

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

RPX CORPORATION and  
PROTECTION ONE, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

MD SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC

Patent Owner

---

IPR2016-00285<sup>1</sup>  
Patent 7,864,983

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KARL D. EASTHOM, AND WILLIAM M. FINK,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

FINK, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

**DECLARATION OF DANIEL J. WEINBERG  
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION**

---

<sup>1</sup> Protection One, Inc., who filed a Petition in IPR2016-01235, has been joined as a party to the petitioner in this proceeding.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.68, I, Daniel J. Weinberg, hereby attest to the following:

1. My mailing address is Freitas Angell & Weinberg LLP, 350 Marine Parkway, Suite 200, Redwood Shores, California 94065, my email address is dweinberg@fawlaw.com, and my telephone number is (650) 730-5501.

2. I am a member in good standing of the California Bar (admitted in 2003), as well as the following federal courts:

- a. U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit;
- b. U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit;
- c. U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California;
- d. U.S. District Court for the Central District of California; and
- e. U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee.

3. I have not been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body;

4. I have never had an application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied;

5. I have never had sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body against me;

6. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.;

7. I will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);

8. In the past three (3) years, I have also applied *pro hac vice* before the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the following IPR actions:

|                                                                                                    |               |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                            | IPR2014-00029 |
| <i>Google Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                                                      | IPR2014-00031 |
| <i>Google Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                                                      | IPR2014-00033 |
| <i>Google Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                                                      | IPR2014-00038 |
| <i>Microsoft Corporation v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                                            | IPR2014-00039 |
| <i>Microsoft Corporation v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                                            | IPR2014-00040 |
| <i>Samsung Electronics America, Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                                | IPR2014-00044 |
| <i>Facebook, Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                                                   | IPR2014-00052 |
| <i>Facebook, Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                                                   | IPR2014-00053 |
| <i>Match.com L.L.C. &amp; People Media, Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                        | IPR2014-00698 |
| <i>Match.com L.L.C. &amp; People Media, Inc. v. B.E. Technology, L.L.C.</i>                        | IPR2014-00699 |
| <i>Olympus America Inc. and Olympus Medical Systems Corp. v. Perfect Surgical Techniques, Inc.</i> | IPR2014-00233 |
| <i>Olympus America Inc. and Olympus Medical Systems Corp. v. Perfect Surgical Techniques, Inc.</i> | IPR2014-00241 |
| <i>STATS LLC v. Hockeyline, Inc.</i>                                                               | IPR2014-00510 |

9. I graduated from law school in 2003 and I have been engaged full time in private law practice since October 2003. I am an experienced litigation attorney and have served as counsel in numerous complex litigations and patent infringement cases in various district courts, including the Northern District of California, Southern District of New York, Eastern District of Virginia, Northern District of Illinois, and Western District of Tennessee.

10. I am a member of the litigation team for Patent Owner in for MD Security in *MD Security Solutions LLC v. Protection 1, Inc.*, No. 6:15-cv-1968-Orl-40GJK, pending in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Orlando Division. In that case, Patent Owner alleges that Petitioner infringes U.S. Patent No. 7,864,983 (the “’983 Patent”). I have reviewed and am familiar with the ’983 Patent and allegations relating to the validity of the patent. Accordingly, I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 7, 2017, at Redwood Shores, California.

/s/Daniel J. Weinberg  
Daniel J. Weinberg