

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

INTEL CORPORATION,  
Petitioner,

v.

DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-00287 (Patent 6,784,552)  
Case IPR2016-00288 (Patent 6,784,552)  
Case IPR2016-00289 (Patent 5,965,924)  
Case IPR2016-00290 (Patent 5,965,924)<sup>1</sup>

Before BRYAN F. MOORE, BRIAN J. McNAMARA, and  
MINN CHUNG, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CHUNG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Granting Petitioner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Cosmin Maier  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

---

<sup>1</sup> This Order will be entered in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this caption style.

IPR2016-00287 (Patent 6,784,552)  
IPR2016-00288 (Patent 6,784,552)  
IPR2016-00289 (Patent 5,965,924)  
IPR2016-00290 (Patent 5,965,924)

Intel Corporation (“Petitioner”) filed a Motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Cosmin Maier in these proceedings in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10, and provided a Declaration from Mr. Maier in support of its request. *See* Paper 13; Ex. 1029.<sup>2</sup> DSS Technology Management, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) does not oppose the Motion. For the reasons provided below, Petitioner’s Motion is *granted*.

## I. DISCUSSION

As set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a non-registered practitioner may be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* “upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board also requires a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in these proceedings. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639, slip op. at 3–4 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).

Cosmin Maier provides uncontroverted testimony that he:

---

<sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, we refer to Papers and Exhibits filed in IPR2016-00287.

IPR2016-00287 (Patent 6,784,552)

IPR2016-00288 (Patent 6,784,552)

IPR2016-00289 (Patent 5,965,924)

IPR2016-00290 (Patent 5,965,924)

- i. is a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of New York;
- ii. has not been subject to any suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body;
- iii. has never had any application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied;
- iv. has not been subject to sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body;
- v. has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.;
- vi. will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);
- vii. has listed all other proceedings before the Office for which he has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the last three (3) years; and
- viii. has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in these proceedings.

Lead counsel for Petitioner, Grant Rowan, who is registered to practice at the USPTO has provided a statement of facts that Cosmin Maier is counsel for Petitioner in related co-pending litigation and is familiar with the subject matter at issue in these proceedings. Thus, Petitioner has shown good cause why Cosmin Maier should be recognized *pro hac vice* for purposes of these proceedings. Cosmin Maier has provided the requisite

IPR2016-00287 (Patent 6,784,552)  
IPR2016-00288 (Patent 6,784,552)  
IPR2016-00289 (Patent 5,965,924)  
IPR2016-00290 (Patent 5,965,924)

affidavit or declaration. Therefore, Cosmin Maier has complied with the requirements for admission *pro hac vice* in these proceedings.

## II. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby  
ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of  
Cosmin Maier is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Cosmin Maier may not act as lead  
counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a  
registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Cosmin Maier is to comply with the  
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for  
Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations;  
and

FURTHER ORDERED that Cosmin Maier is to be subject to the  
Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the  
USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.*, which took effect on May 3, 2013.

IPR2016-00287 (Patent 6,784,552)  
IPR2016-00288 (Patent 6,784,552)  
IPR2016-00289 (Patent 5,965,924)  
IPR2016-00290 (Patent 5,965,924)

PETITIONER:

Grant K. Rowan  
Yung-Hoon Ha  
WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING, HALE AND DORR, LLP  
Grant.Rowan@wilmerhale.com  
Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

PATENT OWNER:

Andriy Lytvyn  
Anton J. Hopen  
Nicholas Pfeifer  
SMITH & HOPEN, P.A.  
andriy.lytvyn@smithhopen.com  
anton.hopen@smithhopen.com  
nicholas.pfeifer@smithhopen.com