

trials@uspto.gov

IPR2016-00303, Paper No. 51

IPR2016-00306, Paper No. 34

IPR2016-00308, Paper No. 40

IPR2016-00309, Paper No. 49

571-272-7822

April 5, 2017

CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
RECORD OF ORAL HEARING
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00303 (Patent 6,377,577 B1)

Case IPR2016-00306 (Patent 7,023,853 B1)

Case IPR2016-00308 (Patent 7,162,537 B1)

Case IPR2016-00309 (Patent 7,224,668 B1)

Oral Hearing Held: March 7, 2017

Before: BRYAN F. MOORE, MIRIAM L. QUINN, MATTHEW R.
CLEMENTS, and PETER P. CHEN, Administrative Patent Judges

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, March 7,
2017 at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street,
Alexandria, Virginia in Courtroom A, at 1:00 p.m.

trials@uspto.gov

IPR2016-00303, Paper No. 51

IPR2016-00306, Paper No. 34

IPR2016-00308, Paper No. 40

IPR2016-00309, Paper No. 49

April 5, 2017

571-272-7822

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

W. KARL RENNER, ESQ.

LAUREN A. DEGNAN, ESQ.

FISH & RICHARDSON, PC

1425 K Street, NW

Eleventh Floor

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 626-6447

MATTHEW D. POWERS, ESQ.

TENSEGRITY LAW GROUP LLP

55 Twin Dolphin Drive

Suite 650

Redwood Shores, CA 94065

(650) 802-6010

trials@uspto.gov

IPR2016-00303, Paper No. 51

IPR2016-00306, Paper No. 34

IPR2016-00308, Paper No. 40

IPR2016-00309, Paper No. 49

April 5, 2017

571-272-7822

APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

LORI A. GORDON, ESQ.

JON E. WRIGHT, ESQ.

DANIEL BLOCK, ESQ.

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN, FOX

1100 New York Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 371-2600

IPR2016-00303 (Patent 6,377,577 B1)
IPR2016-00306 (Patent 7,023,853 B1)
IPR2016-00308 (Patent 7,162,537 B1)
IPR2016-00309 (Patent 7,224,668 B1)

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (1:00 p.m.)

3 JUDGE MOORE: Good morning. This is the oral
4 hearing in IPRs 2016-00303, 306, 308, and 309. With us this
5 morning, to my right, Judge Quinn, and to my left, Judges
6 Chen and Clements.

7 As I'm pointing out the remote judges, I will take
8 this time to say that if you are using evidence today, in going
9 through your slides, remember that the judges are remote and
10 remember to refer to the page on the slide and remember to
11 refer specifically to the part of evidence if it's not a
12 demonstrative slide so that they can follow the presentation.

13 With that, we should do a roll call. We'll start
14 with Patent Owner.

15 MS. GORDON: Thank you, your Honor. Lori
16 Gordon from the law firm of Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein &
17 Fox. With me today is Jon Wright from Sterne Kessler and
18 Dan Block, and we are joined by Buddy Tolliver from Cisco
19 Systems.

20 JUDGE MOORE: And for Petitioner.

21 MR. RENNER: Yes, your Honor. Using the
22 microphone. Make it a little easier. And we have some

IPR2016-00303 (Patent 6,377,577 B1)
IPR2016-00306 (Patent 7,023,853 B1)
IPR2016-00308 (Patent 7,162,537 B1)
IPR2016-00309 (Patent 7,224,668 B1)

1 copies of our slides to approach with, if it's your Honor's
2 pleasure.

3 JUDGE MOORE: Sure. If you want to --

4 MR. RENNER: This is Karl -- I'm Karl Renner
5 from Fish & Richardson, and I'm joined by Matt Powers, as
6 well as Will Nelson and Lauren Degnan, Sean Sproul, Linhong
7 Zhang, and we also have from Arista Sean Christofferson and
8 also Nick Atchison.

9 JUDGE MOORE: Thank you.

10 And I'll make a point here of saying that we are
11 going to -- as the parties spoke to the Board on the phone
12 yesterday, we're going to separate the issues in this trial, and
13 there are certain issues that may raise confidential
14 information. Those issues will be held to the end of the trial,
15 and I'm going to need the parties to estimate to me what time
16 they need to reserve to handle those matters.

17 The other thing is that if, for some reason, the
18 other side gets into some confidential information, or you
19 believe that they are, certainly immediately alert me of that;
20 otherwise, please hold all objections until the other side's
21 presentation is over. For example, objections as to things
22 being beyond the scope of what should not be presented

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.