

REDACTED

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00309
Patent 7,224,668

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO
PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....1

II. GROUND 1: OBVIOUSNESS OVER AMARA/COREBUILDER.....2

 A. Amara/CoreBuilder Teaches That “the control plane port services operate on packets . . . in a way that is independent of the physical port interfaces and services applied thereto”2

 1. The Challenged Claims do not require that both “port services” and “control plane port services” be applied to control plane packets.....2

 2. Applying plain meaning, it is immaterial whether Amara discloses applying both “port services” and “control plane port services” to the control plane packets7

 3. Even under Cisco’s flawed construction, Amara discloses applying both “port services” and “control plane port services” to the control plane packets..8

 B. Amara/CoreBuilder Discloses “the port services providing the ability to control and monitor packet flows, as defined by control plane configurations” .11

 1. Because Amara discloses port services that “monitor packet flows,” CoreBuilder need not11

 2. Although duplicative and unnecessary, CoreBuilder also teaches monitoring of packet flows because CoreBuilder teaches packet filtering12

 C. CoreBuilder Is Prior Art.....13

III. GROUND 2: OBVIOUSNESS OVER AMARA/COREBUILDER AND MOBERG.....16

 A. Moberg Teaches Distributing Control Plane Processes Across Multiple Processors16

 B. Moberg Is Prior Art.....17

 1. Cisco has not met its burden to prove an earlier invention date17

 2. Cisco has not shown the diligence required to antedate Moberg’s publication.....18

 3. Moberg is available as prior art under Cisco’s alleged invention date21

IV. GROUND 4: OBVIOUSNESS OVER AMARA/COREBUILDER AND HENDEL.....22

V. THERE IS NO NEXUS FOR THE SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS26

REDACTED

Case IPR2016-00309
Attorney Docket No: 40963-0006IP3

VI. CONCLUSION27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page
<i>Banks v. Unisys Corp.</i> , 228 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	21
<i>Bey v. Kollonitsch</i> , 806 F.2d 1024 (Fed. Cir. 1986)	20
<i>Brown v. Barton</i> , 102 F.2d 193 (C.C.P.A. 1939)	20
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014).....	26
<i>Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.</i> , 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	14
<i>Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Robert Bosch LLC</i> , IPR2016-00036, Paper 16 (PTAB Apr. 25, 2016)	13
<i>Eaton Corp. v. ZF Meritor LLC</i> , No. 03-74844, 2008 WL 920073 (E.D. Mich. Apr. 3, 2008).....	14, 15
<i>In re Hall</i> , 781 F.2d 897 (Fed. Cir. 1986)	13
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	25
<i>Masterimage 3D, Inc. v. RealD Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00040, Paper 84 (PTAB Apr. 14, 2016)	26
<i>Maxlinear, Inc. v. Cresta Tech. Corp. et al.</i> , IPR2015-00594, Paper 90 (PTAB Aug. 15, 2016).....	22
<i>MCM Portfolio LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 812 F.3d 1284 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	11

Microsoft Corp. v. Surfcast Inc.,
IPR2013-00292, Paper 92 (PTAB Oct. 14, 2014)19, 20

In re Mouttet,
686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 11

Orion IP, LLC v. Hyundai Motor Am.,
605 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 2010)15

Rieser v. Williams,
255 F.2d 419, 424 (C.C.P.A. 1958).....17

Toshiba Corp. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.,
248 F. App'x 170 (Fed. Cir. 2007) (unpublished).....4

Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co. v. Cadbury Adams USA LLC,
683 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2002)27

Woods v. DeAngelo Marine Exhaust, Inc.,
692 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2012)6

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. §102(a)16, 17, 20, 21

35 U.S.C. §102(e)16, 21

35 U.S.C. §10316

35 U.S.C. §103(c)21, 22

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.