

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AISIN SEIKI CO., LTD.
Petitioner

v.

SIGNAL IP, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00366
Patent 6,012,007

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
US Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioner Aisin Seiki Co., Ltd. hereby requests oral argument in Case IPR2016-00366, currently scheduled for February 16, 2017. *See* Scheduling Orders, Paper 8 at p. 5.

Petitioners specify the following issues to be argued, which are the only issues in controversy in this proceeding:

1. Whether claims 17 and 21 of US 6,012,007 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102 over Schousek;
2. Any issues raised in the Decision on Institution;
3. Any issues addressed by Patent Owner during the IPR, including in its Preliminary Response (Paper 6) and its Patent Owner Response (Paper 12);
4. Any issues addressed by Petitioner during the IPR, including in its Petition (Paper 1) and its Reply (Paper 13), and in the testimony of its expert, Stephen Rouhana;
5. Any issues raised by the Board at the oral argument.

Patent Owner has requested 30 minutes of argument time in this IPR2016-00292 and 30 minutes of argument time in IPR2016-00366, and has also requested that the oral arguments in the two IPRs be consolidated because they involve the same challenged claims and prior art. *See* Paper 22 in IPR2016-00292; Paper 14 in IPR2016-00366. Petitioner respectfully submits that Patent Owner and Petitioner

Case IPR2016-00366
U.S. Patent No. 6,012,007

should receive the same amount of argument time. Therefore, if the Board does not consolidate the hearings in the two IPRs, Petitioner respectfully requests 30 minutes of argument time, including rebuttal. If the Board consolidates the hearings in the two IPRs, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board collectively provide Petitioner in this IPR and the petitioner in IPR2016-00292 with the same amount of argument time as the Board provides Patent Owner, and Petitioner will coordinate with the petitioner in IPR2016-0366 regarding the consolidated argument.

Petitioner requests the ability to use a laptop projector, Elmo projector, and screen for the purposes of displaying demonstrative exhibits.

Dated: December 22, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /William H. Mandir/
William H. Mandir
Registration No. 32,156
Lead Counsel for Petitioner

Case IPR2016-00366
U.S. Patent No. 6,012,007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the attached PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was sent via electronic mail on December 22, 2016 to the following:

Tarek N. Fahmi (tarek.fahmi@ascendalaw.com)
Holly J. Atkinson (holly.atkinson@ascendalaw.com)
Jason A. LaBerteaux (jason.laberteaux@ascendalaw.com)
patents@ascendalaw.com
ASCENDA LAW GROUP, P.C.
333 W San Carlos St., Suite 200
San Jose, CA 95110

Counsel of Record for the Patent Owner in this proceeding

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 22, 2016

By: /David P. Emery/
David P. Emery
Registration No. 55,154
Backup Counsel for Petitioner