08:18 04/06/00'

NO.022

MEETING MINUTES

MEETING DATE: March 1, 2000 TIME: 10:30 AM LOCATION: Conf. Rm. "G"

IND: 40,061

Meeting Request Submission Date: January 25, 2000 Briefing Document Submission Date: February 16, 2000

Additional Submission Dates: None

DRUG: MTA (MultiTargeted Antifolate, LY231514)

SPONSOR/APPLICANT: Lilly Research Laboratories

TYPE of MEETING:

End of Phase 2 (2nd meeting) 1.

Proposed Indication: For the use of MTA in patients with mesothelioma. 2.

FDA PARTICIPANTS:

Richard Pazdur, M.D. - Director, Division of Oncology Drug Products

James Krook, M.D. - FDA ODAC Member - pre-meetin only

John Johnson, M.D. - Medical Team Leader

Robert White, M.D. - Medical Officer

David Smith, Ph.D. - Statistical Team Leader

Doo Young Lee-Ham, Ph.D - Pharmacology/Toxicology Reviewer

Eric Duffy, Ph.D. - Chemistry Team Leader

Alvis Dunson

000 BE DARA BE:B1

-Project Manager

INDUSTRY PARTICIPANTS:

Gregory Brophy, Ph.D. - Director, North American Regulatory Affairs, Cancer

Axel Hanauske, M.D. - Medical Director, MTA Product Team

Clet Niyikiza, Ph.D. - Research Scientist, Statistician

Paolo Paoletti, M.D. - MTA Product Team Leader

James Rusthoven, M.D. - Clinical Research Physician

Brian Stuglik - MTA Product Team, Chief Operating Officer

John Worzalla - Senior Regulatory Reprensative

Paul A. Bunn, Jr., M.D. - Consultant, University of Colorado Health Science Center

Hilary Calvert, M.D. - Consultant, University of Newcastle, U.K.

301 594 0498

PAGE.02



IND 40,061 March 1, 2000 Meeting Minutes

Page 2

MEETING OBJECTIVES:

To discuss changes of vitamin supplementation instituted for the ongoing mesothelioma registration trial.

QUESTIONS for DISCUSSION with FDA RESPONSE, and DECISIONS REACED:

Question 1a. Does the FDA agree that toxicity and mortality data support a programmatic intervention to improve patient safety in LY231514 trials and that daily low dose folic acid supplementation appropriately serves this purpose?

FDA RESPONSE: The addition of vitamins to the pivotal trial(s) is at Lilly's risk. We share your concerns about toxicity; your options include:

- 1. Temporarily closing the trial and conducting a new Phase 1 trial with MTA + vitamins.
- 2. Stop the current trial and open a trial using a new protocol and new dose.
- 3. Continue the current trial with the addition of vitamins and with a recalculated sample size to provide adequate power for comparisons.
- Lilly agrees to option #3.
- After approximately 150 patients are treated on the revised protocol with vitamin supplementation, a survival analyses will be done pooling the approximately 150 patients with vitamin supplementation with the approximately 150 patients without vitamin supplementation. Lilly will soon submit to FDA a prospective detailed plan for this analysis.

Question 1b. Does the FDA agree that a randomized trial comparing patients receiving LY231514 with and without vitamins is no longer feasible or advisable given the demonstrated toxicity risks to LY231514 patients?

FDA RESPONSE. See la.

301 594 0498

PAGE.03



IND 40,061 March 1, 2000 Meeting Minutes

Page 3

Question 2. Do the proposed analyses of efficacy and safety described here for Study JMCH sufficiently address the impact of the folic acid supplementation intervention on the results of this trial such that the trial will qualify as a randomized, well-controlled trial for the mesothelioma and NSCLC indications?

FDA RESPONSE. We do not believe the proposed changes would allow us to adequately determine the benefit of adding vitamins to this trial. The proposed package for registering MTA is weakened by these changes. Tampering with the pivotal trials does not strengthen the case for well-controlled trials. There is no standard dose of vitamins administered to patients and we believe this is problematic. Please specify exact dose(s).

- Lilly will provide dosing information for each patient (i.e., patient diary, pill count).
- Lilly will provide a revised statistical plan before proceeding with this trial. Specifically, the plan should contain information with respect to interim analysis on survival, and the statistics tests proposed for analyzing vitamin supplementation. A Type I Error penalty is necessary if the trial should be stopped.

Question 3a. Does the agency support the replacement of vinorelbine with docetaxel as the comparator in the JMBQ study?

FDA RESPONSE. No. A new trial should be initiated and a new protocol should be submitted. Does the proposed sample size have sufficient power to demonstrate superiority of MTA over taxotere? The trial is too small to demonstrate equivalence.

Question 3b. Does the agency agree that these modification will allow Study JMBQ to continue to serve the role of a randomized, well-controlled trial in support of the mesothelioma and second-line NSCLC indications, as previously discussed in the End-of Phase II meeting in June of 1999?

FDA RESPONSE. We remind you that two trials in NSCLC will be required to obtain this claim. In addition, your eligibility in the lung cancer trial should be similar to the taxotere trial in order to gain approval based on equivalence.

- Taxotere is an acceptable comparator.
- Taxol prior therapy is acceptable with stratification.
- Patients who progress on prior therapy will be acceptable in the labeling.
- Sponsor will submit a proposal for 1st line NSCLC.
- FDA will get back to sponsor on the number of trials in NSCLC and no commitment is made at this meeting.

000 RE 2000 09:02

301 594 0498

PAGE.04



IND 40,061 March 1, 2000 Meeting Minutes

Page 4

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS.

 Your proposed clinical benefit response is not acceptable. At a mininum, you must use the Agency's Clinical Benefit Response table listed below for the mesothelioma trial. This table is also listed in the meeting minutes dated June 25, 1999. Please note that clinical benefit response alone, as measured in this study, will not be a basis for approval.

CLINICAL BENEFIT RESPONSE

	Pancreas ca GEMZAR	Mesothelioma MTA	FDA Recommendations for Mesothelioma trial
change in pain intensity	≥ 50% reduction	> 10 mm decrease on a 100 mm visual analog scale	≥ 50% reduction
change in analgesic consumption	≥ 50% reduction	≥ 30% reduction	≥ 50% reduction
change in performance status (Karnofsky)	≥ 20 point improvement	≥ 20 point improvement	≥ 20point improvement
weight change	≥ 7% increase	N/A	
Dyspnea		≥ 10 mm decrease on a 100 mm visual analog scale	≥ 50% reduction

2. More justification should be submitted than you have presently for the use of MTA + vitamins.

APR 06 2000 08:02

301 594 0498

PAGE.05



NU. שצב

IND 40,061 March 1, 2000 Meeting Minutes

Page 5

THE PROTOCOL—H3E-JMCH 2/14/99; serial #206

Revised Protocol Sections

page 3:

A rationale for the B12 injection has not been provided.

Protocol H3E-MC-JMCH (d)

Page 16: A rationale for the dose, timing, and schedule of administration of the vitamins has not been provided. What is the evidence that folate/B12 repletion will not stimulate tumor growth prior to the administration of chemotherapy?

Page 20: A creatinine clearance derived with urine collection and serum creatinine may achieve the goal of patient safety better than calculated creatinine clearance derived by formula and serum creatinine.

Page 30: Are leucovorin and thymidine rescue still necessary if vitamins are added to the protocol?

Page 38: In the Disease Status section, delete references to photographs of skin and oral lesions.

Page 51: Data Analysis Methods: there are no specifics for the evaluation of the impact of vitamins on efficacy endpoints.

Page 52: An intent-to-treat analysis should also be performed.

Page 54-56: Since the plan is to complete the accrual of patients to the pivotal trial, the rationale for the interim analysis is weak. Lilly may believe that evidence in their interim analysis may support early filing and stopping of the trial. The FDA is not convinced that clinical benefit response data will warrant early filing. The interim analysis for efficacy endpoints should be deleted. Alternatively, Lilly may accrue all the required patients and then perform an interim analysis of the first 75 patients per arm.

APR 06 2000 08:03

301 594 0498

PAGE.06



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

