UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFIC	Έ
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD)
INTEL CORPORATION, Petitioner,	
V.	
DSS Technology Management, Inc., Patent Owner	
Case No. IPR2016-00288 U.S. Patent 6,784,552	

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION **PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page	
TAE	BLE OF	F AUTHORITIES	iii	
I.	INTR	ODUCTION	2	
	A.	Grounds in Petition		
II.	THE	'552 PATENT	3	
	A.	Overview	3	
	B.	Prosecution History Summary	9	
III.	PETI	ΓΙΟΝ PROCEDURAL DEFICIENCIES	10	
IV.	CLAI	M CONSTRUCTION AND ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	14	
	A.	Person Having Ordinary Skill In The Art	14	
	B.	Claim Construction		
		1. "a side of the electrically insulative spacer has an angle relative to the substrate surface that is either a right angle or an acute angle of		
		more than 85°"	15	
V.	THE	CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE PATENTABLE OVER HEATH	19	
	A.	Summary of Heath		
	B.	Heath Does Not Anticipate Independent Claim 8	24	
		Anticipation By Heath Premised On Petitioner's Construction Must Be Denied	24	
		2. Heath Does Not Disclose Using A Low Selectivity Etch To Avoid	24	
		The Sloped Spacer Problems Of The Prior Art	26	
	C.	Obviousness Grounds Based On Heath Must Be Denied		
	C.	Obviousness Grounds Dased On Heath Must be Defiled	50	
VI.		CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE PATENTABLE OVER HEATH IN VIEW		
	OF D	ENNISON	30	
VII	CON	CONCLUSION 35		



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)
CASES
Cisco Systems, Inc. v. AIP Acquisition, LLC, Case No. IPR2014-0024713
Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Applera Corp., 599 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010)24
Kinetic Techs., Inc. v. Skywork Solutions, Inc., Case No. IPR2014-0053011
Kinetic Techs., Inc. v. Skywork Solutions, Inc., Case No. IPR2014-0052911, 12
LG Display, Ltd. v. Innovative Display Technologies LLC, Case No. IPR2014-01094
Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc., Case No. IPR2012-0002624
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)13, 18, 24
In re Rambus, Inc., 694 F.3d 42 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
Wowza Media Sys, LLC and Coffee Cup Partners, Inc. v. Adobe Sys. Inc., Case No. IPR2013-00054
ZTE Corporation v. ContenGuard Holdings, Inc., Case No. IPR2013-0013924
STATUTES
<i>35 U.S.C.</i> § <i>312</i>
35 U.S.C. § 313
OTHER AUTHORITIES
37 C.F.R. § 42.22
37 C.F.R. § 42.104
37 C.F.R. § 42.107
77 Fed. Reg. 48 756 (proposed Aug. 14, 2012).



PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.107, DSS Technology Management, Inc., ("Patent Owner") hereby submits the following Preliminary Response to the Petition (Paper 2) seeking *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552 (the "'552 Patent") filed by Intel Corporation ("Petitioner"). This filing is timely under 35 U.S.C. § 313 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.107, as it is filed within three months of the mailing date of the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response (Paper 4), mailed December 14, 2015.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the "Board") should deny the Petition's request to institute an *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552 (the "'552 Patent") because the grounds in the petition do not demonstrate a reasonable likelihood that the Petitioner would prevail with respect to any of the claims challenged in the Petition. None of the prior art cited in this Petition suggests the solution to lateral spacer erosion invented and claimed by the inventors of the '552 patent. Petitioner attempts to rely upon simplified and misleading figures in the cited references which are at best, merely cumulative of the prior-art figures included in the Background of the Invention portion of the '552 patent specification.



In consideration of the evidence and arguments below, Patent Owner submits that Petitioner has failed to demonstrate a reasonable likelihood of success with respect to any of the challenged claims. A trial should not be instituted. Patent Owner has limited its identification of deficiencies in Petitioner's argument in this Preliminary Response, but does not waive any additional arguments by not addressing them herein.

A. Grounds in Petition

Petitioner challenges claims 8-12 of the '552 Patent on the following grounds:

- 1. Claims 8-12 and 4-7 are allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,686,000 ("Heath") (Ex. 1103);
- 2. Claims 8-12 are allegedly obvious over Heath in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,338,700 ("Dennison") (Ex. 1104).

II. THE '552 PATENT

A. Overview

The '552 Patent, entitled "Structure Having Reduced Lateral Spacer Erosion" issued to James E. Nulty *et al.* on August 31, 2004. The '552 Patent issued from U.S. App. No. 09/540,610 ("the '610 Application"), which was filed on March 31, 2000, and was a division of application No. 08/577,751, filed on December 22, 1995, which is now U.S. Patent No. 6,066,555 (the "'555 patent").



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

