

DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00351US4  
Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation  
By: Grant K. Rowan, Reg. No. 41,278  
Yung-Hoon Ha, Reg. No. 56,368  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Tel: (202) 663-6000  
Email: Grant.Rowan@wilmerhale.com  
Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

INTEL CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

DSS Technology Management, Inc.  
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00288

**UPDATED DECLARATION OF LOUIS W. TOMPROS IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION FOR ADMISSION *PRO HAC VICE***

Intel v. DSS  
IPR2016-00288  
Exhibit 1126

I, Louis W. Tompros, declare as follows:

1. I am a partner at the law firm of Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP in Boston, Massachusetts.
2. I have been practicing law for more than twelve years. My practice during that time has focused on intellectual property litigation, and particularly, patent litigation.
3. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and am admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the United States Supreme Court, the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, and the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.
4. My Massachusetts Bar membership No. is 657791.
5. I have represented parties in patent litigation cases in the District of Massachusetts, the Eastern District of Texas, the District of Delaware, the Northern, Central, Eastern, and Southern Districts of California, the District of Minnesota, and the International Trade Commission, among other jurisdictions. Those cases have involved, among other issues, issues involving Patent Office rules, regulations, and procedures, including inventorship disputes, inequitable conduct, prosecution history disclaimer,

and other issues for which review of a patent's prosecution history is critical. *See, e.g., General Electric Company v. Wilkins*, No 1:10-cv-00674-LJO-JLT (E.D. Cal.) (involving disputed inventorship); *Qualcomm, Inc. v. Broadcom Corporation*, No. 3:05-cv-1958 (S.D. Cal.) (involving allegations of inequitable conduct).

6. I have represented parties in patent appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in twenty-nine cases. Two of those cases were appeals from the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("the Board")—*In re Thomas G. Packard*, No. 13-1204 (PTAB No. 12/004,324), and *In re Klein*, 647 F.3d 1343, No. 2010-1411 (BPAI No. 10/2007,747). I was lead counsel in the *Klein* and *Packard* cases.
7. I have, on *pro hac vice* admission, represented parties in *inter partes* reexamination matters before the Board in three matters, Reexam Control Nos. 95/000,580, 95/000,633, and 95/001,272.
8. I have never been suspended, disbarred, sanctioned or cited for contempt by any court or administrative body.
9. On November 7, 2013, the Patent Office denied my petition to be admitted *pro hac vice* as backup counsel in *inter partes* reexamination proceeding Control No. 95/001,272. However, upon a petition for reconsideration, the Board subsequently admitted me *pro hac vice* in those proceedings in its

decision dated December 12, 2013. In addition, on August 9, 2016, the Patent Office denied my motion to be admitted *pro hac vice* in this instant proceeding and Case Nos. IPR2016-00287, IPR2016-00289 and IPR2016-00290 for inadvertently omitting averments required by the '639 Order, part 2(b), paragraphs ii and iv.

10. I have read and will comply with Office Patent Trial Practice guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in 37 C.F.R. Part 42.
11. I agree to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).
12. In the past three years, I have appeared *pro hac vice* before the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the following proceedings: Control Nos. 95/000,580, 95/000,633, and 95/001,272. Petitioner Intel Corp. has moved for my admission *pro hac vice* in Case Nos. IPR2016-00287, IPR2016-00288, IPR2016-00289 and IPR2016-00290 on March 25, 2016 ,which was denied as explained above. Petitioner Intel Corp. has moved for my admission *pro hac vice* in Case Nos. IPR2016-00287, IPR2016-00289 and IPR2016-00290 concurrently with this motion.

13. I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. I participated in the drafting of the Petition filed in this proceeding, and I have reviewed the papers filed in this proceeding.
14. I have represented Intel Corporation in multiple patent-related matters, including the following United States District Court cases: *DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc. v. Intel Corp. et al.*, 6:15-CV-130-JRG (E.D. Tex.), which is related to and involves the same patent at issue in this proceeding; *Power Management Solutions LLC v. Intel Corporation et al*, 1:11-cv-00743 (D. Del.); and *Power Management Solutions LLC v. Intel Corporation*, 13-1457 (Fed. Cir.).
15. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine, imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Louis W. Tompros/

Louis W. Tompros

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.