UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GENZYME CORPORATION, Petitioner,

v.

GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2016-00383 Patent 6,331,415 B1

DECLARATION OF ROBERT J. GUNTHER, JR. IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE

Genzyme v. Genentech

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

ALARM

I, Robert J. Gunther, Jr. declare as follows:

\$

- I was admitted to the New York Bar in February of 1985 and have been practicing law for 30 years. During the entire time that I have been practicing law, my practice has focused on the field of intellectual property, and particularly, patent litigation.
- 2. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of New York, and am admitted to practice before District Courts of the Southern District of New York, the Eastern District of New York, the Western District of New York, the Northern District of California, the District of Colorado, the Eastern District of Michigan, the Western District of Michigan, and the Northern District of Illinois. I am also admitted to practice before the U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Ninth, Tenth, and Federal Circuits. I am a fellow of The American College of Trial Lawyers.
- 3. My New York Bar membership number is 1967652.
- 4. Over the course of my career, I have been counsel in dozens of patent litigations. Several of these cases have concerned Patent Office rules and regulations. For example, I have litigated a number of cases concerning the duty of candor to the Patent Office embodied in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56. Cases that I have been involved in which implicate this rule include *Apotex, Inc. v.*

Cephalon, Inc., et al., Civ. No. 2:06-cv-02768-MSG (E.D. Pa.); Anascape Ltd. V. Nintendo of America Inc., Civ. No. 9:06-CV-158-RC (E.D. Tex.) and Nintendo of America Inc. v. The Magnavox Company et al, Civ. No. 86 Civ. 1606 (LBS) (S.D.N.Y.).

- 5. I have never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.
- 6. I have never had a court or administrative body deny my application for admission to practice.
- I have never had any sanctions or contempt citations imposed on me by any court or administrative body.
- 8. I have read and will comply with Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in 37 C.F.R. Part 42.
- 9. I agree to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).
- I was admitted *pro hac vice* in IPR2014-01093 before the United States
 Patent Trial and Appeal Board on May 28, 2015 and presented the argument
 for Petitioner at the oral hearing on August 24, 2015. I also represent
 Genentech in IPR2015-01624 (*pro hac vice* motion pending).

- In addition to this matter, I currently represent Genentech in certain matters 11. related to biosimilars, and in two co-pending Inter Partes Review proceedings involving U.S. Patent No. 6,331,415 (the '415 Patent"), IPR2015-01624 and IPR2016-00460. I have also represented Genentech's corporate parent, Roche, in many patent litigation matters since 2004. Patent and patent related cases in which I represent or have represented Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. or its affiliates include: Roche Diagnostics GmbH et al. v. Enzo Biochem, Inc. et al., Civ. No. 1:04 Civ. 4046 (RJS) (S.D.N.Y.); Enzo Life Sciences, Inc. v. Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Civ. No. 1:2012-cv-00106 (D. Del.); Digene Corp. v. F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. and Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Case No. 50 181 T00502 06 (International Centre for Dispute Resolution, American Arbitration Association, NY, NY); Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., et al. v. One Lambda Inc., ICC Case No. 17613/FM (International Chamber of Commerce, Zurich, Switzerland); Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., et al. v. Cepheid, ICC Case No. 18130/FM/MHM/EMT (International Chamber of Commerce, Zurich, Switzerland).
- 12. I am intimately familiar with the subject matter of the '415 Patent and the prior art at issue in this proceeding. While at a prior firm, I was one of the attorneys who litigated on behalf of Genentech against Medimmune with

respect to validity and infringement issues relating to the '415 patent. I am also intimately familiar with antibody technology as a result of my participation as counsel in prior antibody-related patent cases such as Abbott GMBH & Co., et al. v. Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc., Civ. No. 09-11340-FDS (D. Mass.). In addition, I have represented life sciences and pharmaceutical companies, including AbbVie, Cephalon, Chugai Pharmaceuticals, GSK, Genentech, Novartis and Roche in many patent litigation matters before federal district courts and arbitration tribunals. The technology involved in these disputes includes fully human and humanized monoclonal antibodies generated in transgenic mice and by phage display, antibody/antigen binding affinity and measurement of same through techniques such as surface plasmon resonance, epitope mapping, crystallography, amplification of nucleic acids through techniques such as polymerase chain reaction, antibody/antigen diagnostic assays and the production and use of labeled hybridization probes.

13. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.