UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RPX CORPORATION and PROTECTION ONE, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

MD SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC

Patent Owner

IPR2016-00285¹ Patent 7,864,983

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KARL D. EASTHOM, and WILLIAM M. FINK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

FINK, Administrative Patent Judge.

MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF DANIEL J. WEINBERG PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ Protection One, Inc., who filed a Petition in IPR2016-01235, has been joined as a party to the petitioner in this proceeding.



Patent Owner MD Security Solutions LLC ("MD Security"), respectfully requests the Board to recognize Daniel J. Weinberg as counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 and in response to the authorization provided by the United States Patent and Trademark Office's Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("Board") in the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition (Paper Number 4, entered December 15, 2015) ("Notice"), MD Security submits this motion for Mr. Weinberg to appear *pro hac vice*.

I. Time for Filing

Pursuant to the "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission" in Case IPR2013-00639² ("Order"), this motion for *pro hac vice* admission is being filed no sooner than twenty-one (21) days after service of the petition.

II. Good Cause for Additional Back-Up Counsel

MD Security respectfully requests admission of Mr. Weinberg as additional back-up counsel. MD Security has a sufficient need for the admission of additional back-up counsel in light of lead counsel's unavailability and scheduled appearance in another court. In addition to this proceeding, the Board instituted an *inter partes* review of a second MD Security patent in IPR2016-01235. This

² Patent Owner notes that while the Notice references the "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission" in Case IPR2013-00010 (MPT), the Order in Case IPR2013-00639 states that the Final Rule regarding Changes to Representation of Others Before the United States Patent and Trademark Office removes part 10 of title 37, C.F.R. referred to in the Order in Case IPR2013-00010 (MPT). Accordingly, for purpose of this proceeding, Patent Owner will refer to the Order in Case IPR2013-00639.



request is accompanied by the attached Power of Attorney.

III. Statement of Facts

Pursuant to the Order, the following statement of facts, supported by the attached Declaration of Mr. Weinberg shows that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Weinberg *pro hac vice*.

Lead counsel for this proceeding, Jason S. Angell, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 51408).

Mr. Weinberg is an experienced litigation attorney, and has served as counsel in numerous complex cases and patent infringement litigations in various district courts. Mr. Weinberg has not been suspended or disbarred from practice, and he has not had any application for admission to practice denied, or had any sanctions or contempt citations imposed against him. Mr. Weinberg is an active member in good standing of the California Bar and is admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, the United States District Court for the Central District of California, and the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee. Mr. Weinberg's mailing address is Freitas Angell & Weinberg LLP, 350 Marine Parkway, Suite 200, Redwood Shores, California 94065, his email address is dweinberg@fawlaw.com, and his direct dial telephone number is (650) 730-5501.



Mr. Weinberg is a member of the litigation team for MD Security in MD Security Solutions LLC v. Protection 1, Inc., No. 6:15-cv-1968-Orl-40GJK, pending in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Orlando Division. That action involves U.S. Patent No. 7,864,983 ("'983 Patent"), the patent at issue in this proceeding. In his role as counsel, Mr. Weinberg is knowledgeable about the '983 Patent and assertions regarding the invalidity of the '983 Patent. Further, Mr. Weinberg is familiar with the factual and legal matters at issue in that case. Mr. Weinberg has thus established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding.

Mr. Weinberg has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules for Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the C.F.R., and he agrees to be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

In the past three (3) years, Mr. Weinberg has also applied *pro hac vice* before the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the following IPR actions:

Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00029
Google Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00031
Google Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00033
Google Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00038



Microsoft Corporation v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00039
Microsoft Corporation v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00040
Samsung Electronics America, Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00044
Facebook, Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00052
Facebook, Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00053
Match.com L.L.C. & People Media, Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00698
Match.com L.L.C. & People Media, Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.	IPR2014-00699
Olympus America Inc. and Olympus Medical Systems Corp. v. Perfect Surgical Techniques, Inc.	IPR2014-00233
Olympus America Inc. and Olympus Medical Systems Corp. v. Perfect Surgical Techniques, Inc.	IPR2014-00241
STATS LLC v. Hockeyline, Inc.	IPR2014-00510

Patent Owner has expended significant resources with Mr. Weinberg as counsel, and Patent Owner wishes Mr. Weinberg to represent it in this proceeding.

IV. Affidavit or Declaration of Individual Seeking to Appear

This motion for *pro hac vice* admission is accompanied by a Declaration of Mr. Weinberg as required by the Order.

V. Conclusion

The facts contained in the Statement of Facts above, and contained in the Declaration of Mr. Weinberg, establish that there is good cause to admit Mr. Weinberg *pro hac vice* in this proceeding under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

