

Case To Be Assigned
IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634

Filed on behalf of Petitioners
By: Joseph J. Richetti
Kevin E. Paganini
Bryan Cave LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10104
Tel: (212) 541-2000
Fax: (212) 541-4630

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA, INC., HYUNDAI MOTOR COMPANY,
KIA MOTORS CORPORATION & KIA MOTORS AMERICA, INC.
Petitioners

v.

PAICE LLC &
ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owners

Case: To Be Assigned
U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 311 *ET SEQ.* AND 37 C.F.R. §42.100 *ET SEQ.*
(CLAIMS 161, 172, 215, 226, 230 AND 234 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,237,634)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	2
A.	Real Party-In-Interest - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	2
B.	Related Matters - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	2
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	3
D.	Service Information - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	3
III.	REQUIREMENTS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	4
A.	Grounds for Standing - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	4
B.	Challenged Claims - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1).....	4
C.	Grounds of Challenge - 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)	4
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE '634 PATENT	5
A.	Prosecution History of the '634 Patent	5
B.	Purported Improvement in the '634 Patent	5
V.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (POSA)	7
VI.	STATE OF THE ART	8
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION — 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (B)(3).....	10
A.	road load (RL) and RL	10
B.	setpoint (SP) and SP	11
C.	“mode I,” “low-load operation mode I,” “highway cruising operation mode IV,” “acceleration operation mode V”	13
VIII.	UNPATENTABILITY GROUNDS.....	13
A.	Overview of the University of Durham Hybrid Project.....	13

Case To Be Assigned
IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634

B.	Reasons to Combine.....	21
C.	Discussion of Claims 80, 91, 92, 95, 96, 99, 100, 102, 106, 114, 125, 126, 129, 132, 133 and 135	25
1.	Independent Claim 80	26
2.	Dependent Claim 91	39
3.	Dependent Claim 92	40
4.	Dependent Claim 95	41
5.	Dependent Claim 96	41
6.	Dependent Claim 99	42
7.	Dependent Claim 100	46
8.	Dependent Claim 102	46
9.	Dependent Claim 106	49
10.	Independent Claim 114.....	50
11.	Dependent Claim 125	51
12.	Dependent Claim 126	51
13.	Dependent Claim 129	51
14.	Dependent Claim 132	51
15.	Dependent Claim 133	52
16.	Dependent Claim 135	52
D.	Ground 1 – Claims 161, 172, 215, 226, 230, and 234 are Obvious over The Durham Project in View of the General Knowledge of a POSA.....	52
1.	Independent Claim 161	53
2.	Dependent Claim 172	54

Case To Be Assigned
IPR of U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634

3.	Independent Claim 215	54
4.	Dependent Claim 226	55
5.	Dependent Claim 230	56
6.	Dependent Claim 234	56
IX.	OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NO OBVIOUSNESS	56
X.	CONCLUSION.....	57

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1901	U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634	July 3, 2007	'634 Patent
1902	7,237,634 File History (certified)	n/a	'634 Patent File History
1903	Declaration of Gregory Davis		Davis Dec.
1904	Ford Letter to Paice	Sept. 2014	Ford Letter
1905	"Computer modelling of the automotive energy requirements for internal combustion engine and battery electric-powered vehicles," IEE PROCEEDINGS, Vol. 132	Sept. 1985	Bumby I
1906	"Optimisation and control of a hybrid electric car," IEE PROCEEDINGS, Vol. 134	Nov. 1987	Bumby II
1907	"A hybrid internal combustion engine/battery electric passenger car for petroleum displacement," Proc Inst Mech Engrs Vol 202	1988	Bumby III
1908	"A test-bed facility for hybrid i.c-engine/battery-electric road vehicle drive trains," Trans Inst MC Vol 10	Apr. June 1988	Bumby IV
1909	"Integrated microprocessor control of a hybrid i.c. engine/battery-electric automotive power train," Trans Inst MC Vol 12	1990	Bumby V
1910	Masding Thesis — "Some drive train control problems in hybrid i.c engine/battery electric vehicles"	Nov. 1989	Masding Thesis
1911	US Patent 7,104,347	Sept. 12, 2006	'347 Patent
1912	Toyota Litigations	2005	Toyota Litigation
1913	Hyundai Litigation	2013-2014	Hyundai Litigation

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.