

Docket No.: 0107131.00351 US4
Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation
By: Grant K. Rowan, Reg. No. 41,278 (Lead Counsel)
Yung-Hoon Ha, Reg. No. 56,368 (Back-up Counsel)
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 663-6025
Email: Grant.Rowan@wilmerhale.com
Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, INC.

Patent Owner of

U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552

IPR Trial No. IPR2016-00288

PETITIONER'S REPLY

Claims 8-12

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>In re: Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	1

In its Decision on Institution, the Board recognized that, for the reasons described in the Petition and the accompanying declaration of Dr. John C. Bravman (Ex. 1102), there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner Intel Corporation (“Intel”) has met its burden showing that the challenged claims 8-12 are unpatentable.

In response, Patent Owner DSS Technology Management, Inc. (“DSS”) did not identify any basis that the challenged claims are patentable. DSS did not depose Dr. Bravman, did not provide any contradictory testimony from any expert, and did not otherwise submit or identify any evidence rebutting Intel’s petition. Instead, DSS merely offered the unremarkable observation that the burden of proving unpatentability remains with Intel. DSS Resp. at 2.

The Federal Circuit has advised that “while the institution of an IPR does not by itself translate to a conclusion of unpatentability and the patent owner is not required to use its opportunity under the regulations to file a patent owner response, as in district court validity challenges, the patent owner would be well advised to introduce evidence on the asserted challenge.” *In re: Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.*, 829 F.3d 1364 at 1377 n. 1 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (nonprecedential) (internal quotations omitted). DSS appears to have rejected that advice.

The Board correctly found that there was a reasonable likelihood that the claims are unpatentable. DSS has presented no argument or evidence to change

that conclusion. As set forth in the Petition and the supporting declaration, claims 8-12 are unpatentable.

Respectfully submitted,

/Grant K. Rowan /
Grant K. Rowan
Registration No. 41,278
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE
AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006
Grant.Rowan@wilmerhale.com
Tel.: 202-663-6000

Dated: December 7, 2016

WORD COUNT CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that the foregoing, **Petitioner's Reply**, contains **247** words as measured by the word processing software used to prepare the document, in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.24 (d).

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 7, 2016

/Yung-Hoon Ha /
Yung-Hoon Ha
Registration No. 56,368
7 World Trade Center
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.