

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SONY CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

RAYTHEON COMPANY

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2016-00209

Patent No. 5,591,678

---

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER RESPONSE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. LIU ANTICIPATES CLAIMS 1-4, 6-7, AND 10-11 .....1

    A. LIU MEETS THE “FURNISHING” STEP .....2

    B. LIU TEACHES A WAFER.....6

    C. LIU’S WAFER OVERLIES THE ETCH-STOP LAYER .....10

    D. LIU TEACHES THE FORMING STEP .....11

    E. LIU ANTICIPATES CLAIMS 2-4.....11

II. GROUNDS 2-8 SHOULD BE ADOPTED.....14

    A. GROUND 2: CLAIMS 2-4 AND 11 ARE ALSO OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF BLACK. ....14

        (1) Liu and Black are analogous art .....14

        (2) It was obvious to use Black’s patterning with Liu .....16

        (3) Black teaches patterning and forming an electrical connection .....16

    B. GROUND 3: CLAIMS 5 AND 12-16 ARE OBVIOUS OVER LIU IN VIEW OF  
    RISEMAN.....17

    C. GROUNDS 4 AND 8: OLDHAM RENDERS THE “DEGASSING AND CURING”  
    LIMITATIONS OBVIOUS. ....18

    D. GROUND 5: CLAIM 10 IS OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF LIU AND WEN  
    .....19

    E. GROUND 6: CLAIM 9 IS OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF LIU, WEN AND  
    YING.....19

    F. GROUND 7: CLAIM 17 IS OBVIOUS OVER LIU, RISEMAN AND KUSUNOKI.....19

III. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS .....20

A. RAYTHEON HAS NOT DEMONSTRATED A NEXUS TO PRAISE AND FAILURE OF OTHERS .....20

B. THE ALLEGED PRAISE WAS NOT DIRECTED AT THE '678 PATENT .....21

C. RAYTHEON'S "FAILURE OF OTHERS" ARGUMENT IS NOT PERSUASIVE .....23

D. RAYTHEON'S COMMERCIAL SUCCESS ARGUMENT IS NOT PERSUASIVE .....25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....28

CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT .....29

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Cases**

*Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.*,  
848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....12

*Graham v. John Deere Co.*,  
383 U.S. 1 (1966).....25

*In re Mouttet*,  
686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....16

*In re Woodruff*,  
919 F.2d 1575 (Fed. Cir. 1990) .....20

*Iron Grip Barbell Co. v. USA Sports, Inc.*,  
392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....20

*MRC Innovations, Inc. v. Hunter Mfg., LLP*,  
747 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....20

*Vivid Techs., Inc. v. American Science & Eng'g, Inc.*,  
200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....5

*VSR Indus., Inc. v. Cole Kepro Int'l, LLC*,  
IPR2015-00182, Final Written Decision, Paper No.33 (PTAB Apr. 28, 2016)..26

*Wyers v. Master Lock Co.*,  
616 F.3d 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2010) ..... 14, 16

...

## UPDATED TABLE OF PETITIONER EXHIBITS

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 5,591,678 (“the ’678 patent”).
1002	Declaration of Dr. Blanchard.
1003	U.S. Pat. No. 4,422,091 (“Liu”).
1004	U.S. Pat. No. 3,846,198 (“Wen”).
1005	U.S. Pat. No. 4,681,718 (“Oldham”).
1006	U.S. Pat. No. 3,864,819 (“Ying”).
1007	U.S. Pat. No. 4,426,768 (“Black”).
1008	Certified translation of Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 03-108776 (“Kusunoki”).
1009	U.S. Patent No. 4,106,050 (“Riseman”).
1010	Excerpt from <i>Dictionary of Electronics</i> , Harper-Collins, 2004 (p. 152).
1011	U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 08/006,120, Amendment of June 16, 1994.
1012	U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 08/006,120 (application with claims).
1013	Independent claim comparison for the ’678 patent.
1014	Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 03-108776, published May 8, 1991.
1015	Declaration of Jennifer Seraphine in support of motion for admission <i>pro hac vice</i> .
1016	Declaration of Jacob Zweig in support of motion for admission <i>pro hac vice</i> .
1017	Not submitted.
1018	Not submitted.
1019	Transcript of Deposition of Eugene Fitzgerald, IPR2016-00209, August 12, 2016.
1020	Transcript of Deposition of Eugene Fitzgerald, IPR2015-01201, June 6, 2016.
1021	Transcript of Deposition of John Drab, August 10, 2016 PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL – ITAR –FILED UNDER SEAL.
1022	String of email correspondence between counsel for Sony and counsel for Raytheon between July 11, 2016 and August 9, 2016.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.