

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. & MYLAN LABORATORIES
LIMITED,
Petitioners,

v.

BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC. & BAXTER HEALTHCARE S.A.,
Patent Owners.

Case IPR2016-00218
Patent 6,528,540 B1

**PATENT OWNERS' PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY	3
	A. Esmolol Hydrochloride	3
	B. Disadvantages of Prior Esmolol Formulations	4
	C. The Baxter '540 Patent Claims	7
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	10
	A. "aqueous, sterile pharmaceutical composition"	10
	1. "aqueous" composition	13
	2. "sterile"	15
	B. "forming an aqueous composition . . . in a sealed container . . ."	18
IV.	THE PETITION FAILS TO ESTABLISH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT CLAIMS 1-6 ARE ANTICIPATED BY THE PDR (GROUND 1).....	19
	A. Legal Standard.....	19
	B. The PDR (Ex. 1005).....	20
	C. The PDR Does Not Anticipate Claims 1-6 Of The '540 Patent	21
V.	THE PETITION FAILS TO ESTABLISH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT CLAIMS 1-6 AND 12 ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE PDR (GROUND 2).....	24
	A. Legal Standard.....	24
	B. Claims 1-6 And 12 Are Not Obvious Over the PDR.....	25
VI.	THE PETITION FAILS TO ESTABLISH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT CLAIMS 1-6, 8-10, AND 12-16 ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE PDR IN VIEW OF TURCO AND LEE (GROUND 3)	29
	A. Turco (Ex. 1006)	29
	B. Lee (Ex. 1007).....	30
	C. The PDR Does Not Disclose Key Limitations Of Claims 1-6, 8-10, And 12-16.....	31

D.	Turco Does Not Disclose Key Limitations Of Claims 1-6, 8-10, and 12-16.....	33
E.	Lee Does Not Disclose Key Limitations Of Claims 1-6, 8-10, and 12-16	33
F.	Claims 1-6, 8-10, And 13-16 Are Not Obvious Over The PDR In View Of Turco And Lee.....	34
1.	Petitioners Have Failed To Demonstrate That A Person Of Skill In The Art Would Have Had A Reasonable Expectation Of Success Of Sterilizing Aqueous Esmolol Solutions	35
2.	Petitioners Have Failed To Demonstrate That A Person Of Skill In The Art Would Have Had A Reason Or Motivation To Combine PDR, Turco, And Lee To Sterilize Aqueous Esmolol Solutions.....	42
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	44

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Acme Scale Co., Inc. v. LTS Scale Co., LLC</i> , 615 Fed. Appx. 673 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	12
<i>In re Applied Materials, Inc.</i> , 692 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	27
<i>Braintree Laboratories, Inc. v. Novel Laboratories, Inc.</i> , 749 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	15
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Technologies, LLC</i> , 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>cert. granted</i> , 2016 U.S. LEXIS 632 (U.S., Jan. 15, 2016)	11
<i>In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Patent Litigation</i> , 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	25
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals. USA, Inc.</i> , 619 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	24
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	25
<i>In re Gurley</i> , 27 F.3d 551 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	27
<i>KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	25
<i>In re Morris</i> , 127 F.3d 1048 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	11, 15
<i>Moses Lake Industries, Inc. v. Enthone, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00243, Order Denying Institution (June 18, 2014).....	25

<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	20
<i>NetApp Inc. v. Crossroads Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01233, Order Denying Institution (Feb. 10, 2015)	25
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	12
<i>PPC Broadband, Inc. v. Corning Optical Communications RF, LLC</i> , No. 2015-1364, slip op. (Fed. Cir. Feb. 22, 2016)	12
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	10, 15
<i>Tessera, Inc. v. International Trade Commission</i> , 646 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	19
<i>Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> , 593 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	19
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102	20
35 U.S.C. § 314	3
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.108	3
Other Authorities	
MPEP § 2111	11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.