UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PLAID TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Petitioner

v.

YODLEE, INC. and YODLEE.COM, INC., Patent Owner

> IPR2016-00275 U.S. Patent No. 6,199,077 B1

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO CORRECT ELECTRONIC FILING DATE

Mail Stop **Patent Trial and Appeal Board** Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
1 ugo

I.		INTRODUCTION1
II.		STATEMENT OF FACTS
	(a)	Circumstances Surrounding the Electronic Filing of the '077
		Petition Including Evidence of Technical Problems with the PRPS
		System1
	(b)	Steps Taken Before And After Encountering Technical Problems4
III.		ARGUMENT
	(a)	The Authority of the Board, if Any, to Waive the Statutorily
		Mandated One Year Time Limitation for Filing the Present Case, to
		the Extent Such Time Limitation Applies
	(b)	The Board Should Utilize its Authority to Correct the Electronic
		Filing Date
IV.		CONCLUSION AND RELIEF REQUESTED

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)
Cases
2Wire, Inc. v. TQ Delta LLC, IPR2015-00239, Paper 10 (Jan. 15, 2015)7
<i>ABB Inc. v Roy-G-Biv Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00063, Paper 21 (Jan. 16, 2013)7, 8, 9
Conmed Corp. v. Bonutti Skeletal Innovations, LLC, IPR2013-00624, Paper 18 (Feb. 21, 2014)7
CoreLogic, Inc. v. Boundary Solutions, Inc., IPR2015-00226, Paper 34 (Oct. 27, 2015)10
Ricoh Americas Corp. v. MPHJ Tech. Investments, LLC, IPR2013-00302, Paper 32 (Mar. 11, 2014)10
Schott Gemtron Corp. v. SSW Holding Co. IPR2014-00367, Paper 30 (Sept. 22, 2014)
<i>Syntroleum Corp. v. Neste Oil OYJ,</i> IPR2013-00178, Paper 21 (July 22, 2013)
Statutes
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(5)
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)
Regulations
37 C.F.R. § 42.5(b)
37 C.F.R. § 42.5(c)(3)10
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)10
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(c)1, 6, 7, 9

ii

Exhibit	Description
Number	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,199,077 ('077 Patent)
1002	Summons Returned as Executed, Yodlee, Inc. v. Plaid
	Technologies, Inc., Case No. 1:14-cv-01445-LPS-CJB (D. Del.
	filed December 1, 2014)
1003	U.S. Patent No. 6,317,783 to Freishtat (the "'783 Patent" or
	"Freishtat"
1004	Declaration of Dr. Todd Mowry
1005	Redline Comparison of U.S. Patent Appl. No. 09/323,598 and
	Application No. 09/208,740
1006	File History of U.S. Patent No. 6,199,077
1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,278,449 ("Sugiarto")
1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,892,905 ("Brandt")
1009	Zhao, "Technical Note, WebEntree: A Web Service Aggregator,"
	(1998) ("Zhao")
1010	Claim Construction Briefing, Yodlee, Inc. v. Plaid Technologies,
	Inc., Case No. 1:14-cv-01445-LPS-CJB (D. Del. filed December
	1, 2014)
1011	Claim Construction Order, Yodlee, Inc. v. CashEdge, Inc., No. C
	05-01550 SI, slip op. (N.D. Cal., July 7, 2006)
1012	Provisional Appl. No. 60/105,917 ("the '917 Application")
1013	Redline Comparison of Provisional Appl. No. 60/105,917 and
1014	Screenshot of ACM Digital Library Page
1015	U.S. Patent No. 6,029,175 ("Chow")
1016	U.S. Patent No. 6,401,118 ("Thomas")
1017	U.S. Patent No. 6,006,333 ("Nielsen")
1018	Screenshots of ESPN Pages
1019	U.S. Patent No. 6,041,362 ("Mears")
1020	U.S. Patent 6,243,816 ("Fang")
1021	Declaration of Omar Amin
1022	Declaration of Jill McCormack
1023	'077 Petition Filing Receipt
1024	Screenshot of Federal Express Tracking Data
1025	'077 Petition Payment Receipt
1026	United States Postal Service, Service Commitments

Petitioner's Exhibit List

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Plaid Technologies, Inc. ("Petitioner") seeks correction of the filing date of its Petition for *Inter Partes* Review in IPR2016-00275 from December 3, 2015 to December 2, 2015 pursuant to Paper 8 dated February 1, 2016 ("the Order"). Petitioner paid the required fee and uploaded its petition for *Inter Partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,199,077 ("the '077 Petition") on December 2, 2015 but significant PRPS technical issues and delays and a clerical error interfered with submission of the filing by midnight. Correction of the filing date accorded the Petition is requested and warranted here because it is well within the Board's authority under 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(b) and § 42.104(c). Petitioner addresses the three questions from the Order below.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

(a) Circumstances Surrounding the Electronic Filing of the '077 Petition Including Evidence of Technical Problems with the PRPS

On December 2, 2015, Petitioner's counsel began filing a Petition for *Inter Partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,317,783 ("the '783 Petition") and a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review for U.S. Patent No. 6,199,077 ("the '077 Petition"), each with a supporting declaration from Dr. Todd Mowry. Declaration of Omar Amin, Ex. 1021 ("Amin Decl.") ¶ 2; Declaration of Jill McCormack, Ex. 1022 ("McCormack Decl.") ¶ 2; IPR2015-00273, Paper 1 (Dec. 2, 2015). Petitioner began sequentially

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.