

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

VEDANTI SYSTEMS LIMITED
Patent Owner

Case IPR No. Unassigned
U.S. Patent 7,974,339

DECLARATION OF DR. JOHN R. GRINDON

Google Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Overview.....	1
II.	Background and Qualifications	3
III.	Documents Considered.....	5
IV.	Relevant Legal Standards	10
A.	Ordinary Skill	11
B.	Obviousness	12
V.	State of the Art.....	13
A.	Sampling	14
B.	Variable block sizes	16
VI.	The '339 patent.....	19
A.	Claims Considered.....	22
B.	Prosecution History.....	26
C.	Claim Construction	28
1.	"frame data"	29
2.	"region"	30
3.	"high detail" / "low detail"	31
4.	"pixel variation data"	33
5.	"matrix"	34
6.	"matrix definition data" / "matrix data"	35
7.	"optimized matrix data"	36
8.	"pixel selection data"/"selection pixel data"	36
9.	"analysis system".....	37
VII.	Analysis.....	38
A.	Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, and 13 are obvious over Belfor in view of Thyagarajan in further view of Golin.....	38
1.	Overview of Belfor.....	38
2.	Overview of the Combination of Belfor in view of Thyagarajan and further in view of Golin	42

3.	Belfor in view of Thyagarajan in further view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders independent claim 1 obvious.	51
a)	"A system for transmitting data transmission comprising"	51
b)	"a analysis system receiving frame data and generating region data comprised of high detail and or low detail"	53
c)	"a pixel selection system receiving the region data and generating one set of pixel data for each region forming a new set of data for transmission;"	60
d)	"a data receiving system receiving the region data and the pixel data for each region and generating a display;"	61
e)	"wherein the data receiving system comprises a pixel data system receiving matrix definition data and pixel data and generating pixel location data;"	63
f)	"wherein the data receiving system comprises a display generation system receiving pixel location data and generating display data that includes the pixel data placed according to the location data."	64
4.	Belfor in view of Thyagarajan in further view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders dependent claim 13 obvious.	65
5.	Belfor in view of Thyagarajan in further view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders dependent claim 6 obvious.	67
6.	Belfor in view of Thyagarajan in further view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders independent claim 7 obvious.	68
a)	"A method for transmitting data comprising:"	68
b)	"receiving frame data; generating optimized matrix data from the frame data"	69
c)	"selecting one of two or more sets of pixel data based on the optimized matrix data"	74
d)	"wherein receiving frame data comprises receiving an array of pixel data"	76
e)	"wherein generating the optimized matrix data from the frame data comprises setting a matrix size based on pixel selection data"	77
f)	"and transmitting the selection pixel data and the optimized matrix data by assembling the optimized matrix data and the selection pixel data into a generated display frame."	77

..

7.	Belfor in view of Thyagarajan in further view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders dependent claim 9 obvious.	80
8.	Belfor in view of Thyagarajan in further view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders independent claim 10 obvious.	80
a)	"A method for transmitting data comprising:"	81
b)	"dividing an array of pixel data into two or more regions;"	82
c)	"selecting a set of pixel data from each region"	82
d)	"wherein dividing the array of pixel data comprises dividing the array of pixel data into two or more matrices having a uniform size;"	83
e)	"wherein dividing the array of pixel data comprises dividing the array of pixel data into two or more matrices having two or more different sizes;"	84
f)	"and transmitting the region data and the pixel selection data for each region by assembling the region data and the selection pixel data into a display frame."	88
9.	Belfor in view of Thyagarajan in further view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders dependent claim 12 obvious.	91
VIII.	Conclusion	91

...

***Inter Partes Review of USPN 7,974,339
Declaration of Dr. John R. Grindon (GOOG 1003)***

I, Dr. John R. Grindon, declare as follows:

I. Overview

1. I have been retained on behalf of GOOGLE INC. (the "Petitioner") for the above-captioned *Inter Partes* Review (IPR) proceeding.

2. I am being compensated for my time in connection with this IPR at my standard hourly consulting rate of \$400/hour. My compensation does not depend on any outcome of this proceeding.

3. I understand that this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 7,974,339 ("the '339 patent," GOOG 1001) titled "Optimized Data Transmission System And Method" by Krichevsky et al. and that the '339 patent is currently assigned to Vedanti Systems Limited.

4. I have reviewed and am familiar with the specification of the '339 patent. I understand that the '339 patent resulted from U.S. Application No. 10/892,690, filed on July 16, 2002. I understand that the '339 patent has been provided as GOOG 1001. I will cite to the specification using the following format: (GOOG 1001, 1:1-10). This example citation points to the '339 patent specification at column 1, lines 1-10. Throughout this declaration, emphasis is added, unless otherwise indicated.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.