1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	
4	In the Matter of:
5	IPR-2016-00383
6	
7	
8	BOARD TELECONFERENCE
9	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
10	Washington, D.C., 20006
11	May 6, 2016 11:00 a.m.
12	
13	BEFORE: LORA GREEN, Administrative Patent Judge
14	
15	
16	ATKINSON-BAKER, INC. COURT REPORTERS
17	800-288-3376 www.depo.com
18	FILE NO.: AA050CB
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Christine Manos
24	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public





```
1
                        APPEARANCES
 2
   Appearing via Telephone as Panel of Judges:
 3
   Erica Franklin, Hearing Officer
   Susan Mitchell, Hearing Officer
 5
   Appearing via Telephone on behalf of Petitioner Genzyme:
   RICH McCORMICK, ESQUIRE
 6
   LISA FERRI, ESQUIRE
   MAYER BROWN LLP
   1221 Avenue of the Americas
   New York, New York 10020-1001
 8
 9
   Appearing via Telephone on behalf of the Patent Owner:
10
   JEFF KUSHAN, ESQUIRE
   SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
11
   1501 K. Street, Northwest
   Washington, D.C. 20005
12
13
   Appearing via Telephone on behalf of the Patent Owner:
14
   DAVID L. CAVANAUGH, ESQUIRE
   ROBERT GUNTHER, ESQUIRE
15
   WILMER HALE
   1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
16
   Washington, D.C., 20006
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE GREEN: Good morning, this is
3	Judge Green. I also have Judges Franklin and
4	Mitchell on the line with me. I would like to
5	start with a roll call. Who do I have for
6	Petitioner?
7	MR. MCCORMICK: Good morning, Your Honor.
8	This is Rich McCormick with the Mayer Brown Law
9	Firm for Petitioner, Genzyme, and then I have
10	Lisa Ferri, also from Mayer Brown for Genzyme.
11	JUDGE GREEN: Okay, thank you. And who do
12	I have for Patent Owner?
13	MR. KUSHAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
14	This is Jeff Kushan from Sidley Austin for the
15	Patent Owner, and with me are Dave Cavanaugh
16	and Bob Gunther from Wilmer Hale. We also
17	wanted to let you know we have a court reporter
18	lined up who's transcribing the phone call
19	today.
20	JUDGE GREEN: Okay. And you will file a
21	transcript of that call
22	MR. KUSHAN: Yes.
23	JUDGE GREEN: in due course.
24	MR. KUSHAN: Yes, Your Honor, we will do



1 that. 2 JUDGE GREEN: Okay, thank you. And please 3 file it as an exhibit. It's my understanding 4 that Petitioner requested this call to request 5 authorization to file a Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response. Would Petitioner like to 6 7 begin? 8 MR. MCCORMICK: Yes, thank you, Your 9 This is Rich McCormick again. 10 respect to the reply, we would -- we would seek leave to file the reply on the limited issues 11 12 of the 325(d) and 325(e) arguments in the 13 Patent Owner's Preliminary Response. 14 JUDGE GREEN: Uh-huh. 15 MR. MCCORMICK: I -- I would just note I 16 think 325(e), I -- I assume what the Patent 17 Owners meant was 315E. 325(e) is Post-Grant 18 Review Estoppel. 315(e) is IPR Estoppel. 19 estoppel provisions are otherwise the same 20 between the two, so our arguments would be the 21 same in reply. 22 With that said, maybe just a little bit of 23 table setting and I can sort of put in the 24 level of detail you'd like, but there



are -- there are two -- two IPRs currently pending about the same patent, we'll call the Cabilly II patents. One was filed by Sanofi US and Regeneron back in July.

The second IPR was filed by Petitioner Genzyme, in this matter, at the end of last year, December 30th. Again, it's challenging the same patent and it is the same challenge claims, different grounds.

The Sanofi Regeneron IPR had trial instituted on February 5th, so that was after Genzyme put their petition in. And the trial institution order or decision let trial go ahead on all of the challenge claims except four, and those are claims 9, 15, 16 and 17 of Cabilly II.

And in -- in that -- in that institution decision and in Sanofi's IPR petition, there were no 103 arguments presented for those claims 9, 15, 16 and 17. Genzyme's IPR filed December 30th, 2015, it has the same challenge claims. It includes claims 9, 15, 16 and 17.

And specifically there are -- they did present -- or, rather, Genzyme did present 103



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

