

2016-2745, 2017-1057

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND BIOTECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE,

Appellant,

v.

PRESENS PRECISION SENSING GMBH,

Cross-Appellant.

*Appeals from the United States Patent and Trademark Office,
Patent Trial and Appeal Board in Reexamination No. 95/000,615*

**OPENING BRIEF FOR APPELLANT
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND BIOTECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE**

René A. Vazquez
SINERGIA TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP, PLLC
18296 St. Georges Ct.
Leesburg, VA 20176
rvazquez@sinergialaw.com
(571) 353-1520

Counsel for Appellant
**UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
BIOTECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE**

NeoChord v. Univ of Maryland
IPR2016-00208
NeoChord Exhibit 1021

January 9, 2017

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for Appellant certifies the following:

1. The full name of the party represented by me is: University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute (“UMBI”).
2. The names of the real parties in interest are: (a) University of Maryland Baltimore County (“UMBC”); and (b) Sartorius Stedim Biotech GmbH.
3. Parent corporations and publicly held companies that own 10% or more of stock in the party represented by me: UMBI and UMBC are part of the University of Maryland system.
4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court (and who have not or will not enter an appearance in this case) are: None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES	vi
JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT	1
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES.....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	3
I. STATUS OF CLAIMS.....	3
II. APPEAL TO THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD.....	4
III. THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER.....	5
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	8
ARGUMENT	10
I. STANDARD OF REVIEW.....	10
II. APPLICABLE LAW	10
III. THE BOARD ERRED IN ITS OBVIOUSNESS ANALYSIS	12
A. A <i>Prima Facie</i> Case of Obviousness was not Established	12
1. The Weigl Reference.....	12
2. The Bambot Reference.....	17
3. The Proposed Modification of Weigl in View of Bambot Would Change the Principle of Operation of Weigl.....	20
4. No Articulated Reasoning with Some Rational Underpinning to Support the Legal Conclusion of Obviousness	21

B. Weigl Teaches Away from the Claimed Invention	22
1. Weigl Teaches Away from In Situ, Non-Invasive Measurement of Cell Culture Parameters	22
2. Weigl Teaches Away from the Use of More than One Sensing Membrane in a Single Flow-Through Cell or Single Cultivation Vessel	23
3. The Board’s Finding that Weigl Does Not Teach Away from the Claimed Invention is Not Supported by Substantial Evidence	29
CONCLUSION AND STATEMENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT	30
ADDENDUM	Appx1
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<i>Page</i>
Cases	
<i>Flo Healthcare Sols., LLC v. Kappos</i> , 697 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	10
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kan. City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	10
<i>In re Adler</i> , 723 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	10, 29
<i>In re Elsner</i> , 381 F.3d 1125 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	10
<i>In re Gartside</i> , 203 F.3d 1305 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	10, 29
<i>In re Geisler</i> , 116 F.3d 1465 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	11
<i>In re Gurley</i> , 27 F.3d 551 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	11, 23
<i>In re Haruna</i> , 249 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	11, 22
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	11
<i>In re Malagari</i> , 499 F.2d 1297 (CCPA 1974)	11
<i>In re Ratti</i> , 270 F.2d 810 (CCPA 1959)	11, 21, 22
<i>In re Samour</i> , 571 F.2d 559 (CCPA 1978)	16

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.