## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

# TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION Petitioner v.

BLITZSAFE TEXAS, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,489,786 Issue Date: Feb. 10, 2009 Title: AUDIO DEVICE INTEGRATION SYSTEM

## PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 42.120

Case No. IPR2016-00421



### I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner Blitzsafe Texas, LLC ("Patent Owner") submits the following response under 37 CFR § 42.120 to the Petition filed by Toyota Motor Corporation ("Petitioner") requesting *inter partes* review of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,489,786 ("the '786 Patent"). This filing is timely pursuant to the Board's Scheduling Order and the parties' stipulation extending the deadline to September 30, 2016. (*See* Paper No. 14, Scheduling order, and Paper No. 17, Stipulation to Adjust Schedule.).

### II. ARGUMENT

Patent Owner respectfully submits that claims 44 and 47 are not obvious over combinations based on the JP H7-6954 ("JP '954") reference, Lau, and Bhogal. Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board confirm the patentability of claims 44 and 47 of the '786 Patent.

In instituting this proceeding, the Board did not consider whether "portable" devices are "external." As Petitioner points out, some of the terms at issue in this proceeding have been construed in other proceedings. Pet. at 12-15. For example, the term "external" was construed as "an after-market device that is outside and alien to the environment of an OEM or after-market stereo system." *See, e.g.*, Ex. 1112 at 24. Portable devices are a subset of these after-market external devices. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 1101 at 2:50-52 ("A docking port is provided for allowing portable



external audio devices to be connected to the interface of the present invention.")

However, the Board only considered whether a portable device was "capable of being carried by a user." Paper No. 13 at 12.

In light of the "external" nature of portable devices, JP '954 cannot be combined with Lau and Bhogal to arrive at claims 44 and 47 without impermissible hindsight. The disclosure of JP '954 is sparse and does not disclose any algorithms for converting control commands from a car stereo to a format compatible with an MP3 player or other "alien" devices, as required by the claims (i.e., "external" devices). JP '954 only discusses a CD-changer which, in the context of the disclosure, would have been understood to be a standard carmounted CD-changer. These CD-changers are not "external" or "portable devices" within the scope of the claims, and thus, JP '954 gives no insight with regard to the type of command conversion and data conversion necessary to integrate an external device.

Similarly, Lau does not teach or disclose "external" or "portable devices." Rather, Lau discloses a system with only two components, a "music server" and a "head unit." The music server is one structural, functional, and physical component and thus cannot be both the "interface" and the "external device" required by the claims. Ex. 1103 at 7. Accordingly, Lau gives no insight with regard to the type of command conversion and data conversion necessary to



integrate an external device.

Finally, Bhogal does not remedy these deficiencies and the Petition does not explain how or why one of ordinary skill in the art would combine the JP '954 system with three components (car, interface, and non-external CD changer) with the Lau system that includes only two components (car and "music server") to arrive at claims 44 and 47.

### III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board confirm the validity of claims 44 and 47 of the '786 Patent over the grounds raised in Toyota's Petition, including those for which review was instituted.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated September 30, 2016

|Peter Lambrianakos/

Peter Lambrianakos (Reg. No. 58,279)

Lead Counsel for Patent Owner

Brown Rudnick LLP

7 Times Square

New York, NY 10036

Tel: 212-209-4800 Fax: 212-209-4801

Email: plambrianakos@brownrudnick.com

Alfred R. Fabricant *Admitted Pro Hac Vice* 

Backup Counsel for Patent Owner

Brown Rudnick LLP



### IPR2016-00421 PATENT NO. 7,489,786

7 Times Square

New York, NY 10036 Tel: 212-209-4800

Fax: 212-209-4801

Email: afabricant@brownrudnick.com

Vincent J. Rubino, III (Reg. No. 68,504)
Backup Counsel for Patent Owner
Brown Rudnick LLP
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036

Telephone: 212-209-4800 Facsimile: 212-209-4801

Email: vrubino@brownrudnick.com

Shahar Harel (Reg. No. 73,203)
Backup Counsel for Patent Owner
Brown Rudnick LLP
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036
Talanhana: 212, 200, 4800

Telephone: 212-209-4800 Facsimile: 212-209-4801

Email: sharel@brownrudnick.com



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

