Cancer Chemotherapy and Biological Response Modifiers Annual 18

Edited by

ĩ

H.M. Pinedo

The Free University Amsterdam, The Netherlands

D.L. Longo National Institute on Aging Baltimore, MD, U.S.A.

B.A. Chabner

Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, MA, U.S.A.



1**999**

Elsevier Amsterdam – Lausanne – New York – Oxford – Shannon – Tokyo

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

ELSEVIER SCIENCE B.V. Sara Burgerhartstraat 25 P.O. Box 211, 1000 AE Arnsterdam, The Netherlands

© 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

This work is protected under copyright by Elsevier Science, and the following terms and conditions apply to its use:

Photocopying

Single photocopies of single chapters may be made for personal use as allowed by national copyright laws. Permission of the publisher and payment of a fee is required for all other photocopying, including multiple or systematic copying, copying for advertising or promotional purposes, resale, and all forms of document delivery. Special rates are available for educational institutions that wish to make photocopies for non-profit educational classroom use.

Permissions may be sought directly from Elsevier Science Rights & Permissions Department, PO Box 800, Oxford OX5 1DX, UK; phone: (+44) 1865 843830, fax: (+44) 1865 853333, e-mail: permissions@elsevier.co.uk. You may also contact Rights & Permissions directly through Elsevier's home page (http://www.elsevier.nl), selecting first 'Customer Support', then 'General Information', then 'Permissions Query Form'.

In the USA, users may clear permissions and make payments through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA; phone: (978) 7508400, fax: (978) 7504744, and in the UK through the Copyright Licensing Agency Rapid Clearance Service (CLARCS), 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1P 0LP, UK; phone: (+44) 171 436 5931; fax: (+44) 171 436 3986. Other countries may have a local reprographic rights agency for payments.

Derivative Works

Table of contents may be reproduced for internal circulation, but permission of Elsevier Science is required for external resale or distribution of such material.

Permission of the publisher is required for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations.

Electronic Storage or Usage

Permission of the publisher is required to store or use electronically any material contained in this work, including any chapter or part of a chapter. Contact the publisher at the address indicated.

Except as outlined above, no part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher. Address permissions requests to: Elsevier Science Rights & Permissions Department, at the mail, fax and e-mail addresses noted above.

Notice

DOCKE.

No responsibility is assumed by the Publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein. Because of rapid advances in the medical sciences, in particular, independent verification of diagnoses and drug dosages should be made.

First edition 1999

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data A catalog record from the Library of Congress has been applied for.

ISBN Annual 18: 0-444-50074-X ISSN: 0921 4410

The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper). Printed in The Netherlands. Cancer Chemotherapy and Biological Response Modifiers Annual 18 H.M. Pinedo, D.L. Longo and B.A. Chabner, editors ©1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

CHAPTER 1

Antimetabolites

J.L. Grem, C.H. Takimoto, P. Multani, E. Chu, D. Ryan, B.A. Chabner, C.J. Allegra and P.G. Johnston

1. Introduction

Ongoing basic research continues to focus on the mechanisms of cytotoxicity for each of the antimetabolites. Major emphasis has also been placed on including pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic endpoints in clinical trials to help elucidate the optimal method of administration of single agents as well as the combination of two or more drugs. The various studies reviewed in this year's chapter provide further insight into the mechanisms of action of the antimetabolites. As will be described, emerging understanding of the biochemical and molecular determinants of drug sensitivity have provided new therapeutic strategies.

2. Methotrexate

DOCKE

Methotrexate (MTX) is a tight-binding inhibitor of the enzyme dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR), an essential enzyme in intracellular folate metabolism. DHFR is necessary for the conversion of dihydrofolate to tetrahydrofolate, and the reduced folates are key intermediates in one-carbon transfer reactions. An intact enyzme pathway is necessary to maintain de novo synthesis of purines and thymidine monophosphate (thymidylate). For this reason, DHFR represents a critical target enzyme in cancer chemotherapy.

2.1. Mechanism of action

The precise mechanism(s) by which MTX exerts its cytotoxicity remains a subject of ongoing debate. The long-held belief has been that inhibition of DHFR by MTX leads to a depletion of intracellular levels of reduced folate cofactors, with subsequent impairment in de novo synthesis of purines and thymidylate. However, studies from several laboratories have demonstrated that the level of reduced folates is depleted by only 50-70% (Annuals 10-17). Such a modest decrease in the reduced folate pool would seem to be insufficient for the cytotoxic effects observed following treatment with MTX. It is now known that the polyglutamates of both MTX and dihydrofolate, which accumulates in the presence of MTXmediated DHFR blockade, are capable of directly inhibiting the activity of several folate-dependent enzymes in addition to DHFR, including thymidylate synthase (TS), glycinamide ribonucleotide (GAR) transformylase, and 5-aminoimidazole-4carboxamide ribonucleotide (AICAR) transformylase. Thus, metabolic inhibition by MTX represents a multifactorial process that involves partial depletion of key reduced folate substrates and direct inhibition of various folate-dependent enzymes.

Fiskerstrand et al. [1] investigated the effects of MTX-mediated folate depletion on the activity of methionine synthase. This enzyme

Ch. 1 J.L. Grem et al.

catalyzes the transfer of a methyl group from 5-methyltetrahydrofolate to homocysteine, thus forming methionine. Cobalamine is an important cofactor in this reaction pathway. Treatment of GaMg human glioma cells with $\leq 1 \mu M MTX$ resulted in a dose- and time-dependent reduction in both the total folate and 5-methyl-tetrahydrofolate pools in this cell line; the latter pool size was reduced by 50% at 3 h, and was barely detectable at 48 h. A significant dose-dependent reduction in the activity of methionine synthase coincided with folate depletion. MTX also reduced the intracellular methyl-cobalamine content, presumably the result of an inadequate supply of 5-methyl-tetrahydrofolate cofactor required for remethylation of homocysteine. Given that methionine synthase catalyzes a reaction that involves reduced folates, cobalamine, and sulfur amino acids, impaired function of this enzyme might have a significant impact on a number of critical 'downstream' pathways, including transmethylation reactions, polyamine synthesis, and protein biosynthesis. These findings suggest another potential cytotoxic mechanism for MTX.

Schalinske and Steele [2] employed an in vivo rat model to investigate the effects of MTX on folate-dependent, one-carbon metabolism using a sensitive tracer kinetic method to quantify the carbon flux through this pathway. Following a 7-day treatment with MTX, hepatic pools of tetrahydrofolate, 5-methyl-tetrahydrofolate, and 5-formyltetrahydrofolate were decreased by 63, 83 and 58%, respectively. Compared to control animals, carbon flux through the one-carbon pool from histidine to methionine was significantly reduced by nearly 60% in MTX-treated rats. These kinetic experiments demonstrate MTX treatment markedly alters the actual carbon flow through the hepatic folate-dependent, one-carbon pool, and a major effect is a reduction of carbon flow needed for the formation of both 5-methyl-tetrahydrofolate and methionine.

Nesher et al. [3] investigated the in vitro effects of MTX on polyamine levels in lymphocytes obtained from patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

DOCKE

Dose-dependent reductions in intracellular spermidine and spermine were noted, while putrescine was unaffected. Addition of either folinic acid or S-adenosyl-methionine prevented MTX-induced inhibition of polyamine synthesis. In contrast, incubation with hydrocortisone or D-penicillamine, two other immunosuppressive agent used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, had no effect on polyamine levels. These findings suggest that inhibition of the S-adenosyl-methionine-dependent methyltransferase pathway by MTX interferes with spermidine and spermine synthesis in RA lymphocytes. This effect may account for the immunosuppressive action of MTX, but also may represent an alternative cytotoxic mechanism of MTX in malignant cells that are especially dependent upon polyamine biosynthesis for growth and proliferation.

In several different model systems, pretreatment of malignant cells with MTX results in the rapid intracellular accumulation of 5phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate. This effect has been exploited as a means to biochemically modulate the antitumor activity of fluorouracil by enhancing its anabolism to the ribonucleotide level. However, studies using a chick fibroblast system suggest that PRPP may regulate the intracellular synthesis of glucose transporters. With this in mind, Fung and colleagues [4] examined the potential relationship between MTX treatment, PRPP synthesis, and glucose transport as it relates to cytotoxicity in cultured Ehrlich ascites tumor cells. Treatment with up to 20 μ M MTX resulted in a 2- to 3.5-fold increase in PRPP levels, accompanied by a significant suppression in the rate of glucose transport. Co-administration of 20 μ M hypoxanthine with MTX completely protected against growth inhibition, and reversed the effect of MTX on PRPP production and the rate of glucose transport. Since glycolysis serves as a major energy supply for malignant cells, these findings suggest MTX-mediated inhibition of critical glucose transport mechanisms might starve cells of essential nutrients required to maintain cellular metabolism and growth.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2

Antimetabolites Ch. 1

2.2. Folate transport

: : : :

÷

i

1

ţ

DOCKE.

RM

Two major folate transport systems in human tissues have been well characterized at the molecular level. One is the classic reduced folate carrier (RFC) system, that has a relatively low affinity for reduced folates (affinity constants in the micromolar range). However, the RFC system has a large capacity, and is primarily responsible for MTX transport into cells at pharmacological drug concentrations. The human reduced folate carrier gene has been mapped to the long arm of chromosome 21, and it encodes a protein with a predicted molecular size of 59-68 kDa (Annual 17). A second folate transport system involves a high-affinity membrane-bound, folate receptor binding protein (affinity constants for folic acid in the nanomolar range); this system has a much reduced capacity for transport of reduced folates and MTX relative to the RFC system. The human folate receptor (FR) is a 38-40 kDa glycoprotein bound to cellular membranes by a carboxyl-terminal, glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol tail. This receptor is highly expressed on the surface of some epithelial tumors, such as ovarian cancer, making it a potentially useful target for antigen-directed anticancer therapies [5]. The human FR appears to be the major transport mechanism for the uptake of non-classical antifols such as N^{10} -propargyl-5,8-dideazafolic acid (CB3717) and (6R)-5,10-dideaza-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic acid (lometrexol, DDATHF).

Because of the important role of the RFC in providing MTX transport, there is great interest in how the activity of the RFC gene is regulated. When cultured human leukemia CCRF-CEM cells were grown in folate-depleted media and then exposed to high concentrations of the reduced folate, leucovorin, down-regulation of the RFC protein on the cell surface was observed [6]. In contrast, addition of trimetrexate, a lipophilic DHFR inhibitor, decreased intracellular reduced folate pools and blocked RFC down-regulation, suggesting that the relative size of the intracellular reduced folate pool may be an important determinant of RFC expression. The regulation of RFC activity was also affected by other biochemical pathways dependent upon intracellular folates, such as de novo purine synthesis and DNA methylation reactions. Incubation of CCRF-CEM cells with either adenosine or *S*-adenosyl methionine also caused RFC downregulation, but the underlying mechanism appeared to be independent of reduced folate pools. Thus, several diverse folate-dependent biochemical pathways may contribute to the complex regulation of RFC expression.

Relationships between MTX resistance and relative RFC expression were explored further in studies by Moscow et al. [7]. Transfection of RFC cDNA into a transport-deficient, MTX-resistant human breast cancer line rendered them 250-fold more sensitive to MTX. The transfected cells were 300-fold more resistant to trimetrexate, which enters cells through passive diffusion. The basis for trimetrexate resistance was attributed to enhanced uptake of reduced folates by the RFC system, which rescued cells from DHFR inhibition. Thus, increased expression of the RFC had disparate effects on sensitivity to antifolates that utilize different mechanisms for cellular entry.

Gorlick et al. [8] employed a flow cytometry method to assess MTX transport in human leukemic blast cells in which the competitive displacement of PT430, a fluorescent MTX analog, reflects reduced folate transport. Impaired MTX transport was observed in only 13% of untreated patients, compared with over 70% in patients who had relapsed following prior MTX-containing chemotherapy. Quantitation of RFC mRNA expression indicated that impaired transport activity was associated with decreased RFC mRNA expression, which supports reduced transport capacity as an important mechanism of clinical MTX resistance.

The molecular changes responsible for MTXresistance was examined in a transport-deficient human T-cell lymphoblastic cell line [9]. No difference in RFC mRNA levels by reverse transcriptionpolymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) methodology was noted compared with parental wild-type, MTX-sensitive cells. However, nucleotide se-

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.