DDELEB 11(2) 2004

THE JOURI IAL OF DELIVERY AND TARGETING OF THERAPEUTIC AGENTS

DEUVERY

Volume 11 • Number 2 • March–April 2004

[™] Univ. of Minn. Bio-Medical Library

04 07 04

ISSN 1071-7544



Alfred Stracher • Tony I Whateley

• Taylor & Francis health**sciences**

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DRUG DELIVERY

THE JOURNAL OF DELIVERY AND TARGETING OF THERAPEUTIC AGENTS

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Alfred Stracher

Department of Biochemistry, The State University of New York, Health Science Center at Brooklyn, 450 Clarkson Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11023, (718) 270-1256 phone; (718) 270-3316 fax; strachea@hscbklyn.edu

Tony L. Whateley

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, SIBS, 27 Taylor Street, Glasgow G4 0NR, Scotland, +44-141-5524400 phone; +44-141-5526443 fax; <u>t.l.whateley@strath.ac.uk</u>

ADVISORY EDITORS

Carl AlvingWalter Reed Army Institute of ResearchW. French AndersonUSC Norris Cancer CenterRobert S. LangerMassachusetts Institute of TechnologyRichard A. LernerScripps Clinic Research InstituteGeorge PosteSmithKline Beecham PharmaceuticalGlynn WilsonTacora Corporation

EDITORS

Theresa M. Allen University of Alberta; David R. Bard Strangeways Research Laboratory; John H. Collett University of Manchester; Elazer R. Edelman Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Robert F. Furchgott State University of New York, Brooklyn; Colin R. **Gardner** Merck Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratory; Yoshita Ikada Kyoto University; Rakesh K. Jain Harvard Medical School; Ian W. Kellaway University of London; Leo Kesner State University of New York, Brooklyn; Jindrich Kopecek University of Utah; Rimona Margalit Tel Aviv University; Gary P. Martin Kings College; Claude F. Meares University of California, Davis; Dirk K.F. Meijer University of Groningen; Michel Monsigny University of Orleans; Marc Ostro The Liposome Company; William M. Pardridge University of California School of Medicine, Los Angeles; Murray Saffran Medical College of Ohio; Peter Senter Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceutical Research Institute; David Shepro Boston University; Songe Svenson The Dow Chemical Company; John W. Weinstein National Institutes of Health; Richard J. Youle National Institutes of Health

Abstracted/indexed in: Biochemistry & Biophysics Citation Index, Chemical Abstracts, EMBASE, Index Medicus, and MEDLINE, Research Alert, and SciSearch.

Drug Delivery (USPS permit number 014-497) is published bimonthly by Taylor & Francis Inc., 325 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106. Periodicals postage paid at Philadelphia, PA and additional mailing offices.

US Postmaster: Please send address changes to UDRD c/o IMS, PO Box 1518, Champlain, NY 12919, USA.

Annual Subscription, Volume 11, 2004

Print ISSN - 1071-7544, Online ISSN - 1521-0464

Institutional subscribers: \$787 (US), £477 (UK)

Personal subscribers: \$380 (US), £230 (UK)

RM

An institutional subscription to the print edition includes free access to the online edition for any number of concurrent users across a local area network.

Production and Advertising Office: 325 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106. Tel - 215-625-8900, Fax - 215-625-8563. Production Editor: Jeannine Kienzle.

Subscription Offices

DOCKE

USA/North America: Taylor & Francis Inc., 325 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106. Tel: 215-625-8900, Fax: 215-625-2940. **UK/Europe**: Taylor & Francis Ltd., Rankine Road, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG24 8PR, UK. Tel: +44 (0) 1256 813 000, Fax: +44 (0) 1256 330 245.

For a complete guide to Taylor & Francis Group's journal and book publishing programs, visit our website:

www.taylorandfrancis.com.

Copyright © **2004 Taylor & Francis**. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, transmitted, or disseminated in any form or by any means without prior written permission from Taylor & Francis Inc. Taylor & Francis Inc. grants authorization for individuals to photocopy copyright material for private research use on the sole basis that requests for such use are referred directly to the requester's local Reproduction Rights Organization (RRO), such as the Copyright Clearance Center (www.copyright.com) in the USA or the Copyright Licensing Agency (www.cla.co.uk) in the UK. This authorization does not extend to any other kind of copying by any means, in any form, and for any purpose other than private research use. The publisher assumes no responsibility for any statements of fact or opinion expressed in the published papers. The appearance of advertising in this journal does not constitute an endorsement or approval by the publisher, the editor, or the editorial board of the quality or value of the product advertised or of the claims made for it by its manufacturer.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DRUG DELIVERY

THE JOURNAL OF DELIVERY AND TARGETING OF THERAPEUTIC AGENTS

Volume 11, Number 2, 2004

CONTENTS

- 83 Liposomes Containing Distamycins: Preparation, Characterization and Antiproliferative Activity R. Cortesi, R. Romagnoli, E. Menegatti, E. Esposito, F. Cervellati, and C. Nastruzzi
- 89 Development of Mucoadhesive Dosage Forms of Buprenorphine for Sublingual Drug Delivery Nandita G. Das and Sudip K. Das
- 97 Lipid Nano/Submicron Emulsions as Vehicles for Topical Flurbiprofen Delivery Jia-You Fang, Yann-Lii Leu, Chia-Chun Chang, Chia-Hsuan Lin, and Yi-Hung Tsai
- 107 Chitosan Nanoparticles for Plasmid DNA Delivery: Effect of Chitosan Molecular Structure on Formulation and Release Characteristics
 Asuman Bozkir and Ongun Mehmet Saka
- 113 Development and Characterization of Mucoadhesive Microspheres Bearing Salbutamol for Nasal Delivery S. K. Jain, M. K. Chourasia, A. K. Jain, R. K. Jain, and A. K. Shrivastava
- 123 Stability of Liposomal Formulations in Physiological Conditions for Oral Drug Delivery M. C. Taira, N. S. Chiaramoni, K. M. Pecuch, and S. Alonso-Romanowski
- 129 Polysaccharides for Colon Targeted Drug Delivery M. K. Chourasia and S. K. Jain
- 149 Patent Briefing
- 153 Literature Alerts
- 159 Calendar





Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Drug Delivery, 11:89–95, 2004 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Inc. ISSN: 1071-7544 print / 1521-0464 online DOI: 10.1080/10717540490280688

Development of Mucoadhesive Dosage Forms of Buprenorphine for Sublingual Drug Delivery

Nandita G. Das and Sudip K. Das

Idaho State University, College of Pharmacy, Pocatello, Idaho, USA

The development of mucoadhesive formulations of buprenorphine for intended sublingual usage in the treatment of drug addiction is described. The formulations include mucoadhesive polymer films, with or without plasticizers, and mucoadhesive polymer tablets, with or without excipients that enhance drug release and/or improve tablet compaction properties. The mucoadhesive polymers studied include carbomers such as Carbopol 934P, Carbopol 974P, and the polycarbophil Noveon AA-1, with excipients chosen from pregelatinized starch, lactose, glycerol, propylene glycol, and various molecular weights of polyethylene glycol. The development of plasticizer-containing mucoadhesive polymer films was feasible; however, these films failed to release their entire drug content within a reasonable period. Thus, they were not determined suitable for sublingual usage because of possible loss by ingestion during routine meal intakes. The mucoadhesive strength of tablet formulations containing Noveon AA-1 appears to be slightly superior to the Carbopol-containing tablets. However, the Carbopol 974P formulations exhibited superior drug dissolution profiles while providing adequate mucoadhesive strength. The tablet formulations containing Carbopol 974P as mucoadhesive polymer, lactose as drug release enhancer, and PEG 3350 as compaction enhancer exhibited the best results. Overall, the mucoadhesive tablet formulations exhibited superior results compared with the mucoadhesive film formulations.

Keywords Buprenorphine, Compressed Tablet, Drug Abuse, Film, Mucoadhesion, Sublingual

Therapies to prevent and/or treat drug abuse need careful consideration of the biopharmaceutical aspects of the treatment drugs and suitable delivery systems that can provide an ideal therapeutic profile and improve patient compliance. Ideally, drugs for the treatment of abuse must possess sufficiently long

Received 2 July 2003; accepted 12 August 2003.

DOCKE

The authors acknowledge Peter Willeitner for his technical assistance during the preliminary formulation phase of the dosage forms.

Address correspondence to Sudip K. Das, Idaho State University, College of Pharmacy, 970 South 5th Avenue, Pocatello, ID 83209-8334, USA. E-mail: das@pharmacy.isu.edu half-lives that allow reduction in frequency of administration, slow metabolism to inactive metabolites, thus requiring less drug to be administered, and lack of addiction potential of their own. Buprenorphine has gained much interest in recent years in the treatment of opioid-type drug addiction. It has strong analgesic and narcotic antagonist activity and is 25-50 times more potent than morphine (Gutstein and Akil 2001). Pharmacologically, buprenorphine, a highly lipophilic semisynthetic derivative of the opioid alkaloid thebaine, is a partial opiate agonist. It has agonistic effect on the mu and antagonistic effect on the kappa receptors, with the agonist properties predominating at low doses and antagonist properties predominating at higher doses (Cowan, Lewis, and Macfarlane 1977). A partial agonist is less likely to cause respiratory depression, which is the major toxic effect of opiate drugs, compared with full agonists such as heroin and methadone. Buprenorphine hydrochloride, the watersoluble salt form of buprenorphine, has a mean plasma half-life of 3.21 hr (Kuhlman et al. 1996) and is highly metabolized in the intestinal wall and liver to norbuprenorphine, which is a weakly active metabolite with half-life of 57 hr (Kuhlman et al. 1998). Both buprenorphine and norbuprenorphine form inactive glucuronides (Iribarne et al. 1997).

Compared with the potential of buprenorphine as a first- or second-line agent in the treatment of opiate addiction, studies on buprenorphine drug delivery systems are relatively few. A subcutaneously implanted system utilizing a cholesterol-glyceryl tristearate matrix produced sustained analgesic effect in rats for 12 weeks or more (Pontani and Misra 1983). In an early study on noncrystalline prodrugs of buprenorphine, synthesized for transdermal delivery, success was limited because the lipophilic form was sequestered in the lipid-rich skin layers (Stinchcomb et al. 1996). A matrix-type transdermal patch of buprenorphine (Transtec[®], Napp Pharmaceuticals) was recently introduced in the European market for the management of stable cancer and noncancer pain, and early clinical efficacy reports are fairly promising (Radbruch 2003). Eriksen et al. (1989) reported that the systemic bioavailability of buprenorphine administered by nasal spray is greater than 40%, which is comparable to the

30–40% bioavailability via the intramuscular and subcutaneous routes. Addition of 30% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 300 as a co-solvent to a nasal formulation of buprenorphine does not enhance bioavailability of the drug any further (Lindhardt et al. 2001). Buprenorphine has been studied in a microcapsule system intended for parenteral use and produced a steady in vitro release for 45 days (Mandal 1999). Concerns over residual organic solvents used in most microparticle preparations have restricted FDA approval of parenteral microparticulate systems, in general, and further studies are needed to evaluate their efficacy and safety in vivo.

Intravenous buprenorphine has been used in pain management for many years. The oral route of administration produces poor bioavailability of approximately 15% (McQuay, Moore, and Bullingham 1986) and lacks commercial potential. Systemic bioavailability following sublingual administration, which bypasses first pass metabolism, is much superior and has been reported to be up to 58% (Bullingham et al. 1982). The sublingual region offers a nonkeratinized epithelium with high permeability and a smooth and relatively immobile surface with easy accessibility. For the treatment of drug abuse, an immediate release sublingual tablet of buprenorphine, SubutexTM (manufactured by Reckitt Benckiser), was recently introduced in the U.S. market. This delivery system for buprenorphine has been available in Europe for nearly a decade and is widely used as an alternative to methadone in the treatment of opiate addiction (Gasquet, Lancon, and Parquet 1999). Literature on bioavailability of sublingual buprenorphine presents variable numbers ranging from 19-58% of the administered dose. Although sublingual delivery of buprenorphine has been proven effective, bioavailability by this route can be erratic because of salivary washout and involuntary swallowing.

We hypothesize that increasing the contact time with the sublingual mucosa with a mucoadhesive delivery system could improve sublingual bioavailability and result in more predictable plasma levels of the drug, leading to better therapeutic efficacy and reproducibility. No study has been published to date on mucoadhesive sublingual delivery of buprenorphine aimed at the treatment of drug addiction. These dosage forms would adhere to the sublingual mucosa and withstand tongue movement for a significant period, potentially decreasing the chances of involuntary swallowing of the dosage form. A sustained release effect also may be expected from the dosage form, which would make delivery of higher doses of buprenorphine for the preferred 3-times/week dosing regimen feasible with minimal side effects. With easy accessibility to the sublingual area, the delivery systems can be self-administered by the patient with minimal or no supervision that in turn can reduce health care costs involved in the treatment of drug addiction.

In this article, we discuss the development of mucoadhesive polymer films and tablets of buprenorphine and evaluation of their physical properties and drug release characteristics. The effect of plasticizers on the film properties was studied, as well as the effect of evaluation on "tabletability" and drug release properties from the compressed tablets. The polymeric dosage forms described are hydrogels that swell on coming in contact with water and do not allow prompt dissolution like an immediate release tablet; therefore, we anticipate that potential for diversion of these dosage forms as a street drug for intravenous use would be limited if applied in the clinical arena in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The carbomers Carbopol 934P, 974P and Noveon AA-1 were obtained by the courtesy of Noveon Inc. (OH, USA). Starch 1500 (pregelatinized maize starch) was obtained by the courtesy of Colorcon Inc. (PA, USA). Lactose monohydrate, glycerol, propylene glycol, PEG (MW 400, 1000, 3350, and 8000), mucin, and buprenorphine were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (MO, USA).

Preparation of Mucoadhesive Polymer Films

Considering the comfort issue involved with a drug delivery system designed to adhere to a sensitive and mobile area, we adjudged that a thin, flexible polymer film would be ideal for sublingual use. A general protocol used in several literature references describing polymer films was adopted. Double-filtered deionized water was degassed under vacuum before adding the polymers to minimize the formation of air bubbles within the gel. Each of the following polymers in 200-500 mg quantities, Carbopol 934P, Carbopol 974P, and Noveon AA-1, were solubilized in water or 95% ethanol using a paddle stirrer at 1000 rpm for 10 min to result in 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5, and 5.0% w/w gels. Homogeneous gel formation for the higher concentrations (4.5 and 5% w/w) proved difficult by stirring and was achieved by placing the mixtures in plastic bags and kneading by hand to prevent formation of poorly wetted polymer agglomerates. Amounts higher than 5.0% w/w could not be homogeneously solubilized. All gels were kept overnight at 4°C to allow complete hydration, following which they were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 30 min to remove air bubbles before film casting. Two techniques were used to cast the polymer films: (a) gels poured on Teflon[®] plates and placed in the oven at 40°C for 24 hr or until dry to the touch; and (b) gels placed between two Teflon[®] plates separated with 1 mm thick spacers at the edges and dried in a desiccator under vacuum for 48-72 hr.

Preparation of Plasticizer Containing Mucoadhesive Polymer Films

Plasticizers were added to the aqueous gel systems described above to reduce brittleness, improve flexibility, and improve surface texture and smoothness of the films. PEG has been described in the literature to also improve mucoadhesion properties of certain polymers. Glycerol, propylene glycol, or PEG 400, 1000, 3350, or 8000 were each added to the aqueous gel systems to result in final concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 5.0, or 10.0% w/w plasticizer in the system and stored overright under refrigerention

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.