

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LUPIN LIMITED and LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

iCEUTICA PTY LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00397
Patent 8,999,387 B2

Before TONI R. SCHEINER, SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, and
ZHENYU YANG, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

YANG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review
37 C.F.R. § 42.108

INTRODUCTION

Lupin Limited and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (collectively “Petitioner”) filed a Petition for an *inter partes* review of claims 1–24 of U.S. Patent No. 8,999,387 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’387 patent”). Paper 1 (“Pet.”). iCeutica Pty Ltd. (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 8 (“Prelim. Resp.”). We review the Petition under 35 U.S.C. § 314.

Based on this record, we determine Petitioner has not established a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail in showing the unpatentability of at least one challenged claim. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 314(a). Therefore, we deny the Petition for an *inter partes* review.

Related Proceedings

According to the parties, Patent Owner previously asserted the ’387 patent against Petitioner in *iCeutica Pty Ltd. v. Lupin Limited*, No. 1:14-cv-01515 (D. Del.). Pet. 1; Paper 5, 3.

Petitioner also concurrently filed a petition in IPR2016-00399, seeking an *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 9,017,721 B2, a patent in the same family as the ’387 patent. Pet. 1–2; Paper 5, 3.

The ’387 Patent

The ’387 patent relates to methods for producing particles of diclofenac using dry milling processes and methods for treating pain using a therapeutically effective amount of diclofenac in particulate form. Ex. 1001, Abstract, 1:16–22.

The ’387 patent discloses that diclofenac, a pain medication, “is a poorly water soluble drug so dissolution and absor[p]tion to the body is slow.” *Id.* at 3:6–10. At the time of the ’387 patent invention, it was known

that decreasing particle size increases the surface area of a particulate drug, which in turn increases the rate of its dissolution. *Id.* at 1:43–45. According to the '387 patent, then-existing dry milling techniques used to reduce particle size, however, have various drawbacks. *Id.* at 1:49–59, 2:65–66. The '387 patent purportedly discloses a milling process that overcomes such problems. *Id.* at 2:66–3:3. Diclofenac made by this process has improved dissolution and faster absorption, which result in a more rapid onset of the therapeutic effect. *Id.* at 3:9–13.

Illustrative Claims

Among the challenged claims, claims 1 and 11 are independent. They are reproduced below:

1. A method for treating pain comprising administering a solid oral unit dose of a pharmaceutical composition containing 18 mg of diclofenac acid, wherein the diclofenac acid has a median particle size, on a volume average basis, of less than 1000 nm and greater than 25 nm, wherein the unit dose, when tested in vitro by USP Apparatus I (Basket) method of U.S. Pharmacopoeia at 100 rpm, at 37° C. in 900 ml of 0.05% sodium lauryl sulfate in citric acid solution buffered to pH 5.75, has a dissolution rate of diclofenac acid such that at least 94%, by weight, is released by 75 minutes.
11. A method for treating pain comprising administering a solid oral unit dose of a pharmaceutical composition containing 35 mg of diclofenac acid, wherein the diclofenac acid has a median particle size, on a volume average basis, of less than 1000 nm and greater than 25 nm, wherein the unit dose, when tested in vitro by USP Apparatus I (Basket) method of U.S. Pharmacopoeia at 100 rpm, at 37° C. in 900 ml of 0.05% sodium lauryl sulfate in citric acid solution buffered to pH 5.75, has a dissolution rate of diclofenac acid such that at least 95%, by weight, is released by 75 minutes.

Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability

Petitioner asserts the following grounds, each of which challenges the patentability of claims 1–24:

Basis	References
§ 103	Meiser ¹ and Norvatis Package Insert ²
§ 103	Meiser, Norvatis Package Insert, USP, ³ and Chuasuwan ⁴
§ 103	Meiser, Norvatis Package Insert, USP, Chuasuwan, and Reiner ⁵

In support of its patentability challenge, Petitioner relies on the Declaration of Dr. Mansoor M. Amiji. Ex. 1002.

ANALYSIS

Claim Construction

In an *inter partes* review, the Board interprets a claim term in an unexpired patent according to its broadest reasonable construction in light of the specification of the patent in which it appears. 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b); *Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee*, No. 15-446, 2016 WL 3369425 (U.S. June 20, 2016).

¹ Meiser et al., International Pub. No. WO2008/000042, published January 3, 2008 (Ex. 1005, “Meiser”).

² Novartis Package Insert for Cataflam®, Voltaren®, and Voltaren®-XR, dated May 2000 (Ex. 1006, “Norvatis Package Insert”).

³ United States Pharmacopeia 30, Sections <711> and <1092>, dated May 2007 (Exs. 1007, 1008, collectively “USP”).

⁴ Chuasuwan et al., *Biowaiver Monographs for Immediate Release Solid Oral Dosage Forms Diclofenac Sodium and Diclofenac Potassium*, 98 J. PHARM. SCIS. 1206–19 (2009) (Ex. 1009, “Chuasuwan”).

⁵ Reiner et al., International Pub. No. WO2006/133954, published December 21, 2006 (Ex. 1010, “Reiner”).

Petitioner contends that the “wherein . . . when tested” clause recited in each independent claim, i.e., “wherein the unit dose, when tested in vitro by USP Apparatus I (Basket) method of U.S. Pharmacopoeia at 100 rpm, at 37° C. in 900 ml of 0.05% sodium lauryl sulfate in citric acid solution buffered to pH 5.75, has a dissolution rate of diclofenac acid such that at least 94% [/95%], by weight, is released by 75 minutes,” deserves no patentable weight. Pet. 32–36. Patent Owner disagrees, arguing that the clause is entitled to patentable weight. Prelim. Resp. 17–28.

Claim terms need only be construed to the extent necessary to resolve the controversy. *Wellman, Inc. v. Eastman Chem. Co.*, 642 F.3d 1355, 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2011). On this record and for purposes of this Decision, we see no need to construe any term expressly.

Prior Art Disclosures

Meiser teaches improving the solubility of diclofenac acid by dry milling to obtain nanoparticles. Ex. 1005, 68–69, 71–72. According to Meiser, drugs in nanoparticulate form have advantages over conventional compounds, including more rapid therapeutic action and achieving a given therapeutic effect with a lower dose. *Id.* at 7.

Norvatis Package Insert teaches tablets of diclofenac, in the form of sodium or potassium salt, for treating pain. Ex. 1006, 2.

USP teaches performing the dissolution procedure in Apparatus 1 at 37°C. Ex. 1007, 278, 282; Ex. 1008, 581. It suggests the agitation speed, volume, surfactants, pH range, and time points for measuring the dissolution. Ex. 1008, 580–81.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.