DSS Technology Mgmt., Inc. v. Intel Corp. et al., Case No. 6:15-cv-00130-RWS (E.D. Tex.)

Claim Construction Dates

	EVENT
Dec. 7, 2015	Opening Claim Construction Brief
Dec. 23, 2015	Responsive Claim Construction Brief
Jan. 4, 2016	Reply Claim Construction Brief
Jan. 11, 2016	Joint Claim Construction Chart
Jan. 25, 2016	Claim Construction Hearing



Local Patent Rule 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

DSS Technology Management, Inc.,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 6:15-cv-130-RWS

v.

Intel Corporation, et al.,

Defendants.

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 4-3 of the Local Patent Rules ("P.R.") of the Eastern District of Texas and the Docket Control Order (Dkt. 161), Plaintiff DSS Technology Management, Inc. and Defendants Intel Corporation, Dell, Inc., GameStop Corp., Conn's, Inc., Conn Appliances, Inc., Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC, and AT&T Mobility LLC (collectively referred to as "Defendants") file this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.

I. AGREED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS [P.R. 4-3(a)]

Pursuant to P.R. 4-3(a), the parties have agreed on proposed constructions of the following claim terms, phrases, or clauses, of the patents-in-suit, U.S. Patent No. 5,965,924 ("the '924 patent") and U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552 ("the '552 patent"):

THE '552 PATENT		
TERM	AGREED CONSTRUCTION	
"contact region"	contact openings and/or vias	

This construction is also reflected in the table attached as Exhibits A (U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552). If the parties are able to reach further agreement concerning the constructions of any



of the remaining claim terms, phrases, or clauses at issue, they will supplement the present Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.

II. <u>DISPUTED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS [P.R. 4-3(b)]</u>

Pursuant to P.R. 4-3(b), the parties' proposed constructions of disputed claim terms, phrases, or clauses are also reflected in the tables attached as Exhibits A and B, together with all references from the specification or prosecution history that support the construction and an identification of any extrinsic evidence. The parties expressly reserve the right to rely on any intrinsic and extrinsic evidence identified by the other party, and any evidence obtained, or that may be obtained, through claim construction discovery. The parties expressly reserve the right to amend, correct, or supplement their claim construction positions and supporting evidence in response to any change of position by the other party, in response to information received through claim construction discovery, including inventor depositions and expert depositions concerning claim construction declarations, or for other good cause.

III. LENGTH OF CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING [P.R. 4-3(c)]

Defendants anticipate that the claim construction hearing will require a total of four hours. This would allow Plaintiff and Defendants two hours per side.

Plaintiff anticipates that the claim construction hearing will require a total of three hours.

This would allow Plaintiff and Defendants an hour and a half per side.

IV. <u>LIVE WITNESS TESTIMONY AT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING</u> [P.R. 4-3(d)]

Neither party intends to call witnesses live at the claim construction hearing. However, the parties have agreed that deposition testimony and affidavits of expert and fact witnesses may be used as exhibits to the Claim Construction Briefs required by P.R. 4-5.



V. OTHER ISSUES [P.R. 4-3(e)]

According to the Docket Control Order, there is no prehearing conference scheduled before the claim construction hearing. The parties do not at this time have any other issues that might be appropriately taken up at either a prehearing conference before or at the claim construction hearing. Should any party become aware of such issues that it believes necessitates a prehearing conference, it will notify the other party and the Court and propose dates for a prehearing conference.

Dated: November 2, 2015 Respectfully submitted by:

/s/ Derek Gilliland, with permission by

Michael E. Jones

Derek Gilliland

Texas State Bar No. 24007239

Attorney in Charge

Edward Chin

Texas State Bar No. 50511688

Christian J. Hurt

State Bar no. 24084364

Kirk Voss

Texas State Bar No. 24075229

Robert Winn Cutler

State Bar No. 24084364

Ross Leonoudakis

State Bar No. 24087915

Nix Patterson & Roach, L.L.P.

5215 N. O'Connor Blvd., Suite 1900

Irving, Texas 75039

972.831.1188 (telephone)

972.444.0716 (facsimile)

edchin@me.com

christianhurt@nixlawfirm.com

kirkvoss@me.com

winncutler@nixlawfirm.com

rossl@nixlawfirm.com

William E. "Bo" Davis, III Texas State Bar No. 24047416 THE DAVIS FIRM, PC



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

