UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD NEPTUNE GENERICS, LLC, APOTEX INC., and APOTEX CORP., Petitioner, v. ELI LILLY & COMPANY, Patent Owner. Case No. IPR2016-00237¹ Patent No. 7,772,209

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

¹ Case IPR2016-01190 has been joined with the instant proceeding.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Background					
	A.	Antifolates and Folates				
	B.	Antifolates and Vitamin B ₁₂				
	C.	Homocysteine and MMA	8			
	D.	Antifolate Research	9			
	E.	Development of the Claimed Invention	10			
	F.	The District Court Litigation	13			
II.	The	Critical Date of the '209 Patent1				
III.	The	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art				
IV.	Clair	n Construction				
V.	Neptune Has Failed to Demonstrate That the Challenged Claims Are Obvious					
	A.	The POSA Would Have Avoided Folic Acid and Vitamin B ₁₂ Pretreatment Because Doing So Would Have Been Expected to Lower Pemetrexed's Efficacy	18			
	B.	Neptune's Own Central Argument Fails To Give the POSA Reason To Administer Vitamin B_{12}				
	C.	The Correlation Between Pemetrexed Toxicity and Homocysteine Levels in Niyikiza I Would Not Have Given the POSA a Reason to Pretreat Patients With the Claimed Regimen				
		Elevated Homocysteine Levels Do Not Cause Pemetrexed Toxicity	33			



		2.	The POSA Would Have No Reason To Treat Pemetrexed Patients' Homocysteine Levels	35
		3.	The POSA Would Not Risk Reducing Efficacy To Lower Homocysteine.	38
	D.		oner's Additional Arguments Would Not Give the POSA on To Administer the Claimed Pretreatment Regimen	40
	E.		POSA Would Not Use the Doses and Schedules Claimed 209 Patent	47
		1.	Claims 9, 10, 12, 13-15, and 17-22 Would Not Have Been Obvious Because the POSA Would Not Simultaneously Lower the Folic Acid Dose in Hammond and Add Vitamin B ₁₂ to the Regimen	47
		2.	Neptune's Other Dose and Schedule Arguments Are Without Merit	50
VI.	Seco	ndary (Considerations of Non-Obviousness Support Patentability	54



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Parenteral Medicines, et al., Case No. 1:10-cv-1376-TWP-DKL (S.D. Indiana)	13
Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Parenteral Medicines, Inc., et al., No. 2015-2067 (Fed. Cir.)	13
Envtl. Designs, Ltd. v. Union Oil Co., 713 F.2d 693 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	32
In re Applied Materials, Inc., 692 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	51, 52
In re Peterson, 315 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	52, 53
Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	17
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	16, 54
Leo Pharm. Prod., Ltd. v. Rea, 726 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	54
WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co., —F.3d—, 2016 WL 3902668 (Fed. Cir. Jul. 19, 2016)	55
Wellman, Inc. v. Eastman Chem. Co., 642 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	16
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	16
Institution Decision. Paper 13	4, 16
IPR2016-00318. Paper 1	16
Wall Street Journal	55



Neptune's Petition for *inter partes* review sets forth a simple-sounding but fundamentally flawed obviousness case. Neptune's argument—based on Niyikiza I, EP 005, and the '974 patent—is that because homocysteine levels were known to correlate with pemetrexed toxicity, the person of ordinary skill in the art (POSA) would thus be motivated to lower those levels. And, because folic acid and vitamin B₁₂ were known to lower homocysteine levels, the POSA would thus be motivated to use them to lower homocysteine. Nothing in any of the references that are part of Neptune's ground actually discloses administering vitamin B₁₂ pretreatment to a cancer patient receiving an antifolate, and the literature taught that vitamin B₁₂ was *not* relevant to pemetrexed's toxicity. Even setting that basic flaw aside, however, Neptune ignores half the story. And the whole story demonstrates that the claimed invention—a method of administering a life-saving cancer drug that saved the drug from failure during its development—was anything but obvious.

The half of the story that Neptune omits is about pemetrexed's efficacy against cancer. Pemetrexed was the most promising antifolate in decades. And as an antifolate, it works by depriving cells of the folate they need to divide and grow. The folic acid supplementation that Neptune posits would have been so obvious would have been understood by the POSA to act as pemetrexed's antidote. That is, folates and antifolates compete with one another for access to the relevant



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

