# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD NEOCHORD, INC. Petitioner

v.

## UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, BALTIMORE

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO DISMISS



# **Table of Contents**

|         |  | Page |
|---------|--|------|
| FACTUAL | BACKGROUND   | 1    |
| A.      | UMB Is an Instrumentality of the State of Maryland                         | 2    |
| B.      | UMB's 11th Amendment Immunity is Preserved by Statute                      | 4    |
| C.      | UMB Has the Substantial Rights of an Owner of the Patent                   | 4    |
|         | R PARTES REVIEW MUST BE DISMISSED BECAUSE UMB SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY FROM SUIT | 7    |
| A.      | Sovereign Immunity is a Bar to Inter Partes Review                         | 7    |
| B.      | UMB is a Sovereign Arm of the State of Maryland                            | 10   |
| C.      | Inter Partes Review Cannot Proceed Without UMB                             | 13   |
| CONCLUS | JON  | 15   |



## **Table of Authorities**

Page(s)

| Cases   |        |
|---|--------|
| Abbott Labs. v. Diamedix Corp., 47 F.3d 1128 (Fed. Cir. 1995)   | 14     |
| Alfred E. Mann Foundation for Science Research v. Cochlear Corp., 604 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2010)      | 3      |
| Bickley v. Univ. of Maryland,<br>527 F. Supp. 174 (D. Md. 1981)                                       | 10     |
| Covidien v. Univ. of Fla. Research Found., Inc.,<br>Nos. 2016-01274 – 2016-01276 (PTAB Jan. 25, 2017) | passim |
| Edelman v. Jordan,<br>415 U.S. 651 (1974)   | 8      |
| Fed. Mar. Comm'n v. South Carolina State Ports Auth., 535 U.S. 743 (2002)                             | 8      |
| Florida Dep't of Health & Rehab. Servs. v. Florida Nursing Home<br>Ass'n,<br>450 U.S. 147 (1981)      | 6      |
| Kovats v. Rutgers, The State Univ.,<br>822 F.2d 1303 (3d Cir. 1987)                                   | 12     |
| Maryland Stadium Authority v. Ellerbe Becket Inc.,<br>407 F.3d 255 (4th Cir. 2005)                    | 2, 3   |
| Palotai v. Univ. of Maryland Coll. Park,<br>959 F. Supp. 714 (D. Md. 1997)                            | 10     |
| Regents of the Univ. of California v. Doe, 519 U.S. 425 (1997)  | 10     |
| Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida,   | S.     |



# **Table of Authorities**

(continued)

Page(s)

| Stern v. Bd. of Regents, Univ. Sys. of Maryland,<br>846 A.2d 996 (Md. 2004)  | 12                |
|--|-------------------|
| Tegic Commc'ns Corp. v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. of Texas Sys., 458 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2006)                        | 10                |
| Vas-Cath, Inc. v. Curators of the Univ. of Missouri,<br>473 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2007)                               | 9                 |
| Virginia Office for Prot. & Advocacy v. Stewart,<br>563 U.S. 247 (2011)  | 7                 |
| Statutes   |                   |
| Maryland Tort Claims Act   | 4                 |
| Md. Code Ann., Agric. § 2-102 (a)  | 2                 |
| Md. Code Ann., Educ.  § 12-101 et seq. (2016)  § 12-102(a)(2)  § 12-104(i)  § 12-104(f)  § 12-104(g)  § 12-105(b)(2) | 12<br>4<br>3<br>3 |
| Tex. Gov't Code § 441.101(3)   | 1                 |
| Other Authorities  |                   |
| United States Constitution Eleventh Amendment  | passim            |



# **Listing of Exhibits**

| Exhibit | Description  |
|---------|--|
| 2013    | Affidavit of Susan Gillette                            |
| 2014    | Declaration of Erik B. Milch                           |
| 2015    | Redacted Master License Agreement between University   |
|         | of Maryland, Baltimore and Harpoon Medical, Inc. dated |
|         | August 22, 2013  |
| 2016    | Redacted First Amendment to License Agreement          |
|         | between University of Maryland, Baltimore and Harpoon  |
|         | Medical, Inc. dated April 1, 2014                      |
| 2017    | Redacted Second Amendment to License Agreement         |
|         | between University of Maryland, Baltimore and Harpoon  |
|         | Medical, Inc. dated December 4, 2015                   |



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

