

DOCKET NO.: 0107131-00351US3
Filed on behalf of Intel Corporation
By: Grant K. Rowan, Reg. No. 41,278
Yung-Hoon Ha, Reg. No. 56,368
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 663-6000
Email: Grant.Rowan@wilmerhale.com
Yung-Hoon.Ha@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTEL CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

DSS Technology Management, Inc.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00287

**PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION *PRO HAC VICE* OF
LOUIS W. TOMPROS**

I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) and Paper No. 4 authorizing the parties to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Petitioner requests that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the "Board") admit Louis W. Tompros *pro hac vice* in this proceeding, IPR2016-00287.

II. Statement of Facts Showing Good Cause for the Board to Recognize Counsel *Pro Hac Vice* During the Proceeding

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. Section 42.10(c) indicates that "where lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding." The facts here establish good cause for the Board to recognize Louis W. Tompros *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

1. Lead counsel, Grant Rowan, is a registered practitioner. Backup counsel, Yung-Hoon Ha, is also a registered practitioner.
2. Counsel, Louis W. Tompros, is an experienced litigator and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

Accompanying this motion as Exhibit 1027 is the March 24, 2016 Declaration of Louis W. Tompros in Support of this Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* ("Tompros Decl."). In his declaration, Mr. Tompros asserts:

I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and am admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the United States Supreme Court, the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, and the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

Tompros Decl. ¶ 3 (Ex. 1027). Mr. Tompros also asserts:

I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. I participated in the drafting of the Petition filed in this proceeding, and I have reviewed the papers filed in this proceeding. I have represented Intel Corporation in multiple patent-related matters, including the following United States District Court cases: *DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc. v. Intel Corp. et al.*, 6:15-CV-130-JRG (E.D. Tex.), which is related to and involves the same patent at issue in this proceeding; *Power Management Solutions LLC v. Intel Corporation et al.*, 1:11-cv-00743 (D. Del.); and *Power Management Solutions LLC v. Intel Corporation*, 13-1457 (Fed. Cir.).

Tompros Decl. ¶¶ 12-13 (Ex. 1027).

3. In his declaration, Mr. Tompros also attests to each of the listed items required by the "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice*

Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7. *See* Tompros Decl. ¶¶1-14
(Ex. 1027).

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioners respectfully request that the Board admit Louis W. Tompros *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Grant K. Rowan/

Grant K. Rowan, Reg. No. 41,278
Yung-Hoon Ha, Reg. No. 56,368
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr
LLP

Dated: March 25, 2016

List of Exhibits for U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552
1002	Declaration of John A. Bravman, Ph.D.
1003	U.S. Patent No. 4,686,000 ("Heath")
1004	European Patent Publ. No. 592078 ("Hawley")
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,541,427 ("Chappell")
1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,338,700 ("Dennison")
1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,066,555
1008	DSS's Infringement Contentions, July 30, 2015, Ex. B
1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,374,836 ("Vinal")
1010	J. Dulak et al., <i>Etch mechanism in the reactive ion etching of silicon nitride</i> , Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A 9, 775 (1991) ("Dulak")
1011	'555 Decl. Under Rule 131, Feb. 25, 1999
1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,053,351
1013	Application, Mar. 31, 2000
1014	Prelim. Amendment, Mar. 31, 2000
1015	Office Action, June 1, 2001
1016	Amendment, Oct. 1, 2001
1017	Office Action, Jan. 9, 2002
1018	Amendment, May. 20, 2002

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.