

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PLAID TECHNOLOGIES INC.
Petitioner

v.

YODLEE, INC. and YODLEE.COM, INC.
Patent Owners

Case IPR2016-00275
Patent 6,199,077

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED 2

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 3

IV. THE BOARD CANNOT INSTITUTE PETITIONER’S
TIME-BARRED PETITION 3

 A. Petitioner Failed to Satisfy the Statutory Requirements for
 Filing and Thus Cannot Obtain a December 2, 2015 Filing
 Date..... 4

 B. Petitioner Failed to Satisfy its Burden to Identify Authority
 Allowing the Board to Grant an Earlier Filing Date and to
 Provide Sufficient Cause to Do So..... 7

V. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT INSTITUTE GROUNDS 1
AND 2, BECAUSE PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO PROVE
THAT FREISHTAT IS PRIOR ART TO THE ’077 PATENT..... 11

VI. GROUND 3 SHOULD NOT BE INSTITUTED BECAUSE
THE PRIOR ART DOES NOT DISCLOSE STORING
INFORMATION/DATA SPECIFIC TO A PERSON 15

 1. [1.c]: a list of addresses of Internet sites associated with a
 specific person, which sites store information specific to
 the person..... 16

 2. [7.a]: In an Internet Portal system, a method for gathering
 data specific to a person from a plurality of Internet sites
 storing data specific to that person, 18

 3. [4]: The Portal of claim 1 wherein the data gathered by the
 path agents is data specific to the person. [10]: The method
 of claim 7 wherein the data gathered by the gathering agents
 is specific to the person. 19

VII. IF THE BOARD DECIDES TO INSTITUTE, IT SHOULD NOT
INSTITUTE PETITIONER’S REDUNDANT CHALLENGES..... 20

A. The Board’s Precedent Required Petitioner to Distinguish
Between Otherwise Redundant Prior Art References.....21

B. The Petition Recites Multiple Redundant Grounds23

C. Petitioner Has Not Made Any Argument of Non-Redundancy24

VIII. CONCLUSION..... 25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>2Wire, Inc. v. TQ Delta LLC</i> , IPR2015-00239 (Paper10, Jan. 15, 2015).....	8
<i>ABB Inc. v. Roy-G-Biv Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00063 (Paper 21, Jan. 16, 2013).....	8, 9, 10
<i>Berk-Tek LLC v. Belden Technologies Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00057 (Paper 21, May 14, 2013).....	20
<i>ConMed Corp. v. Bonutti Skeletal Innovations, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00624 (Paper 18, Feb. 21, 2014).....	8
<i>CoreLogic, Inc. v. Boundary Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00226 (Paper 34, Oct. 27, 2015).....	10
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat’l Geographic</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	13, 14
<i>GHS Health Maintenance Organization, Inc. v. U.S.</i> , 536 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	7
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	16
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003 (Paper 7, Oct 25, 2012).....	21, 22, 24
<i>Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003 (Paper 7, Oct. 25, 2012)	22
<i>Oracle Corp. v. Patent of Clouding IP, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00075 (Paper 15, June 13, 2013).....	22, 24
<i>Securus Techs., Inc. v. Global Tel*Link Corp.</i> IPR2015-00153 (Paper 12, May 1, 2015).....	14, 15
<i>Sony Corp v. Yissum Research Development Co. of the Hebrew Univ. of Jerusalem</i> , IPR2013-00219 (Paper 33, Nov. 21, 2013).....	20, 21

Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg. Co., Ltd.; TSMC N. Am. Corp. v. Ziptronix,
IPR2014-00114 (Paper 14, May 1, 2014)..... 24

Terremark North America LLC v. Joao Control & Monitoring Sys., LLC,
IPR2015-01482 (Paper 10, Dec. 28, 2015)*passim*

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103 16

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)*passim*

35 U.S.C. § 314 25

35 U.S.C. § 315(b)*passim*

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b) 20

37 C.F.R. § 42.5 7

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(c)..... 7, 8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.