

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

VEDANTI SYSTEMS LIMITED
Patent Owner

Case IPR: Unassigned

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,974,339
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §311**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED (37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)).....	1
II.	GROUND FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)).....	3
III.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).....	3
	A. Statutory Grounds for the Challenge.....	3
	B. Citation of Prior Art	3
IV.	THE '339 PATENT	4
	A. Overview of the '339 patent	4
	B. Claims as Printed.....	7
	C. Prosecution History	8
	D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	11
	E. Claim Construction	12
	1. "frame data"	13
	2. "region"	14
	3. "high detail" / "low detail"	15
	4. "pixel variation data"	16
	5. "matrix"	16
	6. "matrix definition data" / "matrix data"	17
	7. "optimized matrix data"	18
	8. "pixel selection data"/"selection pixel data"	18
	9. "analysis system"	19
V.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).....	20
	A. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, and 13 are obvious over Spriggs in view of Golin.....	20
	1. Overview of Spriggs	20
	2. Overview of the Combination of Spriggs in view of Golin.....	23
	3. Spriggs in view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders independent claim 1 obvious.	24

IPR Petition of U.S. Patent No. 7,974,339

a)	"A system for transmitting data transmission comprising:"	24
b)	"a analysis system receiving frame data and [...]"	26
c)	"[a analysis system ...] generating region data comprised of high detail and or low detail;"	26
d)	"a pixel selection system receiving the region data and generating one set of pixel data for each region forming a new set of data for transmission;"	30
e)	"a data receiving system receiving the region data and the pixel data for each region and generating a display;"	31
f)	"wherein the data receiving system comprises a pixel data system receiving matrix definition data and pixel data and generating pixel location data;"	32
g)	"wherein the data receiving system comprises a display generation system receiving pixel location data and generating display data that includes the pixel data placed according to the location data."	34
4.	Spriggs in view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders dependent claim 13 obvious.	35
5.	Spriggs in view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders dependent claim 6 obvious.	36
6.	Spriggs in view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders independent claim 7 obvious.	37
a)	"A method for transmitting data comprising:"	37
b)	"receiving frame data;"	38
c)	"generating optimized matrix data from the frame data"	38
d)	"selecting one of two or more sets of pixel data based on the optimized matrix data"	43
e)	"wherein receiving frame data comprises receiving an array of pixel data"	45

IPR Petition of U.S. Patent No. 7,974,339

f)	"wherein generating the optimized matrix data from the frame data comprises setting a matrix size based on pixel selection data"	45
g)	"and transmitting the selection pixel data and the optimized matrix data by assembling the optimized matrix data and the selection pixel data into a generated display frame."	45
7.	Spriggs in view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders dependent claim 9 obvious.....	47
8.	Spriggs in view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders independent claim 10 obvious.	48
a)	"A method for transmitting data comprising:"	49
b)	"dividing an array of pixel data into two or more regions;"	49
c)	"selecting a set of pixel data from each region;"	50
d)	"wherein dividing the array of pixel data comprises dividing the array of pixel data into two or more matrices having a uniform size;"	52
e)	"wherein dividing the array of pixel data comprises dividing the array of pixel data into two or more matrices having two or more different sizes;"	53
f)	"and transmitting the region data and the selection pixel data for each region by assembling the region data and the selection pixel data into a generated display frame."	56
9.	Spriggs in view of Golin under 35 U.S.C. §103 renders dependent claim 12 obvious.....	57
B.	The proposed grounds are noncumulative.	58
VI.	MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. §42.8(a)(1)).....	58
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek, LLC,
2014-1575, Fed. Cir. slip op. decided Nov. 5, 2015.24

In re Cuozzo Speed Tech., LLC,
793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....13

In re Suitco Surface, Inc.,
603 F.3d. 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....15

In re Translogic Tech., Inc.,
504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....13

Kamada Ltd., v. Grifols Ther. Inc.,
IPR2014-00899, Institution Decision, Paper No. 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 18, 2014)12

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007) 24, 29

Macauto U.S.A. v. BOS GMBH & KG,
IPR2012-00004, Paper No. 18. (P.T.A.B. Jan. 24, 2013)3

Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.,
789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 15, 19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.