UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
NEOCHORD, INC. Petitioner
$\mathbf{v}.$
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, BALTIMORE Patent Owner
Case No. IPR2016-00208 Patent No. 7,635,386

DECLARATION OF DR. JOHN GARRETT IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

Declaration of Dr. John Garrett Case No. IPR2016-00208

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS					
	A.	Engagement Overview				
	B.	Summary of Opinions				
	C.	Qualifications and Experience				
		1.	Education	6		
		2.	Career	6		
		3.	Publications	7		
		4.	Curriculum Vitae	7		
	D.	Mate	erials Considered	7		
II.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES USED IN THE ANALYSIS					
	A.	Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art ("POSITA")				
	B.	Prior Art10				
	C.	Broadest Reasonable Interpretations				
	D.	Legal Standards for Anticipation & Obviousness				
III.	THE	E '386 PATENT				
	A.	Interpretation of Claim Limitations in the '386 Patent				
		1.	Petitioner's and Dr. Aklog's BRI for "Percutaneously Accessing" is Inconsistent with the '386 Patent Disclosure	21		
		2.	Petitioner's and Dr. Aklog's BRI for "Percutaneously Accessing" is Inconsistent with a POSITA's Understanding of the Term	24		
IV.	CLAIMS 19, 22 AND 23 ARE PATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102					
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 19, 22, and 23 are Not Anticipated by Speziali.				
V.	CLAIMS 1-23 ARE PATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103					
	A.	Ground 2: Claims 20 and 21 are Not Rendered Obvious by				



Declaration of Dr. John Garrett Case No. IPR2016-00208

	В.	Ground 3: Claims 19, 22 and 23 are Not Rendered Obvious by Lattouf I in view of Carpentier		
		Lattouf I Does Not Disclose the "Percutaneously Accessing" Limitation of Claim 19.	35	
		2. Carpentier does not Disclose an Artificial Chordae Tendinae	38	
	C.	Ground 4: Claims 20 and 21 are Not Rendered Obvious by Lattouf I in view of Bachman.	39	
VI	CONCLUSION			



Declaration of Dr. John Garrett Case No. IPR2016-00208

- 1. I, John Garrett, M.D., declare as follows:
- 2. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration, and could and would testify to these facts under oath if called upon to do so.

I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

A. Engagement Overview

- 3. I have been retained by counsel for Harpoon Medical, Inc. ("Harpoon") in this case as an expert in the relevant art. Harpoon is the exclusive licensee of U.S. Patent No. 7,635,386 ("the '386 patent"), which is owned by University of Maryland, Baltimore ("Patent Owner"). It is my understanding that Harpoon has control over this *inter partes* review proceeding based on their exclusive licensee agreement with Patent Owner. I am being compensated for my work at the rate of \$950 per hour. No part of my compensation is contingent upon the outcome of this petition.
- 4. I was asked to study the '386 patent, its prosecution history, the Petition, the Declaration of Dr. Aklog, and the other exhibits filed in this proceeding, and to render opinions on anticipation and obviousness or non-obviousness of certain ones of the claims of the '386 patent in light of the teachings of the prior art, as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art in the 2006 time frame. I understand that the claims being challenged in the Petition are claims 1-23 ("the challenged claims"), the review of which has been instituted

Declaration of Dr. John Garrett Case No. IPR2016-00208

on various grounds.

B. Summary of Opinions

- 5. After studying the '386 patent, relevant excerpts of its file history, the Petition, the Declaration of Dr. Aklog, and the exhibits by Petitioner, and after considering the subject matter of the claims of the '386 patent in light of the state of technical advancement in the area of mitral valve repair in the 2006 time frame, I reached the conclusions discussed herein.
- 6. In light of these general conclusions, and as explained in more detail throughout this declaration, it is therefore my opinion that each of the challenged claims of the '386 patent addressed in this declaration are valid as they were not anticipated or obvious in the 2006 time frame in light of the knowledge of skill in the art at that time and the teachings, suggestions, and motivations present in the prior art. This declaration, and the conclusions and opinions herein, provide support for the Patent Owner's Response in the *Inter Partes* Review of the '386 patent filed by Petitioner and instituted by the Board. I have reviewed the Patent Owner's Response in its entirety as well as its corresponding exhibits.

C. Qualifications and Experience

7. I possess the knowledge, skills, experience, training and the education to form an expert opinion and testimony in this matter. I have over 30 years of experience as a heart surgeon and have performed over 500 mitral and/or tricuspid



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

