to computer A. If it did not arrive intact, it sends a NAK (non-acknowledgement) packet. In either case, computer A can't send the next packet out until it gets the ACK from the first packet. This is slow!

Since our modems are error-free between the modems, the only place data could get "broken" is between the modems and their respective computers. Let me draw the connection diagram below:



When we are running our protocol support, we look at the packet coming from Ca. Ta checks the packet for validity and sends the ACK or NAK. Ca can begin building the next packet immediately upon receipt of Ta's ACK. This results in Ca building and sending packets as fast as it can. Many packets are now forwarded to Tb. Tb now delivers the packets to Cb, observing the rules of the protocol. Tb will deliver the next packet or retransmit the previous packet based on the ACK or NAK received from Cb. Cb ACKs and NAKs are then thrown away so as not to return to Ca.

Protocol support can be configured to run in parallel with data compression enabled. The real world result of this is to increase protocol transfers from 2-3 Kbps to 10-19.2 Kbps.

This covers most of the commonly asked questions about the TrailBlazer. If any of the above information is unclear, or you have questions regarding other aspects of modem technology or performance, send mail to:

Michael Ballard  
Telebit Corporation  
1345 Shorebird Way  
Mountain View, CA 94043  
{ames, uunet, hoptoad, sun, dwon}@telebit!modems

Don Speck

11/25/88

In article <303@telebit.UUCP>, rls@telebit.UUCP (Richard Siegel) writes:  
> This 18031 bps is then reduced by

> throughput.

Isn't this 20% due to start/stop bits, not the CRC? A 16-bit CRC is tiny compared to a PEP packet.

> In "file transfer mode" the modem uses 136 msec packets  
> (that transfer at 7.35 baud) that contain 256 bytes of data.

That multiplies out to 1881.6 bytes per second. It sounds like a PEP packet header of 10 or 11 bytes is deducted from that 256 bytes per packet, to arrive at 1803.1 bytes per second. I assume this is what "18031 bps" really means.

A 14400 bps synchronous modem has the same data throughput (1800 bytes per second) because it doesn't need start/stop bits.

Don Speck man...@csvax.caltech.edu {amdahl,ames!elroy}!cit-vax!speck