CLAIMS APPENDIX - ALL INDEPENDENT CLAIMS
CLAIMS APPENDIX - ALL CLAIMS
CLAIM AMENDMENTS AFTER ACP - NOT ENTERED <u>CA-Not Entered Page-1</u>
EVIDENCE APPENDIX
Declaration of B. Arlie Bogue, Ph.D. Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132, dated March 13, 2013 ("Bogue Declaration I") <u>EA-1</u>
Declaration of B. Arlie Bogue, Ph.D. Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132, executed August 29, 2013 ("Bogue Declaration II") <u>EA-2</u>
RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX <u>RPA-1</u>
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE



-iv-

#### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

#### <u>CASES</u>

<i>In re Robertson</i> , 169 F.3d 743, 745 (Fed. Cir. 1999)
<i>In re Royka</i> , 490 F 2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974)
<i>Institut Pasteur &amp; Universite Pierre et Marie Curie V. Focarino</i> , Nos. 2012-1485, 2012-1486, 2012-1487 (Fed. Cir. December 30, 2013)
Leo Pharmaceutical Products, Ltd. v. Rea, 726 F. 3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013) <u>-2832-, -41-,</u> <u>-42-, -53-, -55-</u>
Peters v. Active Mfg. Co., 129 US 530 (1889)

## **STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 103	<u>5-</u>
-----------------	-----------

## RULES & MPEP

MPEP § 2112 IV	-41-
MPEP § 2143	<u>-35-</u>

#### APPELLANT'S APPEAL BRIEF

I. Statement of the Real Party in Interest

MonoSol Rx, LLC, owner of U.S. Patent No. 7,897,080 (the "'080 Patent"), is the real party in interest for Appellant.

#### II. Related Appeals and Interferences

Other than as noted below, Appellant is not aware of any related appeals, interferences or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

On November 2, 2010, Appellant commenced an action, for patent infringement of several patents it owns, namely, U.S. 7,824,588 (the " '588 Patent"), U.S. 7,357,891 (the " '891 Patent") and U.S. 7,425,292 (the " '292 Patent"), against Third Party Requestor, *inter alia*, in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey, captioned *MonoSol Rx, LLC v. BioDelivery Sciences International, Inc., MEDA Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Aveva Drug Delivery Systems, Inc.*, 10-cv-5695 ("the Litigation").

While the Litigation was ongoing, Third Party Requester first requested *inter partes* reexamination of the '588 Patent (95/001,753, filed September 12, 2011); and then requested *ex parte* reexamination of the remaining patents in the Litigation, the '891 Patent (90/012,098, filed January 20, 2012) and the '292 Patent (90/012,097, filed January 20, 2012). After filing all of its reexamination requests, Third Party Requestor, *inter alia*, moved the District Court to stay the

-1-

Litigation and on March 7, 2012, the Court stayed the Litigation and the stay is still in effect. The '891 Patent and the '292 Patent successfully exited reexamination with reexamination certificates, leaving the '588 Patent *inter partes* reexamination pending and currently on appeal to the PTAB.

On June 12, 2013, Third-Party Requestor, improperly petitioned for *Inter Partes* Review of the '891 Patent (IPR2013-00316) and the '292 Patent (IPR2013-00315) which had recently successfully exited reexamination. The PTAB denied both petitions on November 13, 2013, as untimely.

Third-Party Requester has also requested *inter partes* reexamination of two additional patents of Appellant, namely, the '080 Patent and U.S. 7,666,337 (the " '337 Patent') (Control No. 95/002,171). The '337 Patent reexamination is currently on appeal to the PTAB. All five (5) reexaminations were assigned to the same examiner, Alan D. Diamond.

Several ANDA-based actions have been recently commenced for patent infringement arising from the submission of ANDAs regarding '150 Patent, *inter alia.*, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The '150 Patent is a divisional of the application for the '337 Patent, of which the '080 Patent is a continuation. On August 20, 2013, Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("RBP"), RB Pharmaceuticals Limited ("RBP UK") and Appellant commenced their patent action against Par Pharmaceutical, Inc., IntelGenX Technologies Corp., and LTS Lohmann Therapy Systems Corp., captioned *Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. , et al.*, 1:13-cv-01461. On October 8, 2013, RBP, RBP UK and Appellant commenced their patent action against Watson Laboratories, Inc. and Actavis,

-2-

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Inc., captioned *Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Watson Laboratories, Inc., et al.*, 1:13-cv-01674. On December 6, 2013, RBP, RBP UK and Appellant commenced their patent action against Alvogen Pine Brook, Inc. and Alvogen Group, Inc., captioned *Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Alvogen Pine Brook, Inc. , et al.*, 1:13-cv-02003.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.