

Paper No. _____

Filed: March 15, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

MONOSOL RX, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00281

Patent 8,603,514 B2

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 313 & 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	3
III.	THE PETITION IS TIME-BARRED UNDER § 315(b).....	5
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
	A. “dried without loss of substantial uniformity” (Claims 1 and 62).....	10
V.	PETITIONER HAS NOT SHOWN A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE ’514 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	11
	A. Petitioner has not proffered any prior art reference disclosing the 10% DCU limitation of claims 1 and 62, from which all other challenged claims depend.....	13
	B. Petitioner’s conclusory reliance on “routine experimentation” does not render the 10% uniformity limitation obvious.....	22
	C. Petitioner has not proffered any evidence that the 5% DCU limitation of claims 9 and 65 is obvious over the prior art.....	31
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	33

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Abbott Labs. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 544 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	20
<i>ACTV, Inc. v. Walt Disney Co.</i> , 346 F.3d 1082 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	9, 10
<i>Apple Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00441, Paper 11 (PTAB July 13, 2015)	23, 24
<i>Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Med., Inc.</i> , 381 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	20
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>reh'g en banc denied</i> , 793 F.3d 1297 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>cert. granted</i> , 2016 WL 205946 (U.S. Jan. 15, 2016)	8
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	8
<i>Insite Vision Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 783 F.3d 853 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	20
<i>Institut Pasteur v. Focarino</i> , 738 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	20, 21
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	22
<i>K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC</i> , 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	20
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	20-22
<i>Lannett Holdings, Inc. v. Astrazeneca AB</i> , IPR 2015-01629, Paper 14 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2016)	6

<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00026, Paper 17 (PTAB Dec. 21, 2012)	8
<i>Perfect Web Techs., Inc. v. InfoUSA, Inc.</i> , 587 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	21
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 480 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	19,20
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (<i>en banc</i>)	9
<i>Plantronics, Inc. v. Aliph, Inc.</i> , 724 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	24
<i>Teva Pharms. USA, Inc., v. Indivior UK Ltd.</i> , IPR2016-00280, Paper 8 (PTAB Feb. 18, 2016)	7
<i>Terremark N. Am. LLC v. Joao Control & Monitoring Sys., LLC</i> , IPR2015-01482, Paper 10 (PTAB Dec. 28, 2015)	6
<i>TriMed, Inc. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 608 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	20
<i>United States v. Locke</i> , 471 U.S. 84 (1985)	6, 7
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	passim
CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a)	21, 28
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.101	6
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766 (Aug. 14, 2012)	8

EXHIBIT LIST

<u>EXHIBIT</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
*2001	FedEx shipping labels for tracking numbers 7751 1902 8582; 7751 1902 8983; and 7751 1902 9203 and FedEx on-line tracking histories for tracking numbers 7751 1902 8582; 77511902 8983; and 7751 1902 9203.
*2002	January 8, 2016 emails with PTAB at Trials@uspto.gov.
*2003	Email chain regarding Petitioner's problems.
*2004	Summons in <i>Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals Inc., RB Pharmaceuticals Limited, et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.</i> , Civil Action 14-1451 (D. Del.).
*2005	Complaint (without exhibits) in <i>Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals Inc., RB Pharmaceuticals Limited, et al v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.</i> , Civil Action 14-1451 (D. Del.).
*2006	Declaration of Daniel Doran
*2007	Declaration of Michael I. Chakansky
*2008	USPTO Patent Review Processing System (PRPS) web page at http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/trials/patent-review-processing-system-prps-0 (downloaded on March 7, 2016).
2009	A. F. Borges <i>et al.</i> , Oral Films: Current Status and Future Perspectives II – Intellectual Property, Technologies and Market Needs, <i>Journal of Controlled Release</i> 206, pp. 108-21 (2015).
2010	H. Kathpalia and A. Gupte, An Introduction to Fast Dissolving Oral Thin Film Drug Delivery Systems: A Review, <i>Drug Delivery & Formulation</i> 10, pp. 667-84 (2013).
2011	J. O. Morales and J. T. McConville, Manufacture and Characterization of Mucoadhesive Buccal Films, <i>European Journal of Pharmaceutics and</i>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.