

VOL 26, NO 2, SUPPL 6

APRIL 1999

175C

Supplement to

# Seminars in Oncology

HEALTH SCIENCES LIBRARY  
University of Wisconsin

APR 26 1999

1305 Linden Drive  
Madison, WI 53706

---

*Editors* John W. Yarbro, MD, PhD • Richard S. Bornstein, MD • Michael J. Mastrangelo, MD

---

## MTA, A Novel Multitargeted Antifolate From Preclinical to Phase I and Beyond

Joseph Bertino, MD, Carmen Allegra, MD, and Hilary Calvert, MD, *Guest Editors*

---

*Contributors*

Alex A. Adjei • Carmen J. Allegra • Enrique Alvarez • Sherri L. Andis  
Jesse R. Bewley • Johannes Blatter • Marlene A. Bunni • Hilary Calvert  
Karen Chave • Victor J. Chen • Nicola J. Curtin • Jack A. Dempsey  
Henrik Depenbrock • Charles Erlichman • John Galivan • Susan B. Gates  
I. David Goldman • Lillian L. Habeck • Axel-R. Hanauske  
Philip W. Iversen • Robert D. Johnson • Pocheng Liu • Ku Lu  
E. Marshman • Laurane G. Mendelsohn • Krishna Menon  
Richard G. Moran • Katrina Nelson • David R. Newell • Peter J. O'Dwyer  
David G. Priest • Myung Rhee • David A. Rinaldi • Edda F. Roberts  
Thomas Ryan • John C. Schmitz • Richard M. Schultz • David E. Seitz  
Katherine A. Shackelford • Chuan Shih • Esteban E. Sierra  
Peter G. Smith • Beverly A. Teicher • Ralf Thödtmann  
Donald E. Thornton • John L. Tonkinson • Allan van Oosterom • Rong Yao

---

W.B. SAUNDERS COMPANY  
A Division of Harcourt Brace & Company

# Seminars in Oncology

## EDITORS

John W. Yarbro, MD, PhD

Richard S. Bornstein, MD

Michael J. Mastrangelo, MD

*Seminars in Oncology* (ISSN 0093-7754) is published bi-monthly by W.B. Saunders Company. Months of issue are February, April, June, August, October, and December. Corporate and Editorial Offices: The Curtis Center, Independence Square West, Philadelphia, PA 19106-3399. Accounting and Circulation Offices: 6277 Sea Harbor Dr, Orlando, FL 32887-4800. Periodicals postage paid at Orlando, FL 32862, and at additional mailing offices.

**POSTMASTER:** Send change of address to *Seminars in Oncology*, W.B. Saunders Company, Periodicals Department, 6277 Sea Harbor Dr, Orlando, FL 32887-4800.

Editorial correspondence should be addressed to John W. Yarbro, MD, PhD, 2604 Luan Court, Columbia, MO 65203.

Correspondence regarding subscriptions or change of address should be directed to *Seminars in Oncology*, W.B. Saunders Company, Periodicals Department, 6277 Sea Harbor Dr, Orlando, FL 32887-4800.

*Change of address notices, including both the old and new addresses of the subscriber and the mailing label, should be sent at least one month in advance.*

**Customer Service:** (800) 654-2452; outside the United States and Canada, (407) 345-4000.

Yearly subscription rates: United States and possessions: individuals, \$157.00; institutions, \$227.00; students and residents, \$86.00; single issue, \$49.00. All other countries: individuals, \$248.00; institutions, \$290.00; students and residents, \$248.00; single issue, \$49.00. For all areas outside the United States and possessions, there is no additional charge for surface delivery. For air mail delivery, add \$24.00. To receive student/resident rate, orders must be accompanied by name of affiliated institution, date of term, and the *signature* of program/residency coordinator on institution letterhead. Orders will be billed at individual rate until proof of status is received.

Prices are subject to change without notice. Current prices are in effect for back volumes and back issues. Single issues, both current and back, exist in limited quantities and are offered for sale subject to availability. Back issues sold in conjunction with a subscription are on a prorated basis. 1998 *bound volume price*: \$85.00; customers outside USA, please add \$15.00 for postage. To purchase a 1998 bound volume, customer must be a subscriber for 1998. *Cumulative Index (1980-1989) price*: \$95.00; customers outside USA, please add \$2.25 for surface delivery, or \$8.00 for air mail delivery. Checks should be made payable to W.B. Saunders Company and sent to *Seminars in Oncology*, W.B. Saunders Company, Periodicals Department, PO Box 628239, Orlando, FL 32862-8239.

Copyright © 1999 by W.B. Saunders Company. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be repro-

duced or transmitted in any form or by any means now or hereafter known, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Printed in the United States of America.

Correspondence regarding permission to reprint all or part of any article published in this journal should be addressed to Journals Permission Department, W.B. Saunders Company, Orlando, FL 32887-4800. Telephone: (407) 345-2500.

The appearance of the code at the bottom of the first page of an article in this journal indicates the copyright owner's consent that copies of the article may be made for personal or internal use, or for the personal or internal use of specific clients, for those registered with the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; (508) 750-8400; www.copyright.com). This consent is given on the condition that the copier pay the stated per-copy fee for that article through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., for copying beyond that permitted by Sections 107 or 108 of the US Copyright Law. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. Absence of the code indicates that the material may not be processed through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc.

Advertising representative: Cunningham Associates, 180 Old Tappan Rd, Old Tappan, NJ 07675. Telephone: (201) 767-4170; fax: (201) 767-8065.

The ideas and opinions expressed in *Seminars in Oncology* do not necessarily reflect those of the Editor, the Publisher, or Eli Lilly and Company. Publication of an advertisement or other product mention in *Seminars in Oncology* should not be construed as an endorsement of the product or the manufacturer's claims. Readers are encouraged to contact the manufacturer with any questions about the features or limitations of the products mentioned. Neither Eli Lilly and Company nor the Publisher assume any responsibility for any injury and/or damage to persons or property arising out of or related to any use of the material contained in this periodical. The reader is advised to check the appropriate medical literature and the product information currently provided by the manufacturer of each drug to be administered to verify the dosage, the method and duration of administration, or contraindications. It is the responsibility of the treating physician or other health care professional, relying on independent experience and knowledge of the patient, to determine drug dosages and the best treatment for the patient.

W.B. Saunders Company



Philadelphia, PA

A Division of Harcourt Brace & Company

**DOCKET  
ALARM**

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at [docketalarm.com](http://docketalarm.com).

## Overview of Phase I Trials of Multitargeted Antifolate (MTA, LY231514)

David A. Rinaldi

Multitargeted antifolate (MTA, LY231514) is a novel antifolate antimetabolite, with antitumor activity via inhibition of thymidylate synthase, glycinamide formyl transferase, and dihydrofolate reductase. Three dosing schedules have been investigated in the phase I setting: daily  $\times 5$  every 21 days, weekly  $\times 4$  every 42 days, and once every 21 days. The maximum tolerated doses on these schedules were 4.0 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, 30 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, and 600 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The major dose-limiting toxicity seen on all schedules was neutropenia, with a greater degree of reversible liver biochemistry disturbances observed on the daily  $\times 5$  schedule. Given that toxicities were manageable and reversible, the antitumor activity exhibited, and the convenience of an every-21-day dosing schedule, this schedule was selected for phase II evaluation.

*Semin Oncol* 26 (suppl 6):82-88. Copyright © 1999 by W.B. Saunders Company.

MULTITARGETED antifolate (MTA, LY231514) is a novel compound, representative of a new class of folate antimetabolites. Its antitumor effect is via inhibition of the enzymes, thymidylate synthase, glycinamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase, and dihydrofolate reductase. MTA is an excellent substrate for the folylpolyglutamate synthetase, leading to extensive intracellular polyglutamation. This converts the drug from a form that readily effluxes from the cell to a form that is retained intracellularly for a prolonged period, producing a more sustained drug effect. In preclinical models, MTA has demonstrated activity against a wide spectrum of tumor types.<sup>1-4</sup>

Three phase I clinical trials with three different schedules of MTA have now been completed, one in the United Kingdom and two in the United States.<sup>5-9</sup> In the three trials, MTA was administered as a 10-minute intravenous infusion in escalating

doses to patients with advanced, refractory, solid tumors and relatively normal bone marrow, renal, and hepatic function. Patients requiring chronic aspirin therapy and those with significant effusions were excluded due to the structural similarities of MTA and methotrexate. The maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was defined as that dose level at which 30% of the patient population developed unacceptable toxicity. The recommended dose for phase II clinical trials was defined as the dose that caused moderate reversible toxicity in most patients.

### DAILY $\times 5$ , REPEATED EVERY 21 DAYS

Thirty-eight patients were treated in this study<sup>4</sup>; the clinical characteristics are listed in Table 1. One hundred sixteen courses of MTA were administered at 10 dose levels, ranging from 0.2 to 5.2 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. Myelosuppression and liver biochemistry perturbations were dose limiting on this schedule.

Myelosuppression was not higher than grade 2 in patients treated at doses less than 2.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. Of the three patients treated with 2.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, one developed uncomplicated grade 3 neutropenia that was not considered dose limiting. One of the initial three patients treated with 3.0 mg/m<sup>2</sup> experienced grade 3 neutropenia and grade 2 thrombocytopenia; therefore, an additional four patients were treated at this dose level. No further dose-limiting toxicity was seen at this dose level.

Of the five patients initially treated at the 4.0 mg/m<sup>2</sup> dose level, one developed grade 3 hepatotoxicity (bilirubin), which was considered a dose-limiting toxicity, and one developed grade 3 neutropenia. The treatment dose was then escalated to 5.2 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, with the first patient at this dose level experiencing no significant toxicity. However, the second patient died despite aggressive medical management after experiencing grade 4 neutropenia, grade 3 thrombocytopenia, and grade 4 gastrointestinal toxicities on day 8 of the first course of treatment. This event resulted in a re-evaluation of the previous dose level and an additional patient was treated with 4.0 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. This patient developed uncomplicated, but dose-limit-

From the University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX; Brooke Army Medical Center, San Antonio, TX; the Cancer Therapy and Research Center, San Antonio, TX; and the Beatson Oncology Centre, Glasgow, UK.

Sponsored by Eli Lilly and Company.

Dr Rinaldi has received honoraria and research support from Eli Lilly and Company.

Address reprint requests to David A. Rinaldi, MD, 501 W St Mary Blvd, Suite 200, Lafayette, LA 70506.

Copyright © 1999 by W.B. Saunders Company  
0093-7754/99/2602-0613\$10.00/0

	Daily ×5	Weekly ×4	Every 21 Days
	Every 21 Days	Every 42 Days	
No. of evaluable patients	38	24	37
M/F	19/19	11/13	27/10
Median age, yr (range)	59 (33-73)	59 (20-82)	59 (30-74)
Karnofsky performance status			
100%	7	12	16
90%	0	0	4
80%	26	11	14
60%	5	1	3
No. of prior chemotherapy regimens			
0	9	0	4
1	22	3	8
2	5	12	12
3+	2	9	13
Tumor types			
Colorectal	20	17	25
Pancreas	4	0	3
Melanoma	3	0	0
Other	11	7	9

ing grade 4 neutropenia and grade 3 hepatic transaminase elevations. Because two of six patients at this dose level had experienced dose-limiting toxicity, the MTD was established at 4.0 mg/m<sup>2</sup>.

Hepatotoxicity was frequently observed at most dose levels, with grade 3-4 toxicity occurring in at least one patient treated at each dose level ≥2.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. These abnormalities were observed most frequently during either the first or second course

of treatment, did not appear to be progressive, and resolved during continued treatment or on discontinuation of treatment for other reasons.

In patients treated at the 4 mg/m<sup>2</sup> dose level, no patient developed grade 3-4 nonhematologic, nonhepatic toxicity. Grade 1-2 mucositis occurred in two patients, nausea in five patients, vomiting in three patients, and diarrhea in four patients. Prophylactic antiemetics were not routinely used. Table 2 summarizes the course 1 toxicity seen at all dose levels on this dosing schedule.

A patient with pancreatic cancer, treated at the 2.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> dose level, experienced a fatal gastrointestinal hemorrhage following the second cycle of treatment. Coagulation parameters and platelet count were normal throughout the time on study, although grade 3 elevations of the hepatic transaminases were noted in association with the acute event. Extensive inflammatory changes were seen in the large intestine at postmortem examination, with no focal bleeding source identified. Only microscopic evidence of residual tumor was seen at this point. While the etiology of the event remains unclear, a relationship to MTA administration cannot be excluded.

While no objective tumor responses were noted, antitumor effects were observed in three patients. The first was a patient with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer who was previously treated with platinum. Symptomatic and radiologic improvements, which were observed after six courses of MTA at 3.0 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, persisted through the 10th course. A second patient, who had metastatic colon cancer, experienced a reduction on a nonmeasurable hepatic lesion after four courses of treatment with MTA at 4.0 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. The third patient, who had pancreatic cancer and was receiving MTA at 2.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, developed fatal gastrointesti-

Dose Level	No. of Patients	Neutropenia					Thrombocytopenia					Transaminases					Hyperbilirubinemia				
		0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4
0.2-1.8	22	21	0	1	0	0	18	4	0	0	0	12	7	1	1	0	20	0	1	0	0
2.3	3	1	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0
3.0	5	0	1	3	1	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	3	1	1	0	0
4.0	6	1	2	1	1	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	3	0	2	1	0
5.2	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0

nal bleeding (as described above) 4 weeks after the second course of treatment. This patient's tumor, which had originally been measured at  $4 \times 4$  cm, was not macroscopically detectable at necropsy, although microscopic tumor was found in biopsy specimens taken from the original site of disease. Additionally, eight patients had stable disease. Two patients with metastatic colon cancer, progressing during 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)-based therapy, achieved disease stabilization for 3 and 6 months with MTA.

#### WEEKLY $\times 4$ , REPEATED EVERY 42 DAYS

Twenty-four evaluable patients enrolled in the weekly  $\times 4$ , repeated every 42 days study.<sup>5</sup> Their characteristics are listed in Table 1. Fifty-eight courses of MTA were administered, with a range of one to seven courses per patient. The dose-limiting toxicity of MTA on this schedule was neutropenia. Nonhematologic toxicities observed included mild fatigue, anorexia, and nausea, with no instances of grade 3 or 4 side effects. There was no evidence of cumulative toxicity.

The dose escalation schema incorporated into this study was based on the modified continual reassessment method (mCRM).<sup>8</sup> The initial dose level was to include at least three patients, with subsequent dose levels of one patient each, and planned expansion of those dose levels when moderate to severe toxicity was observed. The projected phase II dose was to include at least 10 patients.

At the initial dose level of  $10 \text{ mg/m}^2$ , one of four patients developed grade 4 neutropenia and grade 3 thrombocytopenia, while the remaining three patients tolerated the treatment without significant toxicity. The next patient, who received  $20 \text{ mg/m}^2$ , also experienced no significant toxicity, so the dose was escalated to  $40 \text{ mg/m}^2$ . After the first patient developed grade 4 neutropenia, five additional patients were treated at this dose level. Two of these five experienced grade 4 neutropenia, which prompted a de-escalation to  $20 \text{ mg/m}^2$ . Because none of the three additional patients at this dose level experienced significant toxicity, an intermediate dose level of  $30 \text{ mg/m}^2$  was added. Two of the 10 patients treated at this dose level developed grade 4 neutropenia; therefore, this dose level was determined to be the MTD and recom-

mended dose for phase II trials using this schedule.

No major responses were observed; however, minor responses were achieved in two patients with advanced, refractory colon cancer. A patient treated at the  $40 \text{ mg/m}^2$  level who had failed 5-FU and folinic acid exhibited a 34% reduction in measurable disease after two cycles, but had progressed by the next computed tomography scan 6 weeks later. A patient with evaluable liver metastases, treated at the  $30 \text{ mg/m}^2$  level, exhibited a decline in carcinoembryonic antigen level from 945 ng/mL before the study to 271 ng/mL after three courses of treatment. Of note, this patient had been previously treated with 5-FU and levetamisole, 5-FU and folinic acid, and intrahepatic artery 5-FU and interferon.

The inability to deliver scheduled doses due to grade  $\geq 2$  myelosuppression at the time of treatment precluded optimal use of the mCRM and also limited dose escalation on this schedule. This toxicity predominantly occurred during week 3 or 4. At the 10 and  $20 \text{ mg/m}^2$  levels, 29 of 32 planned doses were delivered and six of eight patients received all doses. At the  $40 \text{ mg/m}^2$  levels 18 of the 24 planned doses were delivered, and at the  $30 \text{ mg/m}^2$  dose level 30 of the 40 doses were given. Only one patient at each of these dose levels received all four of the scheduled doses during their first course. Table 3 summarizes the course 1 toxicity seen at all dose levels on the treatment schedule.

Table 3. Weekly  $\times 4$  Every 42 Days: Course 1 Toxicity

Toxicity	Grade (World Health Organization)				
	0	1	2	3	4
Neutropenia	6	1	7	5	5
Thrombocytopenia	20	0	2	1	1
Anemia	9	8	7	0	0
Nausea/emesis	3	9	2	0	0
Fatigue	13	10	1	0	0
Transaminasemia	20	3	1	0	0
Anorexia	13	11	0	0	0
Mucositis	20	4	0	0	0
Dermatitis	23	1	0	0	0

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.